
CERTIFICATION REGARDING LOBBYING

Certification for Contracts, Grants, Loans, and Cooperative Agreements

The undersigned certifies, to the best of his or her knowledge and belief, that:

(1) No Federal appropriated funds have been paid or will be paid, by or on behalf of the undersigned, to any person for influencing or attempting to influence an officer or employee of an agency, a Member of Congress, an officer or employee of Congress, or an employee of a Member of Congress in connection with the awarding of any Federal contract, the making of any Federal grant, the making of any Federal loan, the entering into of any cooperative agreement, and the extension, continuation, renewal, amendment, or modification of any Federal contract, grant, loan, or cooperative agreement.

(2) If any funds other than Federal appropriated funds have been paid or will be paid to any person for influencing or attempting to influence an officer or employee of any agency, a Member of Congress, an officer or employee of Congress, or an employee of a Member of Congress in connection with this Federal contract, grant, loan, or cooperative agreement, the undersigned shall complete and submit Standard Form-LLL, "Disclosure of Lobbying Activities," in accordance with its instructions.

(3) The undersigned shall require that the language of this certification be included in the award documents for all subawards at all tiers (including subcontracts, subgrants, and contracts under grants, loans, and cooperative agreements) and that all subrecipients shall certify and disclose accordingly. This certification is a material representation of fact upon which reliance was placed when this transaction was made or entered into. Submission of this certification is a prerequisite for making or entering into this transaction imposed by section 1352, title 31, U.S. Code. Any person who fails to file the required certification shall be subject to a civil penalty of not less than \$10,000 and not more than \$100,000 for each such failure.

Statement for Loan Guarantees and Loan Insurance

The undersigned states, to the best of his or her knowledge and belief, that:

If any funds have been paid or will be paid to any person for influencing or attempting to influence an officer or employee of any agency, a Member of Congress, an officer or employee of Congress, or an employee of a Member of Congress in connection with this commitment providing for the United States to insure or guarantee a loan, the undersigned shall complete and submit Standard Form-LLL, "Disclosure of Lobbying Activities," in accordance with its instructions. Submission of this statement is a prerequisite for making or entering into this transaction imposed by section 1352, title 31, U.S. Code. Any person who fails to file the required statement shall be subject to a civil penalty of not less than \$10,000 and not more than \$100,000 for each such failure.

* APPLICANT'S ORGANIZATION	
<input style="width: 100%;" type="text" value="Citizens Crime Commission of New York City"/>	
* PRINTED NAME AND TITLE OF AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE	
Prefix: <input style="width: 100px;" type="text"/>	* First Name: <input style="width: 200px;" type="text" value="Claire"/> Middle Name: <input style="width: 150px;" type="text"/>
* Last Name: <input style="width: 300px;" type="text" value="Abrahams"/>	Suffix: <input style="width: 100px;" type="text"/>
* Title: <input style="width: 250px;" type="text" value="Program Director"/>	
* SIGNATURE: <input style="width: 300px;" type="text" value="Claire Abrahams"/>	* DATE: <input style="width: 150px;" type="text" value="06/12/2020"/>

Application for Federal Assistance SF-424

* 1. Type of Submission: <input type="checkbox"/> Preapplication <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Application <input type="checkbox"/> Changed/Corrected Application	* 2. Type of Application: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> New <input type="checkbox"/> Continuation <input type="checkbox"/> Revision	* If Revision, select appropriate letter(s): <input type="text"/> * Other (Specify): <input type="text"/>
--	--	--

* 3. Date Received: <input type="text" value="06/12/2020"/>	4. Applicant Identifier: <input type="text"/>
--	--

5a. Federal Entity Identifier: <input type="text"/>	5b. Federal Award Identifier: <input type="text"/>
--	---

State Use Only:

6. Date Received by State: <input type="text"/>	7. State Application Identifier: <input type="text"/>
---	---

8. APPLICANT INFORMATION:

* a. Legal Name:

* b. Employer/Taxpayer Identification Number (EIN/TIN): <input type="text" value="(b)(6)"/>	* c. Organizational DUNS: <input type="text" value="1144558500000"/>
--	---

d. Address:

* Street1:	<input type="text" value="335 Madison Avenue"/>
Street2:	<input type="text" value="9th Floor"/>
* City:	<input type="text" value="New York"/>
County/Parish:	<input type="text"/>
* State:	<input type="text" value="NY: New York"/>
Province:	<input type="text"/>
* Country:	<input type="text" value="USA: UNITED STATES"/>
* Zip / Postal Code:	<input type="text" value="10017-4611"/>

e. Organizational Unit:

Department Name: <input type="text"/>	Division Name: <input type="text"/>
--	--

f. Name and contact information of person to be contacted on matters involving this application:

Prefix: <input type="text"/>	* First Name: <input type="text" value="Claire"/>
Middle Name: <input type="text"/>	
* Last Name: <input type="text" value="Abrahams"/>	
Suffix: <input type="text"/>	

Title:

Organizational Affiliation:

* Telephone Number: <input type="text" value="(b)(6)"/>	Fax Number: <input type="text"/>
---	----------------------------------

* Email:

Application for Federal Assistance SF-424

*** 9. Type of Applicant 1: Select Applicant Type:**

M: Nonprofit with 501C3 IRS Status (Other than Institution of Higher Education)

Type of Applicant 2: Select Applicant Type:

Type of Applicant 3: Select Applicant Type:

* Other (specify):

*** 10. Name of Federal Agency:**

Department of Homeland Security - FEMA

11. Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Number:

97.132

CFDA Title:

Financial Assistance for Targeted Violence and Terrorism Prevention

*** 12. Funding Opportunity Number:**

DHS-20-TTP-132-00-01

* Title:

Fiscal Year (FY) 2020 Targeted Violence and Terrorism Prevention (TVTP) Program

13. Competition Identification Number:

Title:

14. Areas Affected by Project (Cities, Counties, States, etc.):

Add Attachment

Delete Attachment

View Attachment

*** 15. Descriptive Title of Applicant's Project:**

Citizens Crime Commission, DEEP

Attach supporting documents as specified in agency instructions.

Add Attachments

Delete Attachments

View Attachments

Application for Federal Assistance SF-424

16. Congressional Districts Of:

* a. Applicant

* b. Program/Project

Attach an additional list of Program/Project Congressional Districts if needed.

Add Attachment

Delete Attachment

View Attachment

17. Proposed Project:

* a. Start Date:

* b. End Date:

18. Estimated Funding (\$):

* a. Federal	<input type="text" value="723,878.00"/>
* b. Applicant	<input type="text" value="0.00"/>
* c. State	<input type="text" value="0.00"/>
* d. Local	<input type="text" value="0.00"/>
* e. Other	<input type="text" value="0.00"/>
* f. Program Income	<input type="text" value="0.00"/>
* g. TOTAL	<input type="text" value="723,878.00"/>

*** 19. Is Application Subject to Review By State Under Executive Order 12372 Process?**

- a. This application was made available to the State under the Executive Order 12372 Process for review on
- b. Program is subject to E.O. 12372 but has not been selected by the State for review.
- c. Program is not covered by E.O. 12372.

*** 20. Is the Applicant Delinquent On Any Federal Debt? (If "Yes," provide explanation in attachment.)**

Yes No

If "Yes", provide explanation and attach

Add Attachment

Delete Attachment

View Attachment

21. *By signing this application, I certify (1) to the statements contained in the list of certifications and (2) that the statements herein are true, complete and accurate to the best of my knowledge. I also provide the required assurances** and agree to comply with any resulting terms if I accept an award. I am aware that any false, fictitious, or fraudulent statements or claims may subject me to criminal, civil, or administrative penalties. (U.S. Code, Title 218, Section 1001)**

** I AGREE

** The list of certifications and assurances, or an internet site where you may obtain this list, is contained in the announcement or agency specific instructions.

Authorized Representative:

Prefix: * First Name:
Middle Name:
* Last Name:
Suffix:

* Title:

* Telephone Number: Fax Number:

* Email:

* Signature of Authorized Representative: * Date Signed:

**Cover Page for the Citizens Crime Commission of New York City Application to The
Department of Homeland Security Fiscal Year 2020 Targeted Violence and Terrorism
Prevention (TVTP) Grant Program**

Name of entity applying: The Citizens Crime Commission of New York City

Primary Location: New York, New York

Application Track: Local Prevention Framework

Project Types: Replication Track No.5 Threat Assessment and Management Team;
Replication Track No.8 Recidivism Reduction and Reintegration

Funds Requested: \$741,878

Project Abstract

Even though New York City has experienced an increase in violent extremism and targeted violence and is largely considered one of the top terrorism targets in the world, there exists no specialized, community-led model to intervene with individuals at-risk of engaging in violent extremism or targeted violence, stopping violence before it starts. An intervention model to address this problem would have to recognize that the behaviors driving violent extremism and targeted violence are complex and occur across multiple psychological and behavioral areas. To create an effective demobilization intervention for this population, the model would have to be specialized and tailored specifically to this population, targeting the driving behaviors with corresponding mechanisms of behavior change. To achieve the sustained behavior change that is critical in this space, a long-term intervention is needed, with length varying depending on the participant. The Citizens Crime Commission's (CCC) DEEP Initiative fills this gap in the preventing violent extremism and targeted violence space. Building upon our years of designing and implementing intervention models, CCC is piloting a long-term model that ensures individuals at-risk of engaging in violent extremism or targeted violence have demobilized and become stabilized, productive members of society.

