

Secure Communities

Fiscal Year 2020, First and Second Quarters

November 23, 2020 Fiscal Year 2020 Report to Congress



Message from the Deputy Director and Senior Official Performing the Duties of the Director

November 23, 2020

I am pleased to present the following report, "Secure Communities" for the first and second quarters of Fiscal Year (FY) 2020, which has been prepared by U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement (ICE).

This report was compiled pursuant to direction in House Report 116-180, which accompanies the FY 2020 Department of Homeland Security (DHS) Appropriations Act (P.L. 116-93). The report continues direction in the Joint Explanatory Statement that accompanies the FY 2019 DHS Appropriations Act (P.L. 116-6), as well as direction in House Report 115-239 accompanying the FY 2018 DHS Appropriations Act (P.L. 115-141).



Pursuant to congressional guidelines, this report is being provided to the following Members of Congress:

The Honorable Lucille Roybal-Allard Chairwoman, House Appropriations Subcommittee on Homeland Security

The Honorable Chuck Fleischmann Ranking Member, House Appropriations Subcommittee on Homeland Security

The Honorable Shelley Moore Capito Chairman, Senate Appropriations Subcommittee on Homeland Security

The Honorable Jon Tester Ranking Member, Senate Appropriations Subcommittee on Homeland Security

Inquiries related to this report may be directed to me at (202) 732-3000.

Sincerely, T TONY H

PHAM

Digitally signed by T TONY H PHAM Date: 2020.11.20 15:21:06 -05'00'

Tony H. Pham Senior Official Performing the Duties of the Director U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement

Executive Summary

House Report 116-180 accompanying P.L. 116-93 directs ICE to continue reporting detailed in House Report 115-239, which accompanies P.L. 115-141. House Report 115-239 directs ICE to report metrics related to Secure Communities and detainers issued to state and local law enforcement agencies. ICE is unable to report statistically on some variables in the exact manner requested because of multiple technical constraints, including the fact that uncooperative jurisdictions that decline an ICE detainer generally do not share this information with the agency. However, ICE is able to provide data on detainers that it issues, broken down by criminality, gender, and nationality, which can be found in this report.



Secure Communities Fiscal Year 2020, First and Second Quarters

Table of Contents

| I. | Legislative Language | 1 |
|------|----------------------|----|
| II. | Background | 2 |
| III. | Analysis/Discussion | 3 |
| IV. | Conclusion | 10 |
| App | endix: Abbreviations | 11 |

I. Legislative Language

This report was compiled in response to direction in House Report 116-180, which accompanies the Fiscal Year (FY) 2020 Department of Homeland Security (DHS) Appropriations Act (P.L. 116-93).

House Report 116-180 states:

Detention Inspection Reporting.—ICE shall continue to report and make public the following, as described in House Report 116-9, and shall follow the previously directed timeframes unless otherwise specified:

(1) Secure Communities report; ...

House Report 116-180 continues direction in the Joint Explanatory Statement accompanying the FY 2019 DHS Appropriations Act (P.L. 116-6), which states:

ICE shall continue to report and make public the following, as detailed in House Report 115-239, and shall follow the previously directed timeframes unless otherwise specified.

• Secure Communities report ...

House Report 115-239 accompanying the FY 2018 DHS Appropriations Act (P.L. 115-141) states:

Within 90 days after the date of enactment of this Act, and monthly thereafter, the Director of ICE shall submit to the Committee a Secure Communities report, including the number of detainer requests issued, actual custody transfers to ICE, and releases by state and local law enforcement jurisdiction, criminal category, conviction status, date of any conviction, immigration status, gender, country of citizenship, and enforcement priority, and shall make this information publicly available on its website.

II. Background

Congress first provided instructions for this report in House Report 115-239, which states that U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement (ICE) submit "a Secure Communities report, including the number of detainer requests issued, actual custody transfers to ICE, and releases by state and local law enforcement jurisdiction, criminal category, conviction status, date of any conviction, immigration status, gender, country of citizenship, and enforcement priority."

Secure Communities is a simple and common-sense way to carry out ICE's enforcement priorities for those aliens detained in the custody of another law enforcement agency (LEA). It uses a federal information-sharing partnership between DHS and the Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI) that helps to identify in-custody aliens without imposing new or additional requirements on state and local law enforcement. For decades, local jurisdictions have shared with the FBI the fingerprints of individuals arrested and/or booked into custody to see if those individuals have a criminal record and outstanding warrants. Under Secure Communities, the FBI automatically sends the fingerprints to DHS to check against its immigration databases. If these checks reveal that an individual is present unlawfully in the United States or otherwise is removable, ICE prioritizes law enforcement action against individuals who present the most significant threat to public safety as well as the removal of those who have violated the Nation's immigration laws.

