

Department of Homeland Security Office for Civil Rights and Civil Liberties

Quarterly Report to Congress

Third Quarter, FY 2011 (April 1-June 30, 2011)

November 15, 2011



Foreword



I am pleased to present this Quarterly Report on the activities of the Department of Homeland Security Office for Civil Rights and Civil Liberties (CRCL), as required by section 803 of the Implementing Recommendations of the 9/11 Commission Act of 2007, 42 U.S.C. § 2000ee-1(f).

This report includes information on the third quarter of Fiscal Year 2011. The DHS Chief Privacy Officer provides separate

quarterly reports under the 9/11 Commission Act concerning privacy advice and complaints, available at the Privacy Office's Web site, http://www.dhs.gov/privacy.

Pursuant to congressional requirements, this Report is being provided to the following Members of Congress:

The Honorable Daniel K. Inouye

Chairman, U.S. Senate Committee on Appropriations

The Honorable Thad Cochran

Ranking Member, U.S. Senate Committee on Appropriations

The Honorable Joseph I. Lieberman

Chairman, U.S. Senate Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs

The Honorable Susan M. Collins

Ranking Member, U.S. Senate Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs

The Honorable Dianne Feinstein

Chairman, U.S. Senate Select Committee on Intelligence

The Honorable Saxby Chambliss

Vice Chairman, U.S. Senate Select Committee on Intelligence

The Honorable Patrick J. Leahy

Chairman, U.S. Senate Committee on the Judiciary

The Honorable Charles Grassley

Ranking Member, U.S. Senate Committee on the Judiciary

The Honorable John Boehner

Speaker of the House, U.S. House of Representatives

The Honorable Hal Rogers

Chairman, U.S. House of Representatives Committee on Appropriations

The Honorable Norm Dicks

Ranking Member, U.S. House of Representatives Committee on Appropriations

The Honorable Peter T. King

Chairman, U.S. House of Representatives Committee on Homeland Security

The Honorable Bennie G. Thompson

Ranking Member, U.S. House of Representatives Committee on Homeland Security

The Honorable Mike Rogers

Chairman, U.S. House of Representatives Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence

The Honorable C.A. "Dutch" Ruppersberger

Ranking Member, U.S. House of Representatives Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence

The Honorable Lamar Smith

Chairman, U.S. House of Representatives Committee on the Judiciary

The Honorable John Conyers, Jr.

Ranking Member, U.S. House of Representatives Committee on the Judiciary

The Honorable Darrell Issa

Chairman, U.S. House of Representatives Committee on Oversight and Government Reform

The Honorable Elijah E. Cummings

Ranking Member, U.S. House of Representatives Committee on Oversight and Government Reform

Additional information, including our prior quarterly and annual reports and our civil rights complaint contact information, are available at www.dhs.gov/crcl. Please direct inquiries regarding this Report to the Office for Civil Rights and Civil Liberties at 866-644-8360 (TTY 866-644-8361) or crcl@dhs.gov.

Yours very truly,

Margo Schlanger

Officer for Civil Rights and Civil Liberties U.S. Department of Homeland Security

Executive Summary

This Quarterly Report details activities of the Department of Homeland Security Office for Civil Rights and Civil Liberties (CRCL), as required by section 803 of the Implementing Recommendations of the 9/11 Commission Act of 2007, 42 U.S.C. § 2000ee-1(f).

The report includes information on the third quarter of Fiscal Year 2011, including impact assessments and data analysis of investigations involving civil rights and civil liberties.



DHS Office for Civil Rights and Civil Liberties, FY 2011 Third Quarter Report

Table of Contents

Exc	ecutive Summary	iii
I.	Legislative Language	1
II	Background	4
III.	Investigations Data and Analysis	5
IV.	Appendix: Acronyms	. 13

I. Legislative Language

42 U.S.C. § 2000ee-1 Privacy and Civil Liberties Officers

Implementing Recommendations of the 9/11 Commission Act of 2007, Pub. L. No. 110-53, sec. 803, 121 Stat. 266, 360-362.

(a) Designation and functions

. .

