Office for Civil Rights and Civil Liberties U.S. Department of Homeland Security Washington, DC 20528



August 21, 2017

MEMORANDUM TO: Matthew Albence

Executive Associate Director

Enforcement and Removal Operations

U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement

FROM: Dana Salvano-Dunn

Acting Deputy Officer for Programs and Compliance

Office for Civil Rights and Civil Liberties

(b)(6)

William P. McKenne

Acting Director, Compliance Branch
Office for Civil Rights and Civil Liberties

SUBJECT: Alexandria Staging Facility

Complaint Nos. 16-07-ICE-0391; 15-04-ICE-0183

LaSalle Detention Facility

Complaint Nos. 16-06-ICE-0612; 16-06-ICE-0605;

16-04-ICE-0628; 16-03-ICE-0207

The U.S. Department of Homeland Security (DHS), Office for Civil Rights and Civil Liberties (CRCL), is conducting an investigation into conditions of detention for U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement (ICE) detainees at the Alexandria Staging Facility (ASF), located in Alexandria, Louisiana and the LaSalle Detention Facility (LDF), located in Jena, Louisiana. CRCL's review of ASF and LDF focused in large part on operations in the areas of suicide prevention; medical care; and conditions of confinement. Because ASF and LDF have the same detention and medical care oversight –GEO oversees detention practices and IHSC oversees medical care at ASF and LDF – we have combined our recommendations into one memorandum.

We greatly appreciate the cooperation and assistance provided by ICE Field Office staff and the ASF and LDF management and personnel before and during the onsite. As part of our March 13-17, 2017, onsite investigation, CRCL used three independent subject-matter experts: a medical consultant, a suicide prevention consultant, and a penologist. As a result of detainee and staff interviews, document reviews, and direct onsite observations, our experts identified concerns related to detention at ASF and LDF including medical and mental health care, suicide prevention and intervention, and staff training. At the conclusion of our onsite investigation, CRCL held an exit-briefing where we discussed our findings with leadership from the ICE Field Office and ASF and LDF.

Enclosed with this memorandum are the separate reports prepared by our subject-matter experts following the onsite review. The recommendations have been divided into priority and non-priority recommendations. Priority recommendations are listed in the body of this memorandum and CRCL requests that ICE formally concur or non-concur with these recommendations, and provide CRCL with an implementation plan for all accepted recommendations. Non-priority recommendations are contained in a separate attachment to this memorandum. Although CRCL is not requesting formal responses to these, we encourage ICE to consider and implement these recommendations to the fullest extent possible.

With this memorandum, and consistent with our standard practice, we request that you indicate whether ICE concurs with the expert recommendations, and that for those agreed to, you provide an action plan within 60 days.

Suicide Prevention Practices

(b)(5)	
(6)(6)	

¹ In general, CRCL's experts relied on the applicable 2011 Performance Based National Detention Standards (2011 PBNDS) and related professional standards in conducting their work and preparing their reports and recommendations related to LDF. CRCL's experts relied on the National Detention Standards, the Under 72 Hour Model Check List, and related professional standards in conducting their work and preparing their reports and recommendations related to ASF. Some of their analysis or recommendations, however, may be based on constitutional or statutory requirements that exceed the detention or professional standards.