ICE is unable to report on the data in the manner contained in the legislative direction because of the following technical constraints:

- Secure Communities is a system that allows for interoperability and information-sharing rather than for a program to which officers are assigned. As a result, no associated enforcement metrics are in ICE's system of record.
- ICE cannot report on releases conducted by state or local LEAs, because this information generally is not communicated to ICE by these LEAs.
- Because detainers and initial book-ins to ICE custody are independent variables in ICE's system of record and are not linked directly, it is not possible to produce reliable, large-scale reporting on custody transfer because of ICE detainers.
- ICE no longer reports data broken down by the priorities in place during the previous Administration, and currently does not report on the priorities laid out in Executive Order 13768, many of which cannot be determined through data stored in the system of record.
- Currently, immigration status is not available for statistical reporting.

However, to provide Congress with information on detainer issuance, ICE has produced data on detainers issued, broken down by criminality, gender, and country of citizenship.

III. Analysis/Discussion

ICE issues detainers and requests for notification to LEAs to provide notice of its intent to assume custody of an individual detained in federal, state, or local custody. Detainers are placed on aliens arrested on criminal charges—ICE possesses probable cause to believe that these aliens are removable from the United States. A detainer requests that an LEA notify ICE as early as practicable, ideally at least 48 hours, before a removable alien is released from criminal custody and then briefly maintain custody of the alien for up to 48 hours to allow DHS to assume custody for removal purposes (https://www.ice.gov/detainers).

A detainer is recorded in the system of record when the form is printed. This does not correspond necessarily to issuance of a detainer to a local LEA. Additionally, a single detainer may be printed multiple times. Each time is recorded as a distinct detainer, and, therefore, a single detainer may be printed multiple times.

FY 2020 First Quarter (Q1) – Second Quarter (Q2)¹ Enforcement and Removal Operations (ERO) Detainers Prepared by Gender and Criminality²

| Gender | Convicted Criminal | Pending Criminal Charges | Other Immigration Violator | Total |
|---------|-----------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------------|--------|
| Total | 26,530 | 34,577 | 3,582 | 64,689 |
| Female | 1,264 | 2,966 | 186 | 4,416 |
| Male | 25,244 | 31,522 | 3,386 | 60,152 |
| Unknown | 22 | 89 | 10 | 121 |

¹ ERO detainer data are updated through 4/4/2020 (ICE Integrated Decision Support v1.34 run date 4/6/2020; Enforcement Integrated Database as of 4/6/2020) and are filtered through 3/31/2020.

² Starting in FY 2018, ICE defines immigration violators' criminality in the following manner: Convicted criminals are immigration violators with a criminal conviction entered into ICE systems of record at the time of the enforcement action. Pending criminal charges means immigration violators with pending criminal charges entered into ICE system of record at the time of the enforcement action. Other immigration violators are immigration violators without any known criminal convictions, or pending charges entered into ICE system of record at the time of the enforcement action.

FY 2020 Q1-Q2 Detainers Prepared by Country of Citizenship and Criminality

| | Convicted | Pending Criminal | Other Immigration | |
|------------------------|-----------|---------------------|----------------------|--------|
| Country of Citizenship | Criminal | Charges | Violator | Total |
| Total | 26,530 | 34,577 | 3,582 | 64,689 |
| AFGHANISTAN | 17 | 16 | 1 | 34 |
| ALBANIA | 3 | 12 | - | 15 |
| ALGERIA | 1 | 10 | 1 | 12 |
| ANGOLA | 1 | 7 | 1 | 9 |
| ANTIGUA-BARBUDA | 7 | 3 | - | 10 |
| ARGENTINA | 15 | 30 | 2 | 47 |
| ARMENIA | 34 | 38 | 2 | 74 |
| ARUBA | 1 | 2 | - | 3 |
| AUSTRALIA | 12 | 8 | 1 | 21 |
| AUSTRIA | 3 | 3 | - | 6 |
| AZERBAIJAN | 5 | 8 | 1 | 14 |
| BAHAMAS | 34 | 32 | 5 | 71 |
| BAHRAIN | 1 | - | - | 1 |
| BANGLADESH | 6 | 27 | - | 33 |
| BARBADOS | 5 | 5 | - | 10 |
| BELARUS | 14 | 7 | 2 | 23 |
| BELGIUM | 5 | - | - | 5 |
| BELIZE | 23 | 23 | 4 | 50 |
| BENIN | 1 | 2 | - | 3 |
| BERMUDA | 4 | 1 | - | 5 |
| BHUTAN | 10 | 1 | 1 | 12 |
| BOLIVIA | 16 | 20 | - | 36 |
| BOSNIA-HERZEGOVINA | 28 | 7 | 1 | 36 |
| BOTSWANA | - | 2 | 1 | 3 |
| BRAZIL | 83 | 256 | 14 | 353 |
| BRITISH VIRGIN ISLANDS | 2 | 2 | 1 | 5 |
| BULGARIA | 2 | 2 | - | 4 |
| BURKINA FASO | 5 | 13 | - | 18 |
| BURMA | 48 | 8 | 2 | 58 |
| BURUNDI | 6 | 4 | - | 10 |