[T]he Secretary of Homeland Security . . . shall designate not less than 1 senior officer to serve as the principal advisor to—

- (1) assist the head of such department, agency, or element and other officials of such department, agency, or element in appropriately considering privacy and civil liberties concerns when such officials are proposing, developing, or implementing laws, regulations, policies, procedures, or guidelines related to efforts to protect the Nation against terrorism;
- (2) periodically investigate and review department, agency, or element actions, policies, procedures, guidelines, and related laws and their implementation to ensure that such department, agency, or element is adequately considering privacy and civil liberties in its actions;
- (3) ensure that such department, agency, or element has adequate procedures to receive, investigate, respond to, and redress complaints from individuals who allege such department, agency, or element has violated their privacy or civil liberties; and
- (4) in providing advice on proposals to retain or enhance a particular governmental power the officer shall consider whether such department, agency, or element has established—
 - (A) that the need for the power is balanced with the need to protect privacy and civil liberties;
 - (B) that there is adequate supervision of the use by such department, agency, or element of the power to ensure protection of privacy and civil liberties; and
 - (C) that there are adequate guidelines and oversight to properly confine its use.
- **(b)** Exception to designation authority

. .

(2) Civil liberties officers

In any department, agency, or element referred to in subsection (a) of this section . . . which has a statutorily created civil liberties officer, such officer shall perform the functions specified in subsection (a) of this section with respect to civil liberties.

(c) Supervision and coordination

Each privacy officer and civil liberties officer described in subsection (a) or (b) of this section shall—

- (1) report to the head of the department . . . ; and
- (2) coordinate their activities with the Inspector General of such department ... to avoid duplication of effort.

(d) Agency cooperation

The head of each department, agency, or element shall ensure that each privacy officer and civil liberties officer—

- (1) has the information, material, and resources necessary to fulfill the functions of such officer;
- (2) is advised of proposed policy changes;
- (3) is consulted by decision makers; and
- (4) is given access to material and personnel the officer determines to be necessary to carry out the functions of such officer.

. .

(f) Periodic reports

(1) In general

The privacy officers and civil liberties officers of each department, agency, or element referred to or described in subsection (a) or (b) of this section shall periodically, but not less than quarterly, submit a report on the activities of such officers—

- (A)(i) to the appropriate committees of Congress, including the Committee on the Judiciary of the Senate, the Committee on the Judiciary of the House of Representatives, the Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs of the Senate, the Committee on Oversight and Government Reform of the House of Representatives, the Select Committee on Intelligence of the Senate, and the Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence of the House of Representatives;
- (ii) to the head of such department, agency, or element; and
- (iii) to the Privacy and Civil Liberties Oversight Board; and
- **(B)** which shall be in unclassified form to the greatest extent possible, with a classified annex where necessary.

(2) Contents

Each report submitted under paragraph (1) shall include information on the discharge of each of the functions of the officer concerned, including—

- (A) information on the number and types of reviews undertaken;
- **(B)** the type of advice provided and the response given to such advice;

- **(C)** the number and nature of the complaints received by the department, agency, or element concerned for alleged violations; and
- **(D)** a summary of the disposition of such complaints, the reviews and inquiries conducted, and the impact of the activities of such officer.

(g) Informing the public

Each privacy officer and civil liberties officer shall—

- (1) make the reports of such officer, including reports to Congress, available to the public to the greatest extent that is consistent with the protection of classified information and applicable law; and
- (2) otherwise inform the public of the activities of such officer, as appropriate and in a manner consistent with the protection of classified information and applicable law.

(h) Savings clause

Nothing in this section shall be construed to limit or otherwise supplant any other authorities or responsibilities provided by law to privacy officers or civil liberties officers.

II. Civil Rights and Civil Liberties Impact Assessments

The CRCL Impact Assessment Section, in the Programs and Compliance Branch, undertakes indepth examinations of the civil rights and civil liberties implications and effects of selected DHS programs and provides suggestions for improvements to those programs. The following Civil Rights and Civil Liberties Impact Assessments were underway during the third quarter of FY 2011:

- 1. Border Search of Electronic Devices: At Secretary Napolitano's direction, the Impact Assessment Section is collaborating with U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) and U.S. Immigration and Customs and Enforcement (ICE) to examine the civil rights and civil liberties impact of those Components' policies relating to border searches of electronic devices. Work on the report continued in the third quarter.
- 2. State and Major Urban Area Fusion Centers (Fusion Centers): Section 511 of the Implementing Recommendations of the 9/11 Commission Act requires CRCL to conduct a follow-up to the Fusion Center Impact Assessment released in December 2008. CRCL is working with the DHS Office of Intelligence and Analysis (I&A) State and Local Program Office to complete a new impact assessment that reflects current support for fusion centers.
- 3. Future Attribute Screening Technology: CRCL has underway an impact assessment on the Future Attribute Screening Technology program (FAST), an initiative of DHS's Science and Technology Directorate (S&T) to develop innovative, non-invasive technologies to enhance the screening of individuals in transportation venues and at special events or other secure areas.