omme	endations applicable to both ASF and LDF:
1.	
(b)(5)	HICC and ICE should develop a large value of
an	nual suicide prevention training for medical, mental health, and correctional s
at .	ASF/LDF, with instruction provided by IHSC, (b)(5)
(0)(0	
ılt, CR	for and identifying detainees at risk for suicide. See 2011 PBNDS Medical Care, 3.V.J; Significant Self Harm and Suicide Prevention and Intervention 4.6.V.B.1. A CL recommends that ICE should: vise the current intake screening form by adding the following questions:
ılt, CR	3.V.J; Significant Self Harm and Suicide Prevention and Intervention 4.6.V.B.1. A CL recommends that ICE should:
ılt, CR 2. Re	3.V.J; Significant Self Harm and Suicide Prevention and Intervention 4.6.V.B.1. A CL recommends that ICE should: vise the current intake screening form by adding the following questions: Was detainee a medical, mental health or suicide risk during any prior
ilt, CR 2. Re i.	3.V.J; Significant Self Harm and Suicide Prevention and Intervention 4.6.V.B.1. A CL recommends that ICE should: vise the current intake screening form by adding the following questions: Was detainee a medical, mental health or suicide risk during any prior contact and/or confinement within this facility?
ilt, CR 2. Re i.	3.V.J; Significant Self Harm and Suicide Prevention and Intervention 4.6.V.B.1. A CL recommends that ICE should: vise the current intake screening form by adding the following questions: Was detainee a medical, mental health or suicide risk during any prior contact and/or confinement within this facility?
ilt, CR 2. Re i.	3.V.J; Significant Self Harm and Suicide Prevention and Intervention 4.6.V.B.1. A CL recommends that ICE should: vise the current intake screening form by adding the following questions: Was detainee a medical, mental health or suicide risk during any prior contact and/or confinement within this facility?
ilt, CR 2. Re i. ii.	3.V.J; Significant Self Harm and Suicide Prevention and Intervention 4.6.V.B.1. A CL recommends that ICE should: vise the current intake screening form by adding the following questions: Was detainee a medical, mental health or suicide risk during any prior contact and/or confinement within this facility? (b)(5)
ilt, CR 2. Re i. ii.	3.V.J; Significant Self Harm and Suicide Prevention and Intervention 4.6.V.B.1. A CL recommends that ICE should: vise the current intake screening form by adding the following questions: Was detainee a medical, mental health or suicide risk during any prior contact and/or confinement within this facility? (b)(5) Have you ever attempted suicide?

- vii. Has a family member/close friend ever attempted or committed suicide?
- viii. Do you feel there is nothing to look forward to in the immediate future (expressing helplessness and/or hopelessness)?
- ix. Are you thinking of hurting and/or killing yourself?

(b)(5)			
i.	(b)(5)			

- ii. Medical staff conducting intake screening should always review the detainee's alert screen to verify whether they were previously confined in an ICE facility and had any history of suicidal behavior/placement on suicide precautions during any prior detention or confinement/incarceration prior to detention; and
- iii. Regardless of the detainee's behavior or answers given during intake screening, further assessment by mental health staff should always be initiated based on documentation reflecting possible mental illness and/or suicidal behavior during a detainee's prior confinement within an ICE facility.

Intervention:

- 4. GEO and IHSC's suicide prevention policies fail to provide guidance on the specific procedures for the appropriate emergency medical response to a suicide attempt. Accordingly, IHSC and GEO should revise their suicide prevention policies to include specific procedures for the appropriate emergency medical response to a suicide attempt. All staff should be trained in the use of the emergency equipment and know its location. At a minimum, the revised policies should include the following procedures:
 - i. All staff who come into regular contact with detainees should be trained in standard first aid procedures and CPR;
 - ii. Any staff member who discovers a detainee engaging in self-harm should immediately survey the scene to assess the severity of the emergency, alert other staff to call for medical personnel if necessary, and begin standard first aid and/or CPR as necessary. If facility policy prohibits an officer from entering a cell without backup support, the first responding officer should, at a minimum, make the proper notification for backup support and medical personnel, secure the area outside the cell, and retrieve the emergency

- response bag (that should include a first aid kit, Ambu-bag or CPR mask, and rescue tool);
- iii. Correctional staff should never presume that the victim is dead, but rather should initiate and continue appropriate life-saving measures until relieved by arriving medical personnel.