| | Convicted | Pending Criminal | Other Immigration | |
|-----------------------------|-----------|---------------------|----------------------|-------|
| Country of Citizenship | Criminal | Charges | Violator | Total |
| CAMBODIA | 58 | 23 | 4 | 85 |
| CAMEROON | 9 | 25 | 1 | 35 |
| CANADA | 88 | 60 | 13 | 161 |
| CAPE VERDE | 23 | 7 | - | 30 |
| CAYMAN ISLANDS | - | 1 | - | 1 |
| CENTRAL AFRICAN REPUBLIC | 2 | 1 | - | 3 |
| CHAD | 3 | - | - | 3 |
| CHILE | 39 | 83 | 8 | 130 |
| CHINA, PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF | 71 | 259 | 16 | 346 |
| COLOMBIA | 161 | 263 | 88 | 512 |
| CONGO | 6 | 6 | - | 12 |
| COSTA RICA | 18 | 32 | 3 | 53 |
| CROATIA | 2 | - | - | 2 |
| CUBA | 696 | 408 | 76 | 1,180 |
| CZECH REPUBLIC | 3 | 12 | 1 | 16 |
| CZECHOSLOVAKIA | 1 | 1 | - | 2 |
| DEM REP OF THE CONGO | 14 | 12 | 2 | 28 |
| DOMINICA | 8 | 1 | 3 | 12 |
| DOMINICAN REPUBLIC | 676 | 429 | 60 | 1,165 |
| ECUADOR | 150 | 351 | 52 | 553 |
| EGYPT | 17 | 30 | 2 | 49 |
| EL SALVADOR | 1,522 | 2,618 | 161 | 4,301 |
| EQUATORIAL GUINEA | - | 5 | - | 5 |
| ERITREA | 14 | 7 | 1 | 22 |
| ESTONIA | 1 | - | - | 1 |
| ESWATINI | 1 | 1 | - | 2 |
| ETHIOPIA | 43 | 16 | 5 | 64 |
| FIJI | 3 | 7 | - | 10 |
| FINLAND | - | 1 | - | 1 |
| FRANCE | 11 | 23 | - | 34 |
| GABON | - | 4 | - | 4 |
| GAMBIA | 12 | 19 | 4 | 35 |
| GEORGIA | 4 | 20 | 1 | 25 |
| GERMANY | 17 | 13 | 5 | 35 |

| Country of Citizenship | Convicted Criminal | Pending Criminal Charges | Other Immigration Violator | Total |
|------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------------|----------------------------------|-------|
| GHANA | 29 | 36 | 1 | 66 |
| GREECE | 2 | 3 | - | 5 |
| GRENADA | 5 | 15 | - | 20 |
| GUADELOUPE | 2 | 9 | 3 | 14 |
| GUATEMALA | 1,809 | 4,453 | 473 | 6,735 |
| GUINEA | 18 | 23 | 1 | 42 |
| GUINEA-BISSAU | - | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| GUYANA | 51 | 79 | 3 | 133 |
| HAITI | 151 | 125 | 28 | 304 |
| HONDURAS | 1,875 | 3,666 | 257 | 5,798 |
| HONG KONG | 4 | 4 | - | 8 |
| HUNGARY | 3 | 20 | 1 | 24 |
| ICELAND | 1 | - | - | 1 |
| INDIA | 84 | 259 | 15 | 358 |
| INDONESIA | 5 | 4 | 1 | 10 |
| IRAN | 64 | 38 | 5 | 107 |
| IRAQ | 51 | 20 | 4 | 75 |
| IRELAND | 9 | 12 | - | 21 |
| ISRAEL | 14 | 19 | 3 | 36 |
| ITALY | 7 | 28 | 4 | 39 |
| IVORY COAST | 15 | 19 | 1 | 35 |
| JAMAICA | 271 | 277 | 45 | 593 |
| JAPAN | 2 | 3 | - | 5 |
| JORDAN | 24 | 44 | 6 | 74 |
| KAZAKHSTAN | 3 | 8 | - | 11 |
| KENYA | 40 | 35 | 6 | 81 |
| KOREA ³ | 12 | 11 | - | 23 |
| KOSOVO | 1 | 1 | - | 2 |
| KUWAIT | 6 | 4 | 2 | 12 |