III. Investigations Data and Analysis

CRCL investigates complaints concerning:

- abuses of civil rights, civil liberties, and racial, ethnic, or religious profiling, 6 U.S.C. § 345(a)(1);
- compliance with constitutional, statutory, regulatory, policy, and other requirements relating to the civil rights or civil liberties of individuals affected by the programs and activities of the Department, 6 U.S.C. § 345(a)(4);
- possible abuses of civil rights or civil liberties, unless the Inspector General of the Department determines that any such complaint or information should be investigated by the Inspector General, 6 U.S.C. § 345(a)(6); and
- department, agency, or element actions, policies, procedures, guidelines, and related laws and their implementation to ensure that such department, agency, or element is adequately considering civil liberties in its actions, 42 U.S.C. § 2000ee-1(a)(2).

Under these authorities, CRCL reviews and assesses civil rights or human rights complaints. These matters arise in a variety of contexts, which we designate in the tables that follow as "situations," and raise one or more issues. The tables set forth here identify investigations by the primary situation and issue involved.

Our process for addressing complaints we receive was set out in further detail in CRCL's previous quarterly report. CRCL initiates investigations based on complaints received from the general public and non-governmental organizations (NGOs) by U.S. mail, email, fax, and the CRCL telephone hotline, as well as through the DHS Traveler Redress Inquiry Program (DHS TRIP). Incidents that might merit investigation are also forwarded to CRCL from other offices at DHS and other government agencies. **Table 1**, below, indicates investigations opened during the first through third quarters by primary civil rights *issue* raised, *situation* in which the investigation arose, and the DHS *Component* that was the primary subject of the investigation.

Pursuant to 6 U.S.C. § 345(a)(6) and internal DHS policies, CRCL begins the investigation process by referring all matters involving the conduct of DHS employees to the DHS Office of Inspector General (OIG). The OIG then determines whether or not it will investigate the case; in this report, such cases are designated *OIG retained*. If the OIG declines to investigate the complaint, it is referred back to CRCL for appropriate action, at which point CRCL determines whether the case should be retained for CRCL's own investigation (*CRCL retained*) or referred to the relevant DHS Component(s) (*CRCL referred*). Retained cases may be subject to a *full investigation* or *short-form* resolution. A small number of cases are subject to a *joint investigation*, combining CRCL's investigative resources with those of the relevant Component(s). Investigations at any other stage (such as clerical steps between these stages) are

¹ Complaints involving the activities of state or local law enforcement agencies, acting under state law, that come to CRCL as a result of ICE's Secure Communities program are not initially referred to OIG, because they do not involve the conduct of ICE employees. The complaint protocol in Secure Communities cases is posted on the ICE Secure Communities web site, http://www.ice.gov/secure_communities.

noted as *in process*.² **Table 2** describes all investigations (not only investigations opened in FY 2011) in process as of the last day of each of FY 2011's third quarter, under these categories. For the rare cases that OIG retains for investigation, once OIG completes its investigation, the matter returns to CRCL. We may then close the matter or retain it for our own investigation. There were no such matters for the third quarter.

If a case is referred to a Component for investigation, the Component issues a Report of Investigation to CRCL at the completion of the investigation; if retained, CRCL conducts its own investigation and drafts its own investigative report. When the investigation, whether conducted by CRCL or the Component involved, is complete, CRCL *closes* the matter and provides senior leadership of the relevant Component(s) with its conclusions and any recommendations for improving policy, practice, or training arising from the investigation. At that time, we also notify the complainant of the result of our investigation. **Table 4** provides investigations closed during each quarter, by issue, situation, and Component principally involved.

Beginning in FY 2010, CRCL has asked DHS Components to formally concur (or non-concur) with our recommendations, and to provide concrete action plans for implementing accepted recommendations. A single matter may result in multiple recommendations (or none at all), and a Component may accept some, all, or none of the recommendations. **Table 5** indicates the current status of recommendations resulting from investigations closed during the third quarter.

Finally, to update the prior quarterly report, we report on responses by components to recommendations made in the first and second quarter, which were listed in the prior quarterly report. A total of five matters were closed in the first and second quarter of FY 2011 that included recommendations.