1. Needs Assessment

Violent extremism (VE) and targeted violence (TV) have been rising in the US in recent years. In 2019, there were 940 hate groups in the US and a number of domestic terror attacks¹, including the stabbing of five people at a Rabbi's home in New York². The New York City Police Department saw an 83% increase across all hate crime complaints from January 1st to May 19th 2019, compared to the same period in 2018.³ In the last few years, New York has experienced a number of terrorist attacks, including an ISIS-inspired truck attack that killed eight and injured 13⁴, the white supremacy attack on 66-year-old Timothy Kaufman⁵, as well as numerous other successful and unsuccessful plots.⁶⁷ Even though New York City has experienced an increase in VE and TV and is largely considered one of the top terrorism targets in the world, there exists no specialized, community-led model to intervene with individuals at-risk of engaging in VE or TV, stopping violence before it starts. An intervention model to address this problem would have to recognize that the behaviors driving VE and TV are complex and occur across multiple psychological and behavioral areas, referred to as domains. To create an effective demobilization intervention for this population, the model would have to be specialized and tailored specifically to this population, targeting the driving behaviors with corresponding mechanisms of behavior change. To achieve the sustained behavior change that is critical in this space, a long-term intervention is needed, with length varying depending on the participant. The Citizens Crime Commission's (CCC) DEEP Initiative fills this gap in the preventing violent extremism and targeted violence space. Building upon our years of designing and implementing intervention models, CCC is piloting a long-term model that ensures individuals at-risk of engaging in VE or TV have demobilized and become stabilized, productive members of society. Our model utilizes a two-tiered approach – working with both a Behavioral Intervention Specialist (BIS) and a Transitional Specialist, under the supervision of a PhD-level clinical director. The BIS will address psychological factors driving the violent behavior and the Transitional Specialist will facilitate the application of this understanding into everyday life, to ensure long-term, sustained behavior change.

DEEP must be multifaceted to deliver comprehensive programming in preventing VE and TV. We engage in in-depth research to understand the problem and respond effectively. To understand what works in the prevention of VE and TV, we will build out our Prevent Violence Database⁸ to contribute to the field with research based on the insights gained from our intervention work.

Target Population

Across both the *Threat Assessment and Management Team* and *Recidivism Reduction and Reintegration* tracks, our target populations will be two-fold. We will be serving the population requiring intervention – i.e. those at-risk of mobilizing towards VE or TV – and the referring agencies that are desirous of making referrals but lack a service to which they can refer – i.e.

¹ <https://www.splcenter.org/news/2020/03/18/year-hate-and-extremism-2019>

² <https://www.nytimes.com/2019/12/28/nyregion/monsey-synagogue-stabbing-anti-semitic.html>

³ https://www.wsj.com/articles/new-york-police-record-83-rise-in-hate-crimes-11558958400?mod=article_inline

⁴ Oct. 31, 2017: Sayfullo Saipov, 29, kills eight people, injures 13 others with a rented truck in lower Manhattan.

⁵ March 20, 2017: James Jackson traveled from Baltimore to New York, killed 66-year-old Kaufman in Midtown.

⁶ Oct. 6, 2017: El Bahnasawy (19), Haroon (19), Salic (37), busted for plotting a bombing campaign in the subway.

⁷ Sept. 17, 2016: Ahmad Khan Rahimi, was accused of detonating a bomb in Chelsea that injured 32 people.

⁸ See page 5 for details.

state and local law enforcement, the courts, probation, and communities. We will take referrals from, and serve the target population for, the New York City area. We will work to intervene with individuals at-risk of mobilizing towards VE or TV, and will refer to this population as being in the pre-entry or pre-arrest space. We will also work with individuals convicted of crimes related to terrorism or TV, or arrested on a related charge⁹ due to risk-factors that the person might engage in terrorism or TV. We will refer to recidivism reduction and reintegration activities and interventions for this population as being in the re-entry space. The estimated number of people in the target population is dependent on referrals from state and local law enforcement, the courts, probation and community members. With the rise in VE and TV in recent years, we expect to see a steady flow of referrals for both the pre-entry and re-entry populations. DEEP provides the only New York-based, specialized model for these populations.

Other services serving the target population and successful and unsuccessful elements

There exists no New York-based model that provides specialized interventions for this population. While some US-based models utilize psychological and context-based interventions, there is no New York-based model that maps the specific behaviors and contexts driving the problem with identified corresponding mechanisms of behavior change. Moreover, there is no other New York-based intervention that will address the issues involved in extremism and/or TV. We conducted a gap analysis of currently available prevention and intervention approaches to reducing VE and TV. We engaged with research, practitioners and academics to gain an understanding of what appears to be successful or unsuccessful in preventing VE and TV.

Direct service versus refer out models: Many of the preventing violent extremism (PVE) programs we engaged with referred out to service providers to deliver psychological interventions. Conversely, direct service models allow for greater control of intervention work, allowing programs to hire and train service providers on their model. Direct service-only models, however, limit the scope of expertise by virtue of being costly. Refer out models benefit from increased variety and flexibility in available service providers; a participant can be referred to an array of experienced specialists based on their specific needs. A combination of both direct service and refer out is ideal in balancing the pros and cons of each.

Formers: Some programs use formers to intervene with individuals as credible messengers to debunk idealism and notions about certain groups/experiences. Formers have been used to challenge narratives and beliefs based off their personal experience. Based on interviews with PVE groups and formers, formers can sometimes lose credibility in the eyes of participants by working with organizations/law enforcement that aim to off-ramp aspiring violent extremists. There are also various/competing versions of different violent extremist/group ideologies to consider. Based on discussions with a US-based PVE group that works with formers in the white supremacy space, training of formers, like all employees, is important.

Deradicalization versus demobilization: Our research/outreach showed that many US programs aim to demobilize rather than deradicalize due to First Amendment considerations. Non-US programs largely work to deradicalize. Some US programs discussed the limitations of

⁹ A charge not related to terrorism or TV, but made due to risk factors that the person might engage in terrorism or TV.

demobilization programs due to lack of ability to address the ideological component driving behavior. One model addressed this problem by using credible messengers to overcome ideological barriers within the context of long-term interventions. They used respected religious leaders as part of the interventions to work with participants. These religious leaders worked with participants to address certain interpretations of religious texts which informed the participants' violent ideations.

Narrow or broad ideological focus: Programs across Europe and the US have come under serious criticisms for targeting and stigmatizing Muslim communities. Groups are increasingly trying to work across ideologies, rather than concentrating on one. Additionally, groups stressed the importance of working with culturally competent staff.

Voluntary versus mandatory participation: Having spoken to both voluntary and mandated programs in the PVE and other spaces, voluntary engagement in programs has positives and negatives to consider. Some potential participants do not want to partake in a program of this nature, and therefore chose not to do so. Conversely, mandatory programs can have the problem of shallow engagement; participants partake in the program due to compulsion, without any real desire to succeed or change their behavior.

2. Program Design

Problem Statement

With the rise of violent extremism and targeted violence in recent years, communities and law enforcement have faced an increasing number of cases pertaining to terrorism and targeted violence. These cases and instances have been driven by an array of drivers, such as White Supremacy, Islamist extremism, anti-Semitism, mass shooter ideations, as well as by other real or perceived grievances held by the perpetrators. Individuals are exposed to local communities and/or law enforcement at different stages on their path of radicalization and mobilization to violence. While some of these individuals have fully mobilized and require arrest, others do not pose enough of a serious imminent threat to warrant arrest and/or prosecution, but require some form of community-based intervention. Additionally, not all individuals at the early stages of mobilization are on the radar of law enforcement, but may be known to concerned community members, such as family or peers. While arrest is not always an appropriate or viable option, there is an acute awareness that something needs to be done. Additionally, individuals who *have* been convicted of crimes relating to terrorism or TV, may still be at-risk of engaging in terrorism or TV upon release. Equally critical, there exists no effective New York based vehicle through which to intervene with and demobilize these individuals in the pre-arrest or re-entry space.

Program Goals

In line with the third goal of DHS' Strategic Framework for Countering Terrorism and Targeted Violence to 'Prevent Terrorism and Targeted Violence,' with a particular emphasis on *threat assessment and management capabilities*, the Citizens Crime Commission's (CCC) DEEP Initiative fills the gap in the preventing VE and TV space. Drawing on our many years of experience working with complex violence in the prevention and intervention space for high-risk gang and gun involved individuals, we have expanded our work into the prevention of terrorism and TV. DEEP is a first of its kind, New York based early engagement initiative, which aims to intervene with individuals on a pathway to extremist or targeted violence, stopping the violence

before it starts. Specifically, we aim to identify and respond to individuals at risk of mobilizing to violence both in the pre-arrest space, and to those convicted of crimes related to terrorism or TV in the re-entry space.

Program Design and Intervention Course

Based off in-depth research into the behaviors driving the problem, corresponding mechanisms of behavior change, and interventions that have had the highest chance of success in this space, we have developed a model that is tailored to each participant's particular configuration of risk, needs and responsivity factors. As engagement and mobilizing towards VE and TV is a highly complex process that takes place on multiple domains, disengagement from VE and TV can only be achieved by targeting those same domains. Our intervention model aims to address where appropriate, but not limited to, the domains of: social skills; anxiety; trauma; leisure, work, education; critical thinking; family/partner issues; mental health; substance abuse; housing; medical needs; and whatever else a specific individual may need.

Referral: DEEP will work directly with individuals referred by state and local law enforcement agencies, the courts, probation, and community referrals. DEEP will work with these various partners to provide training and consultation on: referral protocols, including privacy protections; case eligibility; and mobilization behaviors.

Consent: Participation in DEEP is voluntary and requires consent from incoming participants. Even if mandated, such as for an alternative to incarceration program (ATI) or as a probationary requirement, participation requires consent. In the eligibility phase, a DEEP-trained Behavioral Intervention Specialist (BIS) will explain the program and answer any questions from the participant. This will include a discussion of expectations, rights, privacy, information sharing, HIPAA, and anything else that a participant may wish to discuss. In consenting to participate in DEEP, an explanation of information sharing requirements and restrictions will be explained. DEEP may be required to inform the referring agency of a participants' level of engagement and progress. Additionally, DEEP will share necessary information in-line with the requirements of mandated reporting, such as imminent danger. Other than outlined and agreed upon, DEEP will not share additional information with law enforcement/others without the participant's permission. It will be explained that DEEP is part of a non-profit organization not affiliated with law enforcement, and thus participant in the program does not grant any protection from legal consequences. All information will be outlined in consent-to-participate forms, which participants will be required to sign prior to starting the program.