³ Country of Citizenship data are recorded exactly as they exist in the system of record at the time that the data are pulled. "Korea" is available in the Enforcement Integrated Database's Arrest Graphical User Interface for Law Enforcement system and the Enforcement Case Tracking Systems' Alien Removal Module as an option for officers to select when preparing documents. If Korea is selected, there is not sufficient information in the database to differentiate between North or South Korea, and thus the entry can be reported only as "Korea." Similarly, "USSR" exists as an option in the system.

| | Convicted | Pending Criminal | Other Immigration | T 4 1 |
|------------------------------------|-----------|---------------------|----------------------|--------|
| Country of Citizenship KYRGYZSTAN | Criminal | Charges | Violator | Total |
| LAOS | 2 | 107 | 1 4 | 274 |
| LATVIA | 153 | 107 | 14 | |
| LEBANON | | | - | 6 |
| LESOTHO | 10 | 6 | 1 | 17 |
| LIBERIA | 05 | - 24 | 4 | 113 |
| LIBYA | 85 | 24 | | 4 |
| LIECHTENSTEIN | - | <u>4</u> 1 | - | 4 1 |
| LITHUANIA | 2 | 4 | 2 | 8 |
| MACAU | 1 | 5 | 1 | 7 |
| MALAWI | 1 | - | - | 1 |
| MALAYSIA | 3 | 2 | - | 5 |
| MALDIVES | | | 1 | 1 |
| MALI | 8 | 18 | 1 | 27 |
| MARSHALL ISLANDS | 28 | 1 | _ | 29 |
| MAURITANIA | 2 | 10 | _ | 12 |
| MEXICO | 16,084 | 18,026 | 1,960 | 36,070 |
| MICRONESIA, FEDERATED STATES OF | 30 | 3 | _ | 33 |
| MOLDOVA | 4 | 3 | _ | 7 |
| MONGOLIA | 8 | 10 | _ | 18 |
| MONTENEGRO | 1 | 5 | - | 6 |
| MOROCCO | 16 | 20 | 2 | 38 |
| MOZAMBIQUE | 1 | 2 | - | 3 |
| NEPAL | 5 | 18 | - | 23 |
| NETHERLANDS | 4 | 7 | _ | 11 |
| NETHERLANDS ANTILLES | 1 | 1 | - | 2 |
| NEW ZEALAND | 6 | 13 | 2 | 21 |
| NICARAGUA | 104 | 189 | 17 | 310 |
| NIGER | 4 | 22 | 2 | 28 |
| NIGERIA | 60 | 144 | 8 | 212 |
| NORTH MACEDONIA | - | 5 | - | 5 |
| NORWAY | - | 2 | - | 2 |
| OMAN | - | 1 | | 1 |

| | Convicted | Pending Criminal | Other Immigration | |
|------------------------|-----------|---------------------|----------------------|-------|
| Country of Citizenship | Criminal | Charges | Violator | Total |
| PAKISTAN | 32 | 29 | 4 | 65 |
| PALAU | 8 | 2 | - | 10 |
| PANAMA | 14 | 16 | - | 30 |
| PARAGUAY | 3 | 3 | - | 6 |
| PERU | 72 | 98 | 10 | 180 |
| PHILIPPINES | 61 | 55 | 7 | 123 |
| POLAND | 28 | 22 | 1 | 51 |
| PORTUGAL | 10 | 12 | 1 | 23 |
| ROMANIA | 63 | 134 | 9 | 206 |
| RUSSIA | 52 | 47 | 4 | 103 |
| RWANDA | 6 | 8 | 1 | 15 |
| SAMOA | 1 | 3 | - | 4 |
| SAUDI ARABIA | 9 | 19 | 2 | 30 |
| SENEGAL | 9 | 15 | 1 | 25 |
| SERBIA | 2 | 3 | - | 5 |
| SIERRA LEONE | 29 | 14 | 1 | 44 |
| SINGAPORE | 2 | 1 | - | 3 |
| SLOVAKIA | _ | 5 | - | 5 |
| SLOVENIA | _ | 1 | - | 1 |
| SOLOMON ISLANDS | 1 | - | - | 1 |
| SOMALIA | 82 | 25 | 4 | 111 |
| SOUTH AFRICA | 11 | 13 | 3 | 27 |
| SOUTH KOREA | 19 | 22 | 1 | 42 |
| SOUTH SUDAN | 8 | 1 | - | 9 |
| SPAIN | 18 | 60 | 2 | 80 |
| SRI LANKA | 3 | 5 | - | 8 |
| ST. KITTS-NEVIS | - | - | 1 | 1 |
| ST. LUCIA | 12 | 8 | 1 | 21 |
| ST. VINCENT-GRENADINES | 5 | 10 | 2 | 17 |
| SUDAN | 43 | 10 | 2 | 55 |
| SURINAME | 2 | 1 | - | 3 |
| SWEDEN | 3 | 3 | 1 | 7 |
| SYRIA | 8 | 6 | - | 14 |
| TAIWAN | 3 | 8 | 1 | 12 |