1. CBP Apprehensions and Detentions in Arizona

In January 2010, a nongovernmental organization submitted a complaint on behalf of eight individuals, some alleging physical and verbal abuse and others alleging denial of food and medical care by U.S. Border Patrol agents in Arizona. CRCL referred the matter to CBP Office of Internal Affairs for factual investigation. The investigation could neither confirm nor reject the allegations. Where the allegations named specific individuals, the CBP investigation was unable to align the allegations with records of actual apprehensions due to the absence of details in the complaint. The other allegations did not provide any particularized information that could be specifically investigated. While none of the allegations could be substantiated, the investigation pointed to room for improvement in certain types of record-keeping. CBP investigators discussed the allegations with the local management, who stated they were working to improve procedures, such as logging information on juveniles in detention and provision of meals to detainees. CRCL closed the complaint in October 2010, but continues to monitor Border Patrol's adherence to these commitments.

2. CBP Land Border Security Procedures

A U.S. citizen entering the United States through a land border port of entry in California, alleged mistreatment by CBP officers when his vehicle underwent secondary inspection in April 2009. The traveler had been handcuffed while being escorted into the Secondary Inspection

² The *in process* categories include more than a few cases where Reports of Investigation (ROIs) have been received from Components and are currently under review within CRCL. For CRCL's purposes, these investigations remain in process until we have completed our review and response to the ROIs.

Office (SIO), and subjected to a very thorough pat-down search. CRCL reviewed the complaint, along with relevant CBP policies, and could neither confirm nor reject the allegations of rude and abusive treatment. However, CRCL found that the officers involved were not aware of the discretion available, as a matter of existing policy, with respect to handcuffing and, indeed, to escorting travelers with vehicles in secondary to a secure area. CRCL recommended that CBP train supervisors who make decisions to move individuals from the designated waiting area to the SIO to articulate and document the reason for handcuffing, reinforcing that this action is not mandatory, and reminding officers of the discretion that they possess when deciding whether or not to restrain an individual under escort, and the appropriate considerations that counsel in favor and against restraint during escort. CBP concurred and developed and distributed the training.

3. Effective Communication During Evacuation

In January 2007 the National Center for Law and Economic Justice filed a complaint concerning FEMA's failure to have procedures and policies in place to communicate effectively with deaf and hard of hearing evacuees who needed access to FEMA assistance or information following Hurricane Katrina in 2005. CRCL substantiated this complaint, and in November 2010 recommended that FEMA: (1) improve the notice it provides to the public about their rights under Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act, including the process for requesting sign language interpretation; and (2) create a brochure focusing on Section 504 rights and practices. FEMA concurred, and is working on a brochure and poster, which should be completed and promulgated in the first quarter of FY 2012.

4. Medical Care in ICE Detention

In April 2010, a detainee in ICE detention alleged that his frequent transfers among ICE facilities had compromised treatment for his chronic medical condition. CRCL investigated and found that the detainee's care had been complicated by his frequent transfers, but not to the extent that would constitute a civil rights violation. CRCL recommended in March 2011 that ICE minimize transfers of detainees with significant or chronic medical conditions under current active management. ICE concurred, and is currently working on a new transfer policy.

5. Sign Language Interpretation During Citizenship Interview and Ceremony A hearing-impaired complainant alleged discrimination by U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services (USCIS) based on the agency's failure to provide a sign language interpreter, as requested, for his citizenship interview and ceremony in June 2009. CRCL investigated and concluded that provision of an interpreter was required by Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act as well as by a new USCIS policy and standard operating procedure (SOP) regarding receipt and processing of requests for disability accommodation that went into effect shortly after the incident in question. CRCL recommended in February 2011 that USCIS: (1) ensure that all Field Office Directors train their staff regarding persons with disabilities, compliance with Section 504, and implementation of the new policy and SOP; (2) ensure that each Field Office has a designated employee to receive and process accommodation requests and that this employee has received all required training; and (3) conduct periodic reviews to assess how well the new policy and SOP are working to address needs. USCIS concurred, and is currently developing responsive training (and providing regular updates to CRCL). USCIS has designated and trained an employee to handle accommodation requests at each field office, and reports that the new process is working well and will be assessed annually to determine if any improvements are needed.