Eligibility and Risk Assessments: Eligibility and risk assessments will consist of a series of screening measures designed to assess participant's level of risk and eligibility for DEEP. These screening measures will include: motivation for engagement with DEEP; clinical history; Columbia Suicide Severity Rating Scale; VERA-2R; a collateral interview; level of service inventory screening; drug/alcohol use; PTSD checklist. Risk assessments will be made periodically throughout the intervention course.

Individualized Service Plan: Once eligibility is complete, the BIS and participant will make an individualized service plan based on the participant's needs and level of risk. Service plans will have *goals* and *objectives* for each domain that has been identified as a need. *Goals* are general

aims in one domain that may not be attainable in a service period, or even for the duration of DEEP. *Goals* are to guide the participant and BIS in a particular domain. For example, a goal in the Social Skills domain might be “Improve sense of connection with others in participant’s community”. *Objectives* should be attainable and measurable within DEEP’s intervention period. For example, “Identify one social activity to engage in once a week”, or “attend 80% of mental health appointments.” For the intervention domain of Work/Education, the DEEP workforce development director will assess participants’ needs and connect them with appropriate employment/training opportunities. As vocational opportunities can be limited for this population, especially in the re-entry space, the workforce development director will work with employers and training programs to secure opportunities for this population. Additionally, DEEP has created a job training program and curriculum that is specialized to a high-risk population. At the end of each service period (3 months), the BIS and the participant will go over the objectives and determine how much of the objective has been attained. Some may be carried over to the next service period, others might be deemed completed and new ones might be added. The BIS will identify which service plan goals can be attained in-house and which require additional community providers. Using community resources is key in ensuring a robust, multi-faceted level of care and risk management. Building up a participant’s network of community resources allows for ongoing, long-term care provision even once the participant has graduated from DEEP. The BIS will maintain communication and coordinate with service providers (with the participant’s permission). Using community resources is also beneficial in expanding the involvement and awareness of community members in the prevention of VE and TV. The intensity/frequency of meetings between the participant and their DEEP BIS/other providers will be based on the needs and level of risk identified during the eligibility assessment process. At a minimum, participants should be having once weekly meetings with their DEEP BIS.

Intervention Completion and Graduation: A participant is deemed graduated when all their service goals have been met to at least an 80% threshold, or when they are connected to services that will help attain goals that are in progress. These determinations will be made by the clinical team and participant when the service plan is revised. Once a participant is ready to graduate, their BIS will create a transition plan with recommendations to maintain the intervention gains. The DEEP-trained transition specialist will provide ongoing support and monitoring for up to six months. This person will be trained in DEEP’s model, be familiar with the participant’s case, assist the participant in their transition out of the program, and help to identify any need for reengagement into a higher level of care. In cases whereby the participant is mandated to DEEP, completion will occur when the mandate ends. However, the level of intensity within DEEP should still be determined by clinical need, barring any court or law enforcement opposition.

Metrics and Database to Measure Program Objectives


The CCC has a robust history in the high risk gang and gun violence prevention space. To understand what drives youth gun violence and what works to stop it, CCC created a comprehensive Prevent Violence Database with the NYS Department of Criminal Justice Services (DCJS), NYC Department of Health and Mental Hygiene (DOHMH) and 30 NY Cure Violence and SNUG sites. The database allows for deeper analysis around youth’s experiences, needs and risks, what works to prevent and intervene in violence, community level interventions and critical technical assistance supports. The database enhances program performance and informs policy.

We aim to build out and adapt our database to the VE and TV prevention space. Using our understanding of the key indicators within the extremism and TV space, combined with our knowledge of how to translate these into metrics, outcomes and processes, allows us to gain data around what is driving the problem, and what works to address these drivers. This also allows us to understand what is effective in tailored interventions and intervention delivery. Critically, building out a database for our DEEP work will allow us to collect information around:

- Number of cases opened for both pre-entry and re-entry interventions, broken down by ideology/risk factors/other
- Number of referrals made to additional outside service providers, plus tracking of referrals
- Anonymized case status data
- Anonymized case studies illustrating the impact of the threat management and intervention
- Anonymized data on status/disposition of participants according to the standardized therapeutic assessments that we use throughout our intervention

All of our data collection will be anonymized and HIPAA compliant, and we adhere to strict privacy protection protocols.

Logic Model



3. Organization and Key Personnel¹⁰

The CCC believes in the power of early intervention for sustained violence prevention. Moreover, we are charged with tackling the most vexatious crime issues of our time. Over the past six years we have worked across NYC and NYS to enhance and expand youth gun violence prevention, focusing on developing community-based solutions that are proven to be effective and sustainable. We have strong working relationships with community-based organizations and government agencies, providing us insight and the ability to implement comprehensive solutions that stop gun violence and promote youths' ability to transform their lives. Using our understanding of behavior that drives gun violence, trusting relationships with community-based organizations and ability to interface and drive government innovation, CCC has advanced the effectiveness of anti-violence models by designing and implementing tools, policies and programs such as a tailored, nuanced prevent violence database, social media intervention, leadership development trainings, community engagement strategies, job readiness programming and others. This history of work in the high-risk violence prevention and intervention space, perfectly positions us to continue and expand our work in the prevention of VE and TV.

Clinical Staff: An intervention team consists of a Behavioral Intervention Specialist, a Transitional Specialist, and oversight and supervision from the clinical director. Each intervention team can carry a caseload of 5-7 cases at any one time.

Clinical Director: The clinical director will provide clinical oversight and support for the team and ensure services provided meet professional standards. She/he will provide specialized psychological assessment services when needed, including overseeing threat and risk assessments conducted by the BISs. She/he will be responsible for providing and/or coordinating training for all DEEP staff and to ensure services are provided in a culturally sensitive manner. She/he will provide training and consultation for law enforcement and referring partners on potential cases, behavioral indicators, referral protocols, and provide clinical professional judgment on threat and case assessments.

Behavioral Intervention Specialist (BIS): The main point of contact between the participant and DEEP will be the BIS. BISs are Masters level mental health workers who have received formal training in the DEEP intervention. Their role is to provide support and monitoring in the process of disengagement and demobilization for DEEP participants. BISs will conduct a thorough eligibility assessment to evaluate the participant's needs and design a service plan that is in line with those needs, with support from the clinical director. The BIS will maintain regular contact with the participant, and support from the BIS may take the form of intervention meetings, check-in sessions, encouragement and assistance in enrolling in certain activities, and help connecting the participant to appropriate services if those are outside of services provided by DEEP. The BIS will coordinate all additional care from outside service providers and consult with these additional service providers.

Transition Specialist: Once a participant has been deemed to have successfully met all their goals, they will be introduced by their BIS to a Transition Specialist (TS) prior to completion of

¹⁰ Resumes/CVs of key personnel can be found in Appendix B

their work with the BIS. The TS will focus on maintenance of behavior change and promoting stability. The TS will also remain alert for any warning signs and promptly bring a case to the attention of supervisors if the participant shows indications of decompensation or needing additional support.

Non-Clinical Staff

CCC President: The CCC president will provide strategic guidance, develop and manage relationships with external stakeholders and donors and publicly represent DEEP, along with the program director.

Program Director: The program director will oversee all aspects of the initiative and will handle administrative and non-clinical leadership. This may include interfacing with law enforcement, referring agencies, community members, funders, generating reports and performance evaluations as needed, as well as managing staff in all non-clinical matters. She will be responsible for ensuring adherence to all documentation protocols and creating or modifying procedures in collaboration with the clinical director. The DEEP program director has a Masters specializing in security and counter terrorism, and has been working on the DEEP program as program director for the past three years, initially with the Eastern District of New York before moving to CCC.

Program and Database Analyst: The program and database analyst will oversee and manage the development and implementation of the HIPAA-compliant database to measure the nuanced impact of DEEP intervention to support performance management. She will support translation of the research findings into measurable action steps that address key components of the problem behavior, identify strategies and mechanisms to create behavior change, and manage the development of data indicators and assessments. She will manage the program analyst. The program and database analyst has a Masters in Applied Psychology, with a background in applied research, program development and evaluation. Their research expertise focuses on program and intervention development for justice involved individuals.

Program Analyst: The program analyst will work under the program and database analyst to develop and implement the HIPAA-compliant database. The analyst will input and analyze research in the database to support the creation of measurable actions steps.

Workforce Development Director: The workforce development director will work with participants to assess needs and connect them with appropriate employment and training opportunities. She will work with potential employers and training programs to secure opportunities for this population. The workforce development director has an MS in Social Work and Public Policy, 15 years experience, and has worked specifically in workforce development for over 7 years serving vulnerable populations, including formerly incarcerated.

4. Sustainability

The CCC has a long track record of raising funds for new initiatives and to sustain existing initiatives. Our strong expectation is that once DEEP is fully operational and has a track record –

which we intend to accomplish during the life of this grant – we are confident that we will be able to obtain local and state government support, as well as support from foundations and major donors. Over the first two years since DEEP’s inception, we have already raised over \$100,000 to fund the planning phase of the initiative. Additionally, we have also received smaller contributions. We are confident that we will be able to go back to all of these funding sources in the future.