(b)(5)			

Recommendations applicable to ASF:

Intake Screening and Assessment:

- 5. The "Intra-System Intake Review" form which is embedded into eClinicalWorks only includes one non-medical question -"[a]re you being treated for or are you having any current medical, dental, or mental health conditions?" This limited inquiry is inadequate for the identification of mental illness and suicide risk in detainees. This is particularly important for ASF, where the facility does not provide mental health treatment and programming. Detainees identified needing mental health care or on suicide precautions are relocated to LDF where their mental health needs are met. Therefore, intake screening for mental health and suicide risks is critically important at ASF in order to determine appropriate housing. Accordingly, IHSC should revise the "Intra-System Intake Review" form to include basic inquiry regarding mental illness and suicide risk. (2011 PBNDS Significant Self Harm and Suicide Prevention and Intervention, 4.6.V.F). In revising the form, the following questions should be added:
 - i. Are you or have you ever been treated for mental health or emotional problems?
 - ii. Have you recently experienced a significant loss (relationship, death of family member/close friend, etc.)?
 - iii. Do you feel there is nothing to look forward to in the immediate future (expressing helplessness and/or hopelessness)?
 - iv. Are you thinking of hurting and/or killing yourself?

Recommendations applicable to LDF:

Intake Screening and Assessment:

6. The Mental Health Segregation Rounds form does not contain suicide risk questions or require a brief mental health status examination. The inclusion of these questions and the requirement that a brief mental health status examination be conducted are critical in assessing the potential suicide risk of the detainee. IHSC should revise the current "Mental Health Segregation Rounds" form to include: (1) questions to assess a brief

Ho

	200							-			
	GEO sui possessi							•			
IIICII	possessi	ions an	iu priv	neges	are pr	Ovide	1 to det	anices	WIIIIC	on suic	luc
											- 6
	nould rents:	evise L	DF's	suicid	e prev	enti oi	n polic	ies to i	nclud	e th e	-
ns (be surate menta	regardir ooks, sl e with t al healtl d Inter	ippers he leve h staff	s/sanda el of si (2011	als, ey uicide PBN	eglass risk a DS Sig	ses, etc s dete	e.) and ermine	priviled on a	eges sh case-b	all be by-case	
ns of inket	th staff safety, (2011 I 4.6.V.F.	the de	tainee	shall	alway	s be i	ssued a	safet	y smoo	ek and	
utilizing to	all be is es the n tamper NDS Si 4.6.V.F.	nattres with/e gnifica	ss in w destro	vays ii y, uti	n which	h it w	as not ruct vi	intend sibility	ed (i.e	e., the cell	,
		_									

v. Detainees on suicide precautions shall not automatically be locked down. They should be allowed dayroom access commensurate with their security level and clinical judgment of mental health staff. (2011 PBNDS Significant Self Harm and Suicide Prevention and Intervention, 4.6.V.F.1)

Levels of Supervision and Management:	(b)(5)
(b)(5)	

- 8. Revise the suicide prevention policies to provide operational definitions of behavior that distinguishes Suicide Watch, Constant Watch, and Mental Health Observation. Recommended definitions for Suicide Watch, Constant Watch, and Mental Health Observation are:
 - i. Suicide Watch and Constant Watch are reserved for the detainee who is actively suicidal, either by threatening or engaging in self-injury, or has a plan to commit suicide, and would be considered a high risk for suicide. This detainee should be observed by an assigned staff member on a continuous, uninterrupted basis. The observation should be documented at 15-minute intervals.
 - ii. Mental Health Observation is reserved for the detainee who is not actively suicidal, but expresses suicidal ideation and/or has a recent prior history of self-destructive behavior and would be considered a low risk for suicide. In addition, a detainee who denies suicidal ideation or does not threaten suicide, but demonstrates other concerning behavior (through actions, current circumstances, or recent history) indicating the potential for self-injury, should be placed under Mental Health Observation. This detainee should be observed by staff at staggered intervals not to exceed every 15 minutes, and should be documented as it occurs.

Consideration should also be given to integrating the "high acute risk," "moderate acute risk," and "low risk" terms utilized in the Suicide Risk Assessment forms into the three levels of observation.