Table 1. Investigations opened, by Quarter (as of June 30, 2011)

Table 1. Investigations opened, by Quarter (as o	TOTAL, YTD	1Q2011	2Q2011	3Q2011
By issue	TOTAL, TIE	102011	20211	502011
Abuse of authority/misuse of official position	10	2	6	2
Breach of confidentiality	2	1	1	
Conditions of detention	57	13	34	10
Disability accommodation	6	13	1	4
Discrimination/profiling	52	10	37	5
	9		3	2
Due process		4		4
Excessive force	23	10	9	
Fourth Amendment (search and seizure)	6	1	4	1
Hate speech	1	-		1
Human rights	1	1		
Inappropriate questioning/inspection conditions	6	3	1	2
Intimidation/threat/improper coercion	2	1		1
Language access (limited English proficiency)	2	1		1
Legal access	4	2		2
Medical/mental health care	37	8	16	13
Religious accommodation (other religious issues	,	2	4	1
covered by inappropriate questioning, discrimination)	4	2	1	
Retaliation	1	1	_	
Sexual assault/abuse	4	_	3	1
TSA AIT and TSA pat-downs	11	3	6	2
Total by issue	238	64	122	52
By situation				
287 (g)	5		4	1
CBP detention/hold room/CBP deferred inspection site	8	1	7	
DHS law enforcement activity	12	7	4	1
DHS public messaging/websites	1			1
DHS regulatory/rule processing	1	1		
DHS supported activity (not 287(g))	3		2	1
Federal government building or area	7	2	1	4
Immigration benefit application processing	2		1	1
Immigration detention	106	23	56	27
Political demonstration/rally	2		1	1
Port of entry/CBP checkpoint	45	9	30	6
Screening (non-watch list)	16	6	7	3
Secure Communities	3		2	1
Unaccompanied minor	22	13	6	3
Visa processing	2	2		
Watch list/aviation security	3		1	2
Total by situation	238	64	122	52
By Component				
DHS (multi-component or headquarters unit)	14	6	2	6
CBP	81	23	47	11
ICE	119	27	62	30
TSA	19	6	9	4
USCIS	5	2	2	1
Total by Component	238	64	122	52
Total by Component	230	04	122	34

Table 2. Investigations in process as of June 30, 2011 (end Q3)

	Grand	In Dunner	OIG	CRCL Retained		Joint	CRCL
	Total	In Process	Retained	Full Investigation	Short Form	Investigation	Referred
By issue							
Abuse of authority/misuse of official position	21	4		6	3	2	6
Breach of confidentiality	5				3		2
Conditions of detention	121	24	1	20	23	2	51
Disability accommodation (Section 504 of the Rehab							
Act)	6	4		1	1		
Discrimination/profiling	68	12		25	6	6	19
Due process	21	5		4	4		8
Excessive force	43	6	8	8	8	2	11
Fourth Amendment (search and seizure)	11	4		4	2		1
Free speech/association	2			1			1
Hate speech	1				1		
Human rights	1	1					
Inappropriate questioning/ inspection conditions	16	3	1	2	3	1	6
Intimidation/threat/improper coercion	7	2		2			3
Language access (limited English proficiency)	3	2			1		
Legal access	2	1			1		
Medical/mental health care	65	16	1	16	19		13
Religious accommodation (other religion is covered by							
inappropriate questioning and discrimination)	4	1			1		2
Retaliation	5			1		1	3
Sexual assault/abuse	7	3		2	2		
TSA AIT and TSA pat-downs	15	2		2	2	1	8
Total by issue	424	90	11	94	80	15	134

Table 2 continued (Investigations in process as of June 30, 2011)

	Grand	In Process	OIG	CRCL Retained		Joint	CRCL
	Total	In Process	Retained	Full Investigation	Short Form	Investigation	Referred
By situation							
287 (g)	8	5				2	1
CBP detention/hold room/ CBP deferred inspection site	11	1	1	2	3		4
DHS law enforcement activity	25	4		8	4	5	4
DHS public messaging/websites	1				1		
DHS regulatory/rule processing	1	1					
DHS supported activity (not 287(g))	14	2		5	1		6
Federal government building or area	11	5			2		4
Immigration benefit application processing	11	1		1	2	1	6
Immigration detention	207	48	4	37	45	3	70
Political Demonstration/Rally	4	2		1			1
Port of entry/CBP checkpoint	55	7	2	26	7	1	12
Screening (non-watch list)	28	4		2	3	3	16
Secure Communities	3	2			1		
Unaccompanied minor	37	6	4	11	7		9
Visa processing	4				3		1
Watch list/Aviation security	4	2		1	1		
Total by situation	424	90	11	94	80	15	134
By Component							
DHS (multi-component or headquarters unit)	22	7	1	8	2	1	3
CBP	115	21	6	39	20	5	24
FEMA	2						2
ICE	242	56	4	42	50	7	83
TSA	29	5		2	4	2	16
USCG	1			1			
USCIS	13	1		2	4		6
Total by Component	424	90	11	94	80	15	134