5. Budget Detail and Narrative

BUDGET CATEGORY	FEDERAL REQUEST (\$)
Personnel	
<i>President (50% FTE)</i>	79,500
<i>Program Director (100% FTE)</i>	55,120
<i>Clinical Director (100% FTE)</i>	119,780
<i>Program and Database Analyst (50% FTE)</i>	30,000
<i>Program Analyst (60% FTE)</i>	30,000
<i>Workforce Development Director (50% FTE)</i>	39,300
<i>Behavioral Intervention Specialist (100% FTE)</i>	100,700
<i>Transitional Specialist (100% FTE)</i>	73,140
Fringe Benefits	
<i>President (50% FTE)</i>	15,900
<i>Program Director (100% FTE)</i>	11,024
<i>Clinical Director (100% FTE)</i>	23,956
<i>Program and Database Analyst (50% FTE)</i>	6,360
<i>Program Analyst (60% FTE)</i>	6,000
<i>Workforce Development Director (50% FTE)</i>	7,860
<i>Behavioral Intervention Specialist (100% FTE)</i>	20,140
<i>Transitional Specialist (100% FTE)</i>	14,628
Travel	24,000
Supplies	0
Contractual	0
Other	
<i>Database build out</i>	50,000
<i>M&A at 5% of award</i>	34,470
Total Direct Costs	741,878
Indirect Costs	0
TOTAL PROJECT COSTS	741,878

The total project cost is \$741,878. DEEP is a New York-based, specialized, partially direct service model. The total cost figure is reflective of these factors. New York is among one of the highest cost of living states¹¹ with reflective salaries and fringe benefits in a competitive market. The specialized, partially direct-service model requires DEEP trained specialists on staff, such as a PhD-level clinical director and the masters-level BISs. While the DEEP model is direct service for the the core intervention work, we utilize a hybrid model of direct service and refer-out. We made the decision to use a hybrid model of also referring out to community resources for both cost efficiency reasons, as well as due to a myriad of other benefits, as discussed in this proposal.

¹¹ <https://worldpopulationreview.com/states/cost-of-living-index-by-state/>

The project costs allow us to effectively serve those at-risk of engaging in VE and TV in both the pre-arrest/pre-entry space and the re-entry space.

Personnel: \$527,540 The personnel costs include the salaries of all key personnel, as discussed in *Section 3 - Organization and Key Personnel* of this proposal. As DEEP is a New York-based program, the salaries are reflective of New York-market wages for the respective roles and specialists.

Fringe Benefits: \$105,868 Fringe benefits, .2 for all personnel.

Travel: \$24,000 Domestic travel to attend conferences/workshops/trainings: Domestic airfare for a team of 3 employees, \$700 per person for 5 different locations; Lodging for 3 rooms at 5 different locations, \$600 per night for 3 rooms, average 3-night stay; \$100 stipend per employee (3 employees) per day (3 days) for 5 different locations (15 days total)

Supplies: \$0

Contractual: \$0

Other: \$84,470

Database build out, \$50,000: The database is key to collecting critical outcome measures around intervention efforts, meaningful data around both what is driving extremism and TV, and what works to address these drivers. The cost to build out a robust, HIPAA-compliant database of this nature is \$50, 000 over a 24-month period.

Management & Administration costs, \$34,470: M&A costs at 5% of the total project costs (before factoring in the M&A at 5%). 5% of \$689,408 = \$34,470.4, rounded down to \$34,470.

Indirect Costs: \$0

Total Project Costs: \$741, 878

Addition of all costs: Personnel total \$527, 540; Fringe benefits total \$105,868; Travel total \$24,000; Supplies total \$0; Contractual total \$0; Other total \$84,470; Indirect costs \$0.

Total: \$741,878

Appendix A: Implementation and Measurement Plan (IMP)

Organization Name	Citizens Crime Commission
Project Title	DEEP
Grant Number	DHS-20-TTP-132-00-01
Grant Implementation Period:	24 months: 10/01/2020 to 09/30/2022
Reporting Period:	Quarterly: Jan 30; April 30; July 30; October 30

Project Goal Statement

To intervene with individuals at-risk of engaging in extremist or targeted violence, stopping violence before it starts, *by* piloting a specialized first of its kind New York, community-based early intervention initiative, tailored to working with and demobilizing this population.

Target Population

Across both the *Threat Assessment and Management Team* and *Recidivism Reduction and Reintegration* tracks, our target populations will be two-fold. We will be serving the **population requiring intervention** – i.e. those at-risk of mobilizing towards VE or TV – and the **referring agencies** that are desirous of making referrals but lack a service to which they can refer – i.e. **state and local law enforcement, the courts, probation, and communities**. We will take referrals from, and serve the target population for, the **New York City area**. The estimated number of people in the target population is dependent on referrals from state and local law enforcement, the courts, probation and community members. With the rise in VE and TV in recent years, we **expect to see a steady flow of referrals for both the pre-entry and re-entry** populations.

OUTCOME 1: Successful participant program engagement and retention, with corresponding needs identified and addressed.

Mid-Term Outcome 1.1: Intake of eligible participants and creation of specialized service plan.

Mid-Term Outcome 1.2: Implementation of individualized service plan, with ongoing assessments of plan and goals attained.

OUTCOME 1 IMPLEMENTATION PLAN

Activity	Inputs/Resources	Time Frame	Anticipated Outputs	Progress Reporting (Complete for Progress Report Only)
<i>Evaluate referrals</i>	<i>Staff; Office space; Eligibility criteria</i>	<i>1-2 meetings with referral source (e.g. 1-2 weeks)</i>	<i>Initial eligibility determination</i>	
<i>Assess and intake potential participants</i>	<i>Staff; Office space; Assessment materials; Consent and intake documents</i>	<i>1-3 meetings with participant (e.g. 1-3 weeks)</i>	<i>Completed intakes; Assignment of BIS to participant</i>	
<i>Design individualized service plans</i>	<i>Staff; Office space; DEEP toolkit</i>	<i>1 meeting with participant; 1 meeting with clinical director (e.g. 1-2 weeks)</i>	<i>Individualized service plans created</i>	
<i>Deliver interventions</i>	<i>Staff; Office space</i>	<i>Length differs depending on participant's needs and level of risk (e.g. 3- 18 months)</i>	<i>Participant retention in program</i>	
<i>Make appropriate community-based referrals</i>	<i>Staff; Community-based resources/specialists</i>	<i>As needed</i>	<i>Referrals to additional service providers</i>	

<i>Assess ongoing interventions</i>	<i>Staff; Office space; Assessment materials</i>	<i>At each service plan review (i.e. approx. every 3 months)</i>	<i>Participant engagement with intervention; Amended service plans (if appropriate)</i>	
-------------------------------------	--	--	---	--

OUTCOME 1 MEASUREMENT PLAN

Outcome Indicator(s)	Data Collection Method and Timeframe NOTE: All data obtained by BISs/transitional specialists/other DEEP staff familiar with/engaged with the case. Includes info from: meetings with the participant; assessment results; info from referral source; info from additional service providers; reviewing individualized service plan and progress. Analysis of anonymized data will be done by the program analyst, managed by the senior program and database analyst.	Results <i>(Complete for Progress Report Only)</i>
<i>Number of cases opened for both pre-entry and re-entry interventions, broken down by ideology/risk factors/other.</i>	Anonymized data collected in HIPAA-compliant database: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - No. of cases opened - Case type (pre-entry/re-entry) - Ideology - Risk factors - Demographics - Other Timeframe: Upon intake	

<p style="text-align: center;">Outcome Indicator(s)</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Data Collection Method and Timeframe</p> <p>NOTE: All data obtained by BISs/transitional specialists/other DEEP staff familiar with/engaged with the case. Includes info from: meetings with the participant; assessment results; info from referral source; info from additional service providers; reviewing individualized service plan and progress. Analysis of anonymized data will be done by the program analyst, managed by the senior program and database analyst.</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Results <i>(Complete for Progress Report Only)</i></p>
<p><i>Number of referrals made to additional outside service providers, plus tracking of these referrals.</i></p>	<p>Anonymized data collection in HIPAA-compliant database:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - No. of referrals made to additional service providers - Status of referrals <p>Timeframe: Once participant has successfully engaged with additional service provider (e.g. after participant has been to 3-6 meetings with additional service provider)</p>	
<p><i>Anonymized case status data.</i></p>	<p>Anonymized data collection in HIPAA-compliant database:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Case status (active/closed/other/referral to other resources) <p>Timeframe: At each service plan review (i.e. approx. every 3 months)</p>	

<p style="text-align: center;">Outcome Indicator(s)</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Data Collection Method and Timeframe</p> <p>NOTE: All data obtained by BISs/transitional specialists/other DEEP staff familiar with/engaged with the case. Includes info from: meetings with the participant; assessment results; info from referral source; info from additional service providers; reviewing individualized service plan and progress. Analysis of anonymized data will be done by the program analyst, managed by the senior program and database analyst.</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Results <i>(Complete for Progress Report Only)</i></p>
<p><i>Anonymized data on status/disposition of participants according to the standardized therapeutic assessments that we use throughout our intervention.</i></p>	<p>Anonymized data collection in HIPAA-compliant database:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Status/disposition of participants - Assessment results (new/no change/change) <p>Timeframe: At each service plan review, or as risk assessments are needed (i.e. approx. every 3-6 months)</p>	

OUTCOME 2: *Reduced risk for engaging in violence.*

Mid-Term Outcome 2.1: Intervention graduation to transitional services based on goals attained and reduced risk.

Mid-Term Outcome 2.2: Long-term, sustained behavior change.