| Country of Citizenship | Convicted Criminal | Pending Criminal Charges | Other Immigration Violator | Total |
|--------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------------|----------------------------------|-------|
| TAJIKISTAN | 2 | 6 | - | 8 |
| TANZANIA | 5 | 17 | - | 22 |
| THAILAND | 38 | 27 | 3 | 68 |
| TOGO | 2 | 1 | 1 | 4 |
| TONGA | - | 6 | - | 6 |
| TRINIDAD AND TOBAGO | 40 | 46 | 5 | 91 |
| TUNISIA | 2 | 7 | 1 | 10 |
| TURKEY | 7 | 15 | - | 22 |
| TURKMENISTAN | 1 | - | - | 1 |
| TURKS AND CAICOS ISLANDS | 1 | - | - | 1 |
| UGANDA | 2 | 6 | - | 8 |
| UKRAINE | 35 | 23 | 3 | 61 |
| UNITED ARAB EMIRATES | 1 | - | - | 1 |
| UNITED KINGDOM | 37 | 43 | 4 | 84 |
| URUGUAY | 11 | 19 | - | 30 |
| USSR | 22 | 12 | - | 34 |
| UZBEKISTAN | 14 | 19 | 2 | 35 |
| VENEZUELA | 39 | 168 | 17 | 224 |
| VIETNAM | 303 | 174 | 19 | 496 |
| YEMEN | 4 | 4 | 1 | 9 |
| YUGOSLAVIA | 6 | 1 | - | 7 |
| ZAMBIA | 1 | 5 | - | 6 |
| ZIMBABWE | 6 | 3 | - | 9 |
| UNKNOWN | 82 | 155 | 46 | 283 |

IV. Conclusion

As with any LEA, ICE's top priority is to ensure the safety and protection of communities nationwide. As a result, ICE stresses the importance of removing those who pose public safety and national security threats, those who have violated our Nation's immigration laws, including those who have failed to comply with a final order of removal, and those who have engaged in fraud or willful misrepresentation in connection with official U.S. Government matters.

By focusing on individuals who have been arrested and booked into custody for alleged violations of criminal laws, Secure Communities has proven to be one of ICE's most important tools for identifying and removing criminal aliens as well as repeat immigration violators. However, that is not the only benefit of Secure Communities. Additional benefits of Secure Communities are designed to ensure that the responsibility of immigration enforcement remains with federal officials and does not authorize or permit state or local law enforcement to enforce federal immigration law.

The cooperation from other LEAs is critical for ICE to fulfill its mission to identify and arrest aliens who pose a risk to public safety or national security. ICE's enforcement priorities include the identification and removal of criminal aliens, other aliens who pose a threat to public safety, and aliens who have violated our Nation's immigration laws. The most effective way to identify such aliens is by checking the immigration status of individuals arrested and booked into custody for violations of criminal laws. It is ICE, and not the state or local LEA, that determines what immigration enforcement action, if any, is appropriate.

Appendix: Abbreviations

| Abbreviation | Definition |
|--------------|--|
| DHS | Department of Homeland Security |
| ERO | Enforcement and Removal Operations |
| FBI | Federal Bureau of Investigation |
| FOUO | For Official Use Only |
| FY | Fiscal Year |
| ICE | U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement |
| LEA | Law Enforcement Agency |
| Q1 | First Quarter |
| Q2 | Second Quarter |