Table 3. Matters previously retained by OIG returned during 3Q2011: None

Table 4. Investigations closed, by Quarter (as of June 30, 2011)

Table 4. Investigations closed, by Quarter (as	TOTAL, YTD	1Q2011	2Q2011	3Q2011
By issue	- /			
Abuse of authority/misuse of official position	5	1	3	1
Conditions of detention	28	4	11	13
Disability accommodation	3	1	11	2
Discrimination/profiling	20	5	7	8
Due process	4	1	1	2
Excessive force	15	3	6	6
Free speech/association	1		1	U
Inappropriate questioning/inspection conditions	9	3	3	3
Inappropriate-touch/search of person (non-TSA)	5	3	2	3
Intimidation/threat/improper coercion	3		3	
Legal access	2		2	
Medical/mental health care	13	2	6	5
Religious accommodation (other religious issues	15			
covered by inappropriate questioning,				
discrimination)	4		1	3
Retaliation	1		1	
Sexual assault/abuse	2	1		1
TSA AIT and TSA pat-downs	5	1	1	3
Total by issue	120	25	48	47
By situation				
287 (g)	1	1		
CBP detention/hold room/CBP deferred inspection				
site	3	1		2
DHS law enforcement activity	3		2	1
DHS supported activity (not 287(g))	3	1	1	1
Federal government building or area	3		3	
Immigration benefit application processing	1	1		
Immigration detention	49	6	22	21
Port of entry/CBP checkpoint	38	13	16	9
Screening (non-watch list)	7	1	1	5
Secure Communities	1			1
Unaccompanied minor	8	1	3	4
Visa Processing	1			1
Watch list/aviation security	2			2
Total by situation	120	25	48	47
By Component				
DHS (multi-component or headquarters unit)	8	2	2	4
CBP	50	14	21	15
FEMA	1	1		
ICE	51	7	23	21
TSA	8	1	1	6
USCIS	1			1
USSS	1		1	
Total by Component	120	25	48	47

Table 5. Results of investigations closed in 3Q2011

Table 5. Results of investigations closed in		No	Recommendations Made			
	Grand Total	Recommendation	Awaiting	All	Some	All
	Total		Response	Accepted	Accepted	Rejected
By issue						
Abuse of authority/misuse of official position	1	1				
Conditions of detention	13	13				
Disability accommodation	2	2				
Discrimination/profiling	8	8				
Due process	2	2				
Excessive force	6	6				
Inappropriate questioning/inspection conditions	3	3				
Medical/mental health care	5	5				
Religious accommodation (other religious issues						
covered by inappropriate questioning,						
discrimination)	3	2	1			
Sexual assault/abuse	1	1				
TSA AIT and TSA pat-downs	3	3				
Total by issue	47	46	1	0	0	0
By situation						
CBP detention/hold room/CBP deferred						
inspection site	2	2				
DHS law enforcement activity	1	1				
DHS supported activity (not 287(g))	1	1				
Immigration detention	22	22				
Port of entry/CBP checkpoint	9	8	1			
Screening (non-watch list)	5	5				
Unaccompanied minor	4	4				
Visa Processing	1	1				
Watch list/aviation security	2	2				
Total by situation	47	46	1	0	0	0
By Component						
DHS (multi-component or headquarters unit)	4	4				
СВР	15	14	1			
ICE	21	21				
TSA	6	6				
USCIS	1	1				
	47	46	1	0	0	0

NOTE: In addition to the one recommendation "awaiting response" as noted in the Recommendations Made column, an additional 10 draft reports to close out investigations with recommendations were under internal Departmental review at the close of the quarter.

IV. Appendix: Acronyms

CBP U.S. Customs and Border Protection
CRCL Office for Civil Rights and Civil Liberties

DHS Department of Homeland Security
FAST Future Attribute Screening Technology
FEMA Federal Emergency Management Agency

FY Fiscal Year

ICE U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement

LEP Limited English proficiency
OIG Office of the Inspector General
S&T Science and Technology Directorate
TRIP Traveler Redress Inquiry Program
TSA Transportation Security Administration

USCG U.S. Coast Guard

USCIS U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services

USSS United States Secret Service