OUTCOME 2 IMPLEMENTATION PLAN

Activity	Inputs/Resources	Time Frame	Anticipated Outputs	Progress Reporting (Complete for Progress Report Only)
<i>Assess goals and number of goals attained by participant, in line with their individualized service plan</i>	<i>Staff; Office space; Assessment materials</i>	<i>At each service plan review (i.e. approx. every 3 months)</i>	<i>Completed assessments; Approx. 80% goal attainment by participant in-line with individualized service plan</i>	
<i>Assess participant’s level of risk</i>	<i>Staff; Office space; Assessment materials</i>	<i>At each service plan review (i.e. approx. every 3 months)</i>	<i>Completed assessments</i>	
<i>Transition participant to transitional services</i>	<i>Staff; Office space; Community-based resources/specialists</i>	<i>Once participant is ready to do so, depending on time taken to attain goals and reduce risk</i>	<i>Graduation from intervention to transitional services; Assignment of transitional specialist to participant</i>	
<i>Assess ongoing transitional services</i>	<i>Staff; Assessment materials</i>	<i>Every 3 – 6 months</i>	<i>Participant engagement with transitional services</i>	

OUTCOME 2 MEASUREMENT PLAN

<p style="text-align: center;">Outcome Indicator(s)</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Data Collection Method and Timeframe</p> <p>NOTE: All data obtained by BISs/transitional specialists/other DEEP staff familiar with/engaged with the case. Includes info from: meetings with the participant; assessment results; info from referral source; info from additional service providers; reviewing individualized service plan and progress. Analysis of anonymized data will be done by the program analyst, managed by the senior program and database analyst.</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Results <i>(Complete for Progress Report Only)</i></p>
<p><i>Number of participants graduating to transitional phase.</i></p>	<p>Anonymized data collected in HIPAA-compliant database:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - No. of participants graduating to transitional phase <p>Timeframe: Upon intake</p>	
<p><i>Number of referrals made to additional outside service providers, plus tracking of these referrals.</i></p>	<p>Anonymized data collected in HIPAA-compliant database:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - No. of referrals to additional outside resources - Type of resources (mental health/social skills/etc.) - Status of referrals (participant engaged/progress/etc.) <p>Timeframe: Once participant has successfully engaged with additional service provider (e.g. after participant has been to 3-6 meetings with additional service provider)</p>	

<p style="text-align: center;">Outcome Indicator(s)</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Data Collection Method and Timeframe</p> <p>NOTE: All data obtained by BISs/transitional specialists/other DEEP staff familiar with/engaged with the case. Includes info from: meetings with the participant; assessment results; info from referral source; info from additional service providers; reviewing individualized service plan and progress. Analysis of anonymized data will be done by the program analyst, managed by the senior program and database analyst.</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Results <i>(Complete for Progress Report Only)</i></p>
<p><i>Anonymized case status data.</i></p>	<p>Anonymized data collected in HIPAA-compliant database:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Case status (active/closed/other/referral to other resources) <p>Timeframe: At each service plan review (i.e. approx. every 3 months)</p>	
<p><i>Anonymized data on status/disposition of participants according to the standardized therapeutic assessments that we use throughout our intervention.</i></p>	<p>Anonymized data collection in HIPAA-compliant database:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Status/disposition of participants - Assessment results (change/no change) <p>Timeframe: At each service plan review, or as risk assessments are needed (i.e. approx. every 3-6 months)</p>	

OUTCOME 3: Demobilization and disengagement from high-risk, violent activity, such as violent extremism and targeted violence.

Mid-Term Outcome 3.1: Reduced risk for engaging in violence.

Mid-Term Outcome 3.2: Sustained disengagement from high risk activities.

OUTCOME 3 IMPLEMENTATION PLAN

Activity	Inputs/Resources	Time Frame	Anticipated Outputs	Progress Reporting (Complete for Progress Report Only)
<i>Assess participant risk reduction and goal attainment</i>	<i>Staff; Assessment materials</i>	<i>At each service plan review, or as risk assessments are needed (i.e. approx. every 3-6 months)</i>	<i>Completed assessments</i>	
<i>Ensure participant is sufficiently connected with long-term community resources</i>	<i>Staff; Community-based resources</i>	<i>Once participant has sustained engagement with additional service providers (e.g. after participant built relationship with service providers, such as after 6 meetings with additional service provider)</i>	<i>Participant connected with long-term community-based resources</i>	
<i>Graduate participant</i>	<i>Staff</i>	<i>Once participant is ready to do so, ideally after 6 months of transitional services</i>	<i>Graduation from DEEP services</i>	

OUTCOME 3 MEASUREMENT PLAN

<p>Outcome Indicator(s)</p>	<p>Data Collection Method and Timeframe NOTE: All data obtained by BISs/transitional specialists/other DEEP staff familiar with/engaged with the case. Includes info from: meetings with the participant; assessment results; info from referral source; info from additional service providers; reviewing individualized service plan and progress. Analysis of anonymized data will be done by the program analyst, managed by the senior program and database analyst.</p>	<p>Results <i>(Complete for Progress Report Only)</i></p>
<p><i>Anonymized case studies illustrating the impact of the threat management and intervention</i></p>	<p>Anonymized data collection in HIPAA-compliant database:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Impact of threat management and intervention in terms of: risk reduction; goals attained; psychiatric stability (if appropriate); connectedness to long-term resources; participant satisfaction; disengagement from high risk activities; non-involvement with law enforcement; other <p>Timeframe: Upon participant graduation</p>	
<p><i>Number of participants graduated from DEEP</i></p>	<p>Anonymized data collected in HIPAA-compliant database:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - No. of participants graduated from DEEP <p>Timeframe: Upon participant graduation</p>	

RISK MANAGEMENT PLAN

Risk Identified	Likelihood of Risk Occurring (low/medium/high)	Risk Analysis (brief assessment of the impact the identified risk could/would have on the project)	Risk Management Plan (plan to minimize the impact that the risk presents to the project and adjustments to be made if the risk transpires)
Referrals flow too low to maintain program efficiently.	Low/Medium	Program efficiency would be reduced creating high overheads per each participant.	<p>Clinical staff would be contracted on an hourly basis rather than as full time employees to keep the program financially efficient.</p> <p>Increased outreach to additional referral streams, such as greater community outreach, to increase referrals.</p>
Law enforcement partners cease referrals.	Low	Program would experience a drop in referrals resulting in reduced program intakes.	Law enforcement have been and are committed to working with us on utilizing DEEP as a referral source (as per Letters of Commitment in Appendix C). If law enforcement ceased using DEEP as a referral source we would increase outreach to additional referral streams, such as greater community outreach to increase referrals.
Referrals are too high risk, i.e. individuals posing a serious, imminent threat to themselves or others.	Low/Medium	The DEEP model intends to intervene with individuals who are on a pathway to mobilization but have not yet mobilized/do not pose an imminent security threat. The DEEP model is not equipped to intake individuals who pose a serious, imminent threat.	The DEEP eligibility and intake process includes a series of risk assessments to ensure that incoming participants are not too high risk/do not pose an imminent security threat to themselves or others/are at a risk level that DEEP can and is equipped to handle.

Participant's risk level increases throughout the intervention such that the participant poses a serious, imminent threat to themselves or others.	Low	The DEEP model is equipped to work with individuals who pose a low to moderate risk.	Behavior change is a complicated process. Individuals working with DEEP will likely show fluctuating risk levels throughout the intervention. Throughout the intervention, DEEP staff will continually assess participants' risk level. If risk escalation continues on an upwards trajectory and is too high to handle, the intervention will be reassessed and, in cases of serious, imminent threat, an individual will be referred to law enforcement.
Referral flow too high.	Medium	DEEP may experience a rate of referrals that the program is not currently equipped to handle, which would result in having to turn down referrals of at-risk individuals.	DEEP would fundraise to increase resources and staff to reach the capacity needs of the program.
Referrals not suitable for the DEEP intervention.	Medium	DEEP may receive referrals of individuals who are in need of help but who are not DEEP eligible – i.e. are not at risk of engaging in violent extremism or targeted violence.	DEEP has encountered a number of referrals that are not suitable for the program. We have used clinical and professional judgment to determine and map suitable resources for these individuals, and will continue to do so with any future referrals that are not suitable for DEEP.

Appendix B: Resumes/CVs of Key Personnel

1. Claire Abrahams, Program Director

CLAIRE ABRAHAMS

New York, N.Y. | (b)(6)
(b)(6)

EMPLOYMENT HISTORY

2018-Present **Program Director, DEEP**

Citizens Crime Commission of New York City

- Lead, develop and manage the DEEP Initiative
- Develop and build out DEEP into a comprehensive, multi-faceted initiative
- Manage staff in all non-clinical matters
- Collaborate and work with government agencies, civil society and the private sector to develop and implement programs
- Liaise between government agencies and across government and non-government actors
- Assess the landscape of programming/resources and work to fill gaps
- Engage in research and translate findings into program developments
- Obtain and manage funding grants
- Recruit and hire staff
- Work on a Unites States Supreme Court Amicus Brief

2017-2018 **Program Director, Disruption and Early Engagement, DEEP**

United States Attorneys' Offices, Eastern District of New York

- Lead and develop the DEEP program as the founding private sector partner working the United States Attorneys' Offices, Eastern District of New York (EDNY)
- Work EDNY to develop, lead and launch the DEEP program, working specifically with the Chief, National Security and Cybercrime Section, EDNY
- Liaise and coordinate with all government and law enforcement partners
- Run and coordinate working groups with 60+ participants across multiple government agencies
- Strategize around effective case management and planning with law enforcement, Prosecution and Defense
- Liaise between government agencies and across government and non-government actors
- Work with participants and their families to find and provide necessary resources and services

- Produce and present presentations on program development and updates to a variety of government audiences
- Work with top law firms to establish pro bono representation for DEEP participants

2012 **Intern, Penn, Schoen, Berland, Washington DC**

- Immersed in the process of opinion polling for high profile political campaigns
- Work in a target-driven, corporate-research environment, gaining insight into how political actors gauge public perception, and adapt their message accordingly

2010 **Intern, Charles Stanley Stockbroking and Investment**

- Gain an understanding of securities, investment strategy, the basis on which valuations are made, and how stocks are traded

EDUCATION

2015-2017 **Columbia University, MA International Relations**

- Specialized in Security and Counter-Terrorism
- Classes included: Modern Urban Terrorism / Terrorism and Counter-Terrorism / National Security Strategies of the Middle East / Middle East Conflict and Global Security / Intelligence and Special Operations / Central Issues in American Foreign Policy

2011-2014 **University of Cambridge, Trinity College, BA (Hons), MA Cantab, Politics, Psychology, Sociology**

- Specialized in Politics and International Relations
- Graduated, 2.1 class degree

PRESENTATIONS

2019 **U.S. Department of State Bureau of Counterterrorism and U.S. Department of Justice ICITAP**

Present at the Policing for Countering Violent Extremism Symposium co-hosted by the U.S. Department of State Bureau of Counterterrorism, U.S. Department of Justice ICITAP, and Hedayah on “Wraparound or Prevention Programs: Responding to Radicalized Youth.”

2019 **Global Counterterrorism Forum (GCTF)**

Take part in the GCTF's CVE Working Group as the New York representative at this global forum.