Medical Care and Treatment

(b)(5)			1

icable i	o LDF:
	cable t

(b)(5)		

- 9. Insufficient Medical Professional Staffing: The medical program at LDF depends on contractors to deploy adequate staff. LDF's remote rural location makes it more difficult to recruit qualified professionals to work in the facility. The only practical ways to address the challenge of the remote location are to increase compensation to provide incentive to attract qualified contract professionals or to recruit more IHSC licensed professionals to be deployed at the facility. Accordingly, IHSC should ensure medical staffing is adequate to meet the staffing plan and to ensure appropriate and timely medical care is provided to detainees. IHSC should conduct a medical staffing analysis to determine the appropriate number of medical staff needed to provide constitutionally adequate medical care to the detention population at LDF. (2011 PBNDS Medical § II.21, V.B.)
- 10. Sub-specialty support for Infectious Disease/HIV: LDF is located in a remote area, creating challenges in accessing sub-specialty care. If IHSC is unable to secure qualified sub-specialty support in the area of HIV, it may be prudent for ICE to avoid placing detainees with this medical need at this particular facility until appropriate sub-specialty support can be secured. Accordingly, ICE should assess the sub-specialty support available in Jena, Louisiana and, where sub-specialty support is determined to be limited or unavailable, transfer detainees in need of the specific sub-specialty services to another facility until appropriate sub-specialty support can be secured. (PBNDS 2011, Medical Care, § II. 5, V.A.5)

Penolo:	ξY
---------	----

(b)(5)		

Recommendations applicable to ASF:

2. (b)(5)	
(b)(5)	Accordingly, GEO should
require staff to describe use of force incident.	be specific actions taken by each staff member involved in a 0)(5)
(b)(5)	While the NDS requires only that incidents
be documented when f	orce is used on a detainee, it is implicit and imperative that
the detail describing ea	ach officer's actions be sufficient to determine the
appropriateness of the	actions taken. (NDS, III. A. 2. b. Use of Force)

Recommendations applicable to LDF:

13. The use of force incident reports reviewed on site did not fully describe the incident that
transpired prior to the use of force or during its application. (b)(5)
(b)(5)
The complete expert reports and recommendations are contained in the enclosed expert reports.
It is CRCL's statutory role to advise department leadership and personnel about civil rights and
civil liberties issues, ensuring respect for civil rights and civil liberties in policy decisions and
implementation of those decisions. We look forward to working with ICE to determine the best
way to resolve these complaints. We request that ICE provide a response to CRCL 60 days
whether it concur or non-concur with these recommendations. If you concur, please include an
action plan. You can send your response by email. If you have any questions, please contact
Senior Policy Advisor, (b)(6) by telephone at (b)(6) or by email at
(b)(6)
C●py to:
Philip T. Miller
Deputy Executive Associate Director
Enforcement and Removal Operations
U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement
(b)(6); (b)(7)(C)
Tae Johnson
Assistant Director
Custody Management
Enforcement and Removal Operations
U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement
(b)(6); (b)(7)(C)
Claims Triables MaNultu
Claire Trickler-McNulty
Acting Assistant Director
Office of Detention Policy and Planning
U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement b)(6); (b)(7)(C)

Dr. Luzviminda Peredo-Berger
Assistant Director
Immigration & Customs Enforcement (ICE)
Enforcement and Removal Operations/ICE Health Service Corps
U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement
(b)(6); (b)(7)(C)

CAPT Esan O. Simon, MD, MBA, FS, USPHS

Associate Medical Director

Department of Homeland Security

Immigration & Customs Enforcement (ICE)

Enforcement & Removal Operations/ ICE Health Service Corps

(b)(6); (b)(7)(C)

Enclosure

Appendix A – Non-Priority Recommendations

Appendix B – Medical Expert Report for LDF

Appendix C – Medical Expert Report for ASF

Appendix D – Penologist Expert Report for LDF

Appendix E – Penologist Expert Report for ASF

Appendix F – Suicide Prevention Practices Expert Report for LDF

Appendix G – Suicide Prevention Practices Expert Report for ASF