2019 **International Association of Chiefs of Police (IACP)**

Present at the annual IACP conference on a panel entitled “Terrorism Prevention Through Community Policing: Mistakes Made and Lessons Learned”

2018 **Brookings Institute**

Present at a conference on, "Federalized Approaches to Addressing Violent Extremism: Good Practices, Innovations, and Challenges."

2018 **Harvard Law School**

Present at Harvard Law School to practitioners' roundtable, discussing DEEP and prevention and intervention programs.

2017 **American Jewish Committee (AJC) Counselors' Council**

Present on DEEP at AJC's Counselors' Council in New York City.

2017 **National Counter Terrorism Centre (NCTC)**

Present on a panel on early intervention programs.

POSITIONS OF RESPONSIBILITY

2018 **Hurricane Relief Gala, Board Member**

- Serve on a board to organize, fundraise for, and throw a black-tie gala for 500+ young professionals, raising over \$130,000 for those affected by Hurricane Irma and Hurricane Maria

2017 **FIDF Young Leadership New York Division, Committee Member**

- Serve on a committee to organize, fundraise for, and throw a gala for over 1000 young professionals, raising over \$500,000

2012 **Cambridge Charity Project**

- Head of Publicity for 'The Affinity Project', a mentoring scheme tying Cambridge students to pupils in underfunded secondary school

INTERESTS AND OTHER SKILLS

- Self-taught pianist with a particular love for Chopin. Enjoy singing and acoustic guitar
- Enjoy playing tennis and skiing
- Proficient using Microsoft Office, including Word, Excel and PowerPoint

2. Katelyn Greco, Program and Database Analyst

KATELYN GRECO

DIRECTOR OF
RESEARCH AND
PROGRAMMING,
YOUTH GUN VIOLENCE
PREVENTION

EXPERIENCE

DIRECTOR OF RESEARCH AND PROGRAMMING | CITIZENS CRIME COMMISSION OF NYC

New York, NY | September 2019 - Present

- **Provided technical assistance** to city and statewide initiatives focused on youth gun violence prevention to enhance and expand efforts.
 - Maintained and created partnerships with government agencies and 30+ community partners.
 - Analyzed and presented data analyses on root causes of youth gun violence and efforts to address gun violence to government and community partners.
- **Led and managed a grant** to enhance services provided by credible messengers to youth currently in placement or returning back to the community.
 - Developed and facilitated evidence-based, full day trainings to 30+ credible messenger staff on topics such as LGBTQ+ sensitivity, risk reduction, professional development, and gender and trauma-responsiveness.
 - Created evidence-based curricula for credible messengers to utilize while facilitating work groups with youth in placement.
 - Coordinated with 2 government agencies and 7 community-based organizations to strengthen collaboration and service delivery across agencies.
- **Collaborated with staff** across initiatives to support and increase the Crime Commission's efforts to prevent violence.
 - Contributed to the organization's fundraising strategy plan.
 - Sought new collaborations by engaging and educating various government agencies and community-based programs.
 - Designed a digital communications strategy to promote initiatives, and raise awareness with new stakeholders, community members and young people.

PROGRAM ANALYST | CITIZENS CRIME COMMISSION OF NYC

New York, NY | November 2017 - September 2019

- **Managed a comprehensive database system** used by 30+ community-based organizations and 4 government agencies.
 - Directly communicated with community-based organizations and government stakeholders to maintain database functionality and support data entry.
 - Project managed database system customization for expansion and implementation with 14 community-based organizations.
 - Continuously trained front-line staff to build data entry skills.
 - Conducted analyses, created reports, and presented to government stakeholders and community partners to enhance program effectiveness.
- **Conducted research** to support the build-out of a new anti-extremism initiative through in-depth literature reviews, needs analysis, case studies, focus groups and interviews with academia.
 - Contributed to the development of a direct service intervention for violence extremism.
 - Presented research to high-ranking government agencies, and participated in an international conference for selected practitioners, to promote collaboration and educate relevant stakeholders on needs and best practices.

KATELYN GRECO

DIRECTOR OF RESEARCH AND PROGRAMMING, YOUTH GUN VIOLENCE PREVENTION

EDUCATION

New York University, 2018
Master of Arts, Applied Psychology

Focus: Human Development and Social Intervention

Thesis: The Development of the Youth Experiences of Institutional Betrayal and Victimization Survey

Temple University, 2016
Bachelor of Arts, Psychology

Minor: Criminal Justice

EXPERIENCE

ASSISTANT LAB MANAGER AND GRADUATE RESEARCH ASSISTANT, NEW YORK UNIVERSITY | RESEARCHING INEQUITY IN SOCIETY ECOLOGICALLY LAB

New York, NY | August 2016 - May 2018

- **Supervised 10+ members of the evaluation team** of a tailored advocacy intervention for justice-involved young women.
 - Mentored undergraduate research assistants.
 - Provided supervision for quantitative and qualitative data entry.
- **Ensured data quality** by providing data management, database creation and finalization, and troubleshooting database issues.
- **Contributed to the organization, structure and management** of the team.
 - Created and manualized data entry protocols and processes.
 - Developed and conducted trainings to on-board research assistants, and build new research-related skills such as quantitative and qualitative data entry, conducting literature reviews, and creating annotated bibliographies.

SUMMER GRADUATE EXTERN | ADMINISTRATION FOR CHILDREN'S SERVICES

New York, NY | July 2017 - September 2017

- **Created a database** of completed youth intake forms used by the Department of Youth and Family Justice (DYFJ) between January - August 2017 for the LGBTQ Office of Policy and Practice under the Division of Policy, Planning and Measurement.
 - 173 intake forms were analyzed in efforts to identify the amount of LGBTQ+ identifying youth in placement, and intake protocols that impact intake data quality, including youth's comfortability in disclosing LGBTQ+ identity.
 - Provided reports and recommendations to DYFJ staff and a LGBTQ+ work-group to strengthen the current intake process and create more affirming spaces for LGBTQ+ identifying youth in placement.

UNDERGRADUATE RESEARCH ASSISTANT, TEMPLE UNIVERSITY | CROSSROADS STUDY

Philadelphia, PA | January 2014 - May 2016

- **Collected data** via two-hour interviews with participants in a longitudinal study evaluating the impacts of diversions and adjudication on mental health and recidivism for first-time juvenile male offenders ages 13-17.
 - Contributed to 97% retention rate.
 - Held a caseload of 60-80 participants.
- **Contributed to the hiring and training process** of undergraduate research assistants.
 - Conducted first round interviews of potential research assistants, and trained new assistants as part of the onboarding process.

3. Wendy Prudencio, Workforce Development Director

WENDY PRUDENCIO

PROGRAM DIRECTOR

PERSONAL PROFILE

Over 15 years of progressive experience in non-profit and government positions. Experienced at creating, implementing, and evaluating programs. Keen ability in identifying deficits and improving services. Compassionate advocate experienced in working with diverse communities at the policy and direct service levels. Adept at collaborating with community partners and stakeholders to fulfill the goals of an organization.

AREAS OF EXPERTISE

- Program development, implementation & evaluation
- Data analysis, budget tracking & cost containment
- Develop, grow and manage partnerships
- Community outreach & event planning
- Staff oversight, program auditing, technical assistance

CONTACT

(b)(6)

EMPLOYMENT HISTORY

PROGRAM DIRECTOR FOR WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT

Citizens Crime Commission of NY | 2017 - Present

An integral part of a small, multidisciplinary team tasked with developing innovative solutions to prevent violence and crime. Offer expertise in various contracts, projects and grant proposals.

Workforce Development Responsibilities

- Designed a career readiness model tailored for youth involved in gun and gang violence with the goal of preparing and connecting participants to a career. Created an evidence-based, 27 session, manualized curriculum aimed at strengthening participants' soft and technology skills.
 - Trained staff from 10 community based organizations on the model.
 - Provided ongoing support and resources to staff and participants.
- Partnered with NYU researchers to pilot the career readiness model to evaluate its effectiveness.
 - Trained 3 facilitators on the model and pilot protocols, monitored the implementation of the model to ensure fidelity.
 - Administered budget, distributed funds to all partner sites, tracked all necessary documentation.
 - Collected and tracked surveys throughout the pilot; supervised data entry.
 - Facilitated focus groups with participants and staff. Incorporated feedback and findings in improving curriculum.
- Develop and nurture relationships with board members, stakeholders and businesses to create opportunities for youth, including internship, employment, job shadowing and company tours.
 - Pre-screen and interview participants for employment opportunities. Provide interviewing feedback and edit cover letters and resumes.

Fundraising & Outreach Responsibilities

- Strategize with team to develop a fundraising plan.
- Write grant proposals for foundations and government agencies.
- Research and identify new funding opportunities. Meet with potential funders.
- Develop social media content to increase traffic and followers.
- Designated for Spanish language interviews on the radio and television.

PROGRAM DIRECTOR SENIOR CENTER

Carter Burden Senior Services | 2016 - 2017

*Brought in to improve the operation of a well-funded, innovative senior center. **Key achievements:** improved service delivery, programming and staff performance.*

- Responsible for daily operations of a center serving approximately 150 participants, 17 contracted staff, and 10+ consultants, volunteers, and interns.
- Ensured staff met all safety and government regulations, provided oversight and quality assurance. Facilitated trainings and implemented new procedures to avoid deficiencies and errors.
- Incorporated regular staff meetings across departments, improving communication, service delivery, and staff morale.
- Engaged with clients daily and led regular town hall meetings, incorporated changes to improve service and satisfaction.
- Prepared monthly expense and utilization reports, tracked center's performance and budget.

WENDY PRUDENCIO

PROGRAM DIRECTOR

EDUCATION

Columbia University
School of International Affairs & Public Policy
Master in Public Administration, 2012

School of Social Work
Master in Social Work, 2012

City College of New York
Bachelor in Political Science &
International Studies, 2004

STUDY ABROAD

Southern Illinois University
Human Rights & Social Work in Munich
Summer 2010

School of International Training
Southern Cone: Regional Integration,
Development, & Social Change
Field Research in Argentina & Paraguay
February - May 2004

FELLOWSHIPS

Rockefeller College | SUNY Albany
Women & Public Policy
January - July 2011

Howard University
International Affairs & Public Affairs
Summer, 2004

City College of NY

City College Fellowship
Field Research in El Salvador
2002 - 2004

EMPLOYMENT HISTORY

OMBUDSMAN FOR UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE

New York State Department of Labor | 2013 - 2016

*Ombudsman office was established in 2013 to assist and advocate on behalf of claimants. **Key achievements:** successfully advocated for changes in the filing and communication systems.*

- First Unemployment Insurance Ombudsman for New York State. Trusted with the development and implementation of the program. Collaborated with Communications Team to market new services to the public.
- Hired, trained and supervised 4 staff. Monitored staff's interaction with the public, offered feedback on their communication with the public. Routinely provided sensitivity training, emphasis on working with claimants with language and education barriers. Enforced all security protocols.
- Created tracking system to gather and evaluate data. Analyze data on a monthly, quarterly, and annual basis.
- Reported to Deputy Commissioner on issues and repetitive inquiries from the public. Advocated on behalf of the public and provided suggestions on areas of improvement.
- Successfully influenced improvements to the Unemployment Insurance system, saving the state resources and improving customer service.

SPECIAL ASSISTANT FOR POLICY DEVELOPMENT

New York State Department of Labor | 2011 - 2013

Trusted with becoming Special Assistant to Executive staff upon completing a fellowship program and demonstrating ability for policy research and analysis.

- Carried out programming and outreach for Governor Cuomo's workforce development initiatives, including programs aimed to connect the formally incarcerated, at-risk youth, and LGBTQ homeless youth with job readiness training and employment opportunities.
- Organized events for jobseekers and business leaders. Worked with elected officials and community partners to tailor each event to their communities.
- Conducted in-depth research of workforce development trends, best practices, and state initiatives; reviewed academic literature, participated in work-groups, interviewed state officials and nonprofit leaders, produced policy reports to inform DOL's policy leadership.
- Supervised and mentored college interns, assigned work assignments, and provided feedback on their work.

SENIOR PROGRAM OFFICER

Citymeals-on-Wheels | 2005 - 2009

- Liaised with **90+** contracted programs, conducted site visits, lending technical assistance. Oversaw budgets for each contract and monitored monthly invoices.
- Responsible for the annual distribution of emergency food packages to over 17,000 clients.
- Created and facilitated trainings in English and Spanish. Informed community stakeholders of the program and its services.
- As Program Associate assisted potential recipients, tracked all referrals, and successfully advocated for the expansion of programs to end waitlists. Identified areas not being served and programs needing modification.

4. Richard Aborn, CCC President

RICHARD M. ABORN PRESIDENT

Richard M. Aborn is president of the Citizens Crime Commission of New York City, a non-partisan non-profit organization with multidisciplinary expertise that works to improve public safety through innovation. At the Crime Commission, Mr. Aborn advances strategies to improve the justice system, strengthen gun policies and practices, prevent youth gun violence, and prevent cybercrime.

Under Mr. Aborn's leadership, the commission has been instrumental in passage of several laws in New York including legislation to expand the use of DNA evidence to convict the guilty and exonerate the innocent, a comprehensive gun violence reduction package, known as the NY SAFE Act, and legislation increasing the penalty for carrying an illegal loaded firearm in the state.

The commission also works with law enforcement, government agencies, community-based organizations, and academia to implement effective, comprehensive strategies to prevent crime. Mr. Aborn led the design and implementation of New York City's "Project Fast Track," bringing together the New York City Mayor's Office of Criminal Justice, the NYPD, the NY Office of Court Administration, local prosecutors and others to swiftly investigate and prosecute firearm offenders. He also brought the NYPD and FBI together to establish a first-of-its-kind joint strike force tasked with preventing two of the fastest-growing crimes in the city: identity and high-end electronics thefts. In partnership with the FBI, a new NYPD grand larceny unit with 300 officers investigates criminal rings that steal and re-sell smartphones and tablet computers, as well as perpetrators of online financial crimes.

Also under Mr. Aborn's leadership, the commission launched the Predictive Prevention Lab, an incubator to develop predictive prevention solutions to enhance the effectiveness of crime prevention through behavior change. The commission partnered with researchers at New York University to develop a program, called E-Responder, rooted in proven conflict resolution strategies, which trains community-based anti-violence professionals to interrupt conflicts on social media before the conflict escalates into real-world violence. In addition, the commission partnered with Carnegie Mellon University and the University of Pittsburgh to create an intelligent tutoring system that builds internet users' resilience to phishing attacks.

Mr. Aborn is frequently relied upon by the media and government officials as an independent and objective expert on criminal justice issues. He has held appointments on the Independent Commission on New York City Criminal Justice and Incarceration Reform, New York State Juvenile Justice Advisory Group, New York State Bar Association's Task Force on Wrongful Convictions, and the transition teams of the New York State Attorney General, NYPD Commissioner, Brooklyn District Attorney, and Bronx District Attorney. And he has provided informational sessions for visitors from around the world who are interested in learning more about effective crime prevention strategies, including representatives from Argentina Ministry of Security; Colombia Ministry of Justice; Denmark Ministry of Justice; United Kingdom Home Office, Ministry of Justice, Northern Ireland Office, Secret Intelligence Service (MI6), Parliament, Police Service of Northern Ireland, London Metropolitan Police, and London Mayor's Office; and the Uruguay Ministry of Interior.

In his role at the Crime Commission, Mr. Aborn draws on his extensive experiences in law and policy. Mr. Aborn began his legal career as an Assistant District Attorney in the Manhattan District Attorney's office where he prosecuted major felonies, including homicides, from 1979 until 1984.

While serving as president of Handgun Control, Inc. from 1992 to 1996 (now the Brady Campaign), the leading gun control advocacy organization in the United States at the time, he was one of the principal strategists behind the passage of the landmark Brady Bill mandating background checks on firearm purchasers and legislation banning assault weapons and large-capacity ammunition magazines. At the same time, Mr. Aborn also served as president of the Center to Prevent Handgun Violence, where he worked with the New York City public school system to develop a first-of-its-kind program to reduce gun injuries as part of the curriculum. During his tenure at the Center, he also established a research division to evaluate and supervise studies evaluating the impact of gun control legislation.

Mr. Aborn has played a central role in several campaigns and investigations. In 1999, Mr. Aborn was commissioned by New York City to conduct an investigation of the New York City Police Department's (NYPD) disciplinary system, as well as its response to civilian complaints of misconduct. This multi-year investigation resulting in numerous

recommendations to reduce police misconduct received extensive media coverage and support. Mr. Aborn was also commissioned to investigate the NYPD's disciplinary decisions concerning the officers involved in the fatal shooting of Amadou Diallo, an unarmed individual shot 41 times by police.

As the senior law enforcement advisor to the Democratic candidate for Mayor of New York in 2001, he developed a comprehensive criminal justice policy for the City of New York that provided for greater coordination of governmental agencies in order to reduce crime and recidivism; the use of advance technology for police officers; increased focus on gun violence; and the establishment of a "311" program to better manage government community relations. He also urged much greater cooperation and interaction between city agencies. Known as "silo busting", Mr. Aborn sought to break down the barriers that exist within government hampering greater efficiency.

In addition to his work at the Crime Commission, Mr. Aborn serves as president of CAAS LLC, which advises police departments, criminal justice agencies, corporations and other organizations in the United States, Latin America and Europe on criminal justice policy, violence reduction and Rule of Law issues. He is also one of the managing partners of the international law firm Constantine Cannon and serves on the Board of Directors of several non-profit organizations.

Appendix C: Documentation of Commitment/Support



DISTRICT ATTORNEY
County of New York
One Hogan Place
New York, N. Y. 10013
(212) 335-9000

CYRUS R. VANCE, JR.
District Attorney

KAREN FRIEDMAN AGNIFILO
CHIEF ASSISTANT District Attorney

Department of Homeland Security
12th & C Street SW
Washington, DC 20024

Grant Reference Number: DHS-20-TTP-132-00-01

To whom it may concern:

On behalf of the Manhattan District Attorney's Office, I am pleased to provide this letter in support of the Citizens Crime Commission and their application for a United States Department of Homeland Security grant to operate their Disruption and Early Engagement Program (DEEP) initiative.

The Manhattan District Attorney's Office, through its Criminal Justice Investment Initiative, a \$250 million investment of settlement funds into community-based crime prevention programs, seeded a \$100,000 planning grant to the Citizens Crime Commission in support of the DEEP initiative. This funding allowed the Crime Commission to develop a curriculum, identify referral partners, and solidify their model. DEEP provides a unique intervention, designed and operated by the Crime Commission, that enhances our ability to interrupt the pathways to extremist mobilization, and assist individuals in demobilizing before becoming violent.

New York is exposed to a wide variety of ideologically driven extremist behaviors. DEEP's ability to operate across a wide spectrum of extremist ideologies enhances its effectiveness and application to a complex environment like New York. Moreover, DEEP's applicability to someone post-conviction assists in reducing the chance of an individual re-offending, which is always the best post-conviction outcome. DEEP fills a critical gap in New York's multi-faceted approach to terrorism prevention.

The Manhattan District Attorney's Office intends to continue working with DEEP on appropriate cases, and we are pleased to support the Crime Commission's DHS grant application.

Sincerely,

(b)(6)

Karen Friedman-Agnifilo
Chief Assistant District Attorney



U.S. Department of Justice

*United States Attorney
Eastern District of New York*

RMT:JMH

*271 Cadman Plaza East
Brooklyn, New York 11201*

May 12, 2020

By E-mail

Richard A. Aborn
President
Citizens Crime Commission of New York City
c/o Constantine Cannon LLP
335 Madison Avenue, 9th Floor
New York, New York 10017
By Email: aborn@caasny.com

Re: Disruption and Early Engagement Programs

Dear Mr. Aborn:

I write in connection with this Office's implementation of disruption and early engagement programs to counter the threats of terrorism, violent extremism, and mass shootings.¹ That implementation depends upon our Office's ability to reach out to private sector partners with subject-matter expertise in multiple disciplines. Thank you for the assistance you and your colleagues have provided in the past in developing and implementing strategies to counter these threats. Please accept this letter as confirmation that our Office will continue to work with yours on appropriate cases on an as needed basis.

Very truly yours,

RICHARD P. DONOGHUE

By: (b)(6)
Richard M. Tucker
Assistant U.S. Attorney
Chief, National Security & Cybercrime
(718) 254-6204

¹ See generally Mem. by the Atty. Gen., "Implementation of National Disruption and Early Engagement Programs to Counter the Threat of Mass Shootings" (Oct. 16, 2019).



POLICE DEPARTMENT

May 13, 2020

Department of Homeland Security
FY2020 Targeted Violence and Terrorism Prevention Grant Program

To Whom It May Concern:

This letter is in support of the Citizens Crime Commission's application for a grant from the Department of Homeland Security (DHS) to operate its Disruption and Early Engagement Program (DEEP) initiative; reference to grant DHS-20-TTP-132-00-01. We are pleased to offer a letter of support to the Crime Commission's application for funding via the FY2020 Targeted Violence and Terrorism Prevention Grant Program.

We know and have worked with the Crime Commission for several years. DEEP is a unique intervention concept designed and operated by the Crime Commission, which helps off-ramp individuals at risk of mobilizing to violence. DEEP offers a range of resources and expertise to partner agencies.

The NYPD Intelligence Bureau investigates cases covering myriad extremist ideologies, as well as potential mass shooters, and interacts with individuals at varying stages of mobilization to violence. DEEP's ability to work across the spectrum of extremist and other types of violence allows it to take on a broad range of cases from the NYPD. DEEP provides a vital resource to assist in reducing violence and preventing potential acts of terrorism through early intervention, particularly when the NYPD becomes aware of an individual who has a mental health issue that manifests in extremism. It is invaluable for the NYPD to make a direct referral to an intervention program specifically designed to address these behaviors before an individual's conduct becomes more critical. An act of violent extremism prevented is our best outcome; DEEP helps facilitate that outcome.

We are very pleased with our growing relationship with DEEP. DEEP team members have presented at NYPD a number of times, and we have reviewed potential referrals with them on multiple occasions, gleaning their insight into which cases may have mental health components. We have successfully referred cases to DEEP and we intend to continue to do so moving forward.

DEEP is an important part of terrorism prevention efforts in New York City and we look forward to continuing and deepening our ongoing joint efforts to prevent targeted violence and combat violent extremism.

Best regards,

(b)(6)

Rebecca Ulam Weiner
Assistant Commissioner, Intelligence Bureau



U.S. Department of Justice

*United States Attorney
Southern District of New York*

*The Silvio J. Mollo Building
One Saint Andrew's Plaza
New York, New York 10007*

May 14, 2020

BY EMAIL

Richard A. Aborn
President
Citizens Crime Commission of New York City
c/o Constantine Cannon LLP
335 Madison Avenue, 9th Floor
New York, New York 10017
By Email: aborn@caasny.com

Re: *Disruption and Early Engagement Program*

Dear Mr. Aborn:

I write in connection with this Office's ongoing implementation of disruption and early engagement programs to counter the threats of terrorism, violent extremism, and mass shootings, in response to the October 16, 2019 memorandum from the Attorney General entitled "Implementation of National Disruption and Early Engagement Programs to Counter the Threat of Mass Shootings." In connection with that implementation, we have collaborated successfully with you and your colleagues at the Citizens Crime Commission of New York City to develop strategies to counter these threats, and will continue to do so on in appropriate cases on an as needed basis in the future.

Respectfully submitted,

GEOFFREY S. BERMAN
United States Attorney

(b)(6)

By: _____
Shawn G. Crowley
Assistant United States Attorney
Co-Chief, Terrorism and International
Narcotics Unit

(b)(6)



Eric Gonzalez
District Attorney

**DISTRICT ATTORNEY
KINGS COUNTY**
350 JAY STREET
BROOKLYN, NY 11201-2908
(718) 250-2000
WWW.BROOKLYNDA.ORG

Nancy Hoppock
Chief Assistant District Attorney

May 28, 2020

To whom it may concern,

This letter is in support of the Citizen Crime Commission's (Commission) application for a grant from DHS to continue to operate its DEEP (Disruption and Early Engagement Program) initiative; reference to grant DHS-20-TTP-132-00-01.

DEEP works with individuals at-risk of mobilizing towards violent extremism, assisting them in demobilization *before* they become violent. Recently, the Kings County District Attorney's Office has had an opportunity to work with DEEP, in conjunction and in agreement with the NYPD's Intelligence Division, in a post-conviction effort to reduce the chance of an offender reoffending using diversion as a plea condition. Our current work with DEEP also involved collaboration with the Brooklyn Mental Health Court and we hope to keep working with DEEP, on cases deemed appropriate.

DEEP fills a critical gap, giving individuals who are drawn to extremist behavior the opportunity to avoid the dangerous consequences of their pathway by participating in DEEP's intervention. The King's County District Attorney's Office is committed to utilizing prevention and diversion programming which reduces incidents of violence. The DEEP program is consistent with this goal. To be clear, access to this program is reserved for those, whom after careful analysis and investigative assessment, we believe will likely benefit from the program. Determinations to refer a case to DEEP will be made after consultation and concurrence with NYPD.

In this challenging moment in our nation, DEEP's work is more important given the rise in reported extremist activity and we are pleased that the Commission intends to continue this important programming. This Office supports DHS' approval of the Commission's application for funding.

Very truly yours,

(b)(6)

Nancy Hoppock
Chief Assistant District Attorney

EMW-2020-GR-APP-00101

Application Information

Application Number: EMW-2020-GR-APP-00101

Funding Opportunity Name: Fiscal Year (FY) 2020 Targeted Violence and Terrorism Prevention (TVTP) Program

Funding Opportunity Number: DHS-20-TTP-132-00-01

Application Status: Pending Review

Applicant Information

Legal Name: Citizens Crime Commission of New York City

Organization ID: 21734

Type: Nonprofit having 501(c)(3) status with IRS, other than institutions of higher education

Division:

Department:

EIN: (b)(6)

EIN Shared With Organizations:

DUNS: 114455850

DUNS 4: 0000

Congressional District: Congressional District 12, NY

Physical Address

Address Line 1: 355 Madison Ave.

Address Line 2: Floor 9

City: New York

State: New York

Province:

Zip: 10017-[Grantee Organization > Physical Address > Zip 4]

Country: UNITED STATES

Mailing Address

Address Line 1: 355 Madison Ave.

Address Line 2: Floor 9

City: New York

State: New York

Province:

Zip: 10017-[Grantee Organization > Mailing Address > Zip 4]

Country: UNITED STATES

SF-424 Information

Project Information

Project Title: Citizens Crime Commission, DEEP

Program/Project Congressional Districts: Congressional District 01, NY

Congressional District 02, NY

Congressional District 03, NY

Congressional District 04, NY

Congressional District 05, NY

Congressional District 06, NY

Congressional District 07, NY

Congressional District 08, NY

Congressional District 09, NY

Congressional District 10, NY
 Congressional District 11, NY
 Congressional District 12, NY
 Congressional District 13, NY
 Congressional District 14, NY
 Congressional District 15, NY
 Congressional District 16, NY

Proposed Start Date: Thu Oct 01 00:00:00 GMT 2020

Proposed End Date: Fri Sep 09 00:00:00 GMT 2022

Areas Affected by Project (Cities, Counties, States, etc.): New York

Estimated Funding

Funding Source	Estimated Funding (\$)
Federal Funding	\$741878
Applicant Funding	\$0
State Funding	\$0
Local Funding	\$0
Other Funding	\$0
Program Income Funding	\$0
Total Funding	\$741878

Is application subject to review by state under the Executive Order 12373 process? Program is not covered by E.O. 12372.

Is applicant delinquent on any federal debt? false

Contacts

Contact Name	Email	Primary Phone Number	Contact Types
Richard Aborn	(b)(6)		Signatory Authority Secondary Contact
Claire Abrahams			Authorized Official Primary Contact

SF-424A

Budget Information for Non-Construction Programs

Grant Program: Targeted Violence and Terrorism Prevention Grant Program

CFDA Number: 97.132

Budget Object Class	Amount
Personnel	\$527540
Fringe Benefits	\$105868
Travel	\$24000
Equipment	\$0
Supplies	\$0
Contractual	\$0
Construction	\$0
Other	\$84470
Indirect Charges	\$0
Non-Federal Resources	Amount
Applicant	\$0

Non-Federal Resources	Amount
State	\$0
Other	\$84470
Income	Amount
Program Income	\$0

How are you requesting to use this Program Income? [\$budget.programIncomeType]

Direct Charges Explanation:

Indirect Charges explanation:

Forecasted Cash Needs (Optional)

	First Quarter	Second Quarter	Third Quarter	Fourth Quarter
Federal	\$	\$	\$	\$
Non-Federal	\$	\$	\$	\$

Future Funding Periods (Years) (Optional)

First	Second	Third	Fourth
\$	\$	\$	\$

Remarks:

SF-424C

Budget Information for Construction Programs

Assurances for Non-Construction Programs

Form not applicable? false

Signatory Authority Name: Claire Abrahams

Signed Date: Tue Jun 16 00:00:00 GMT 2020

Signatory Authority Title: Richard Aborn

Certification Regarding Lobbying

Form not applicable? false

Signatory Authority Name: Claire Abrahams

Signed Date: Tue Jun 16 00:00:00 GMT 2020

Signatory Authority Title: Richard Aborn

Disclosure of Lobbying Activities

Form not applicable? true

Signatory Authority Name: Claire Abrahams

Signed Date:

Signatory Authority Title: