

(b) (6)

**From:** (b) (6)  
**Sent:** Monday, January 09, 2012 3:29 PM  
**To:** Priv Review; (b) (6) CRCL Product Review; OGC\_ES\_INTEL; (b) (6)  
(b) (6) (b) (6)  
**Cc:** IIRSubmission  
**Subject:** RE: Please Review DT 686889A USPER RTP KOM.doc  
**Attachments:** DT 686889A USPER RTP KOM (CRCL).doc  
**Categories:** Purple Category

CLASSIFICATION: ~~UNCLASSIFIED~~  
CAVEATS: ~~FOUO~~  
TERMS: NONE

~~(U/FOUO)~~ CRCL concurs with PRIV and also has further comments/suggested edits attached.

(b) (5)

Best regards,

(b) (6)

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Mathews, John S On Behalf Of Priv Review  
**Sent:** Monday, January 09, 2012 1:00 PM  
**To:** (b) (6) CRCL Product Review; Priv Review; OGC\_ES\_INTEL; (b) (6)  
(b) (6) (b) (6)  
**Cc:** IIRSubmission  
**Subject:** RE: Please Review DT 686889A USPER RTP KOM.doc

CLASSIFICATION: ~~UNCLASSIFIED~~  
CAVEATS: ~~FOUO~~  
TERMS: NONE

(b) (5)

Scott  
John Scott Mathews, CIPP  
Senior Privacy Analyst for Intelligence

DHS Privacy Office

Pager: (b) (6)

Unclass: 7(b) (6)

(b) (6)

-----Original Message-----

From: (b) (6)

Sent: Monday, January 09, 2012 9:51 AM

To: CRCL Product Review; Priv Review; OGC\_ES\_INTEL; (b) (6)

(b) (6)

(b) (6)

Cc: IIRSubmission

Subject: Please Review DT 686889A USPER RTP KOM.doc

CLASSIFICATION: ~~UNCLASSIFIED~~

CAVEATS: ~~FOUO~~

TERMS: NONE

CLASSIFICATION W/O ATCH: ~~UNCLASSIFIED~~

CAVEATS W/O ATCH: ~~FOUO~~

TERMS W/O ATCH: NONE

CLASSIFICATION: ~~UNCLASSIFIED~~

CAVEATS: ~~FOUO~~

TERMS: NONE

CLASSIFICATION W/O ATCH: ~~UNCLASSIFIED~~

CAVEATS W/O ATCH: ~~FOUO~~

TERMS W/O ATCH: NONE

CLASSIFICATION: ~~UNCLASSIFIED~~

CAVEATS: FOUO

TERMS: NONE

CLASSIFICATION W/O ATCH: ~~UNCLASSIFIED~~

CAVEATS W/O ATCH: ~~FOUO~~

TERMS W/O ATCH: NONE

CLASSIFICATION: ~~UNCLASSIFIED~~

CAVEATS: ~~FOUO~~

TERMS: NONE

(b) (6)

**From:** (b) (6)  
**Sent:** Thursday, January 12, 2012 11:42 AM  
**To:** (b) (6); (b) (6)  
**Cc:** IIRSubmission; (b) (6); (b) (6) CRCL Product Review; Priv Review  
**Subject:** RE: Please Review DT 686889A USPER RTP KOM.doc

**Categories:** Purple Category

CLASSIFICATION: ~~UNCLASSIFIED~~  
CAVEATS: ~~FOUO~~  
TERMS: NONE

(b) (6)

Thanks for sharing the additional source information that supports the original language at the end of paragraph 3. With all other previous requested changes met and limited distribution, CRCL clears.

Regards,

(b) (6)

-----Original Message-----

**From:** (b) (6)  
**Sent:** Wednesday, January 11, 2012 12:10 PM  
**To:** (b) (6); (b) (6)  
**Cc:** IIRSubmission; (b) (6); (b) (6)  
**Subject:** RE: Please Review DT 686889A USPER RTP KOM.doc

CLASSIFICATION: ~~UNCLASSIFIED~~  
CAVEATS: ~~FOUO~~  
TERMS: NONE

(b) (5)

Thanks

-----Original Message-----

**From:** (b) (6)  
**Sent:** Wednesday, January 11, 2012 12:06 PM  
**To:** (b) (6); CRCL Product Review; Priv Review; OGC\_ES\_INTEL; (b) (6)  
Mathews, John S  
**Cc:** IIRSubmission; (b) (6); (b) (6); (b) (6)  
**Subject:** RE: Please Review DT 686889A USPER RTP KOM.doc

CLASSIFICATION: ~~UNCLASSIFIED~~  
CAVEATS: ~~FOUO~~  
TERMS: NONE

(b) (6)

(b) (5)

(b) (5)

(b) (5)

~~(FOUO)~~ With these edits and with limited distribution to IC only, CRCL clears.

Thanks

Regards,

(b) (6)

-----Original Message-----

From: (b) (6)

Sent: Wednesday, January 11, 2012 10:20 AM

To: CRCL Product Review; Priv Review; OGC\_ES\_INTEL; (b) (6) (b) (6)

Mathews, John S

Cc: IIRSubmission; (b) (6) (b) (6) (b) (6)

Subject: RE: Please Review DT 686889A USPER RTP KOM.doc

CLASSIFICATION: ~~UNCLASSIFIED~~

CAVEATS: ~~FOUO~~

TERMS: NONE

Oversight offices: Please see the author's comments below in answer to your questions concerning IIR DT 686889A. Additionally, the latest draft of this IIR is attached. Thank you. (b) (6)

(b) (5)

-----Original Message-----

From: (b) (6)

Sent: Tuesday, January 10, 2012 3:12 PM

To: (b) (6)

Cc: IIRSubmission; (b) (6) (b) (6)

Subject: FW: Please Review DT 686889A USPER RTP KOM.doc

CLASSIFICATION: ~~UNCLASSIFIED~~

CAVEATS: ~~FOUO~~

TERMS: NONE

(b) (6)

Please review and address the Privacy and CRCL concerns requesting clarification in the attached IIR modifications.

(b) (5)

(b) (6) is that something we can handle locally, or with the HOTR program office?

Thank you.

V/R,

(b) (6)

DHS/I&A/CRD-RB

(b) (6) (HQS ofc.)  
(b) (6) (Richmond STE)  
(b) (6) (BB)  
(b) (6)  
(b) (6)

-----Original Message-----

From: OGC\_ES\_INTEL

Sent: Monday, January 09, 2012 2:14 PM

To: (b) (6) (b) (6); CRCL Product Review; Priv Review; OGC\_ES\_INTEL;

(b) (6) (b) (6)

Cc: IIRSubmission

Subject: RE: Please Review DT 686889A USPER RTP KOM.doc

CLASSIFICATION: ~~UNCLASSIFIED~~

CAVEATS: ~~FOUO/LES~~

TERMS: NONE

OGC agrees with IO

---

From: (b) (6)

Sent: Monday, January 09, 2012 1:59 PM

To: (b) (6) CRCL Product Review; Priv Review; OGC\_ES\_INTEL; (b) (6)

(b) (6)

Cc: IIRSubmission

Subject: RE: Please Review DT 686889A USPER RTP KOM.doc

CLASSIFICATION: UNCLASSIFIED

CAVEATS: les

TERMS: NONE

(b) (5)

-----Original Message-----

From: (b) (6)

Sent: Monday, January 09, 2012 3:29 PM

To: Priv Review; (b) (6) CRCL Product Review; OGC\_ES\_INTEL; (b) (6)  
(b) (6) (b) (6)  
Cc: IIRSubmission  
Subject: RE: Please Review DT 686889A USPER RTP KOM.doc

CLASSIFICATION: UNCLASSIFIED  
CAVEATS: ~~FOUO~~  
TERMS: NONE

~~(U/FOUO)~~ CRCL concurs with PRIV and also has further comments/suggested edits attached.

(b) (5)

Best regards,

(b) (6)

-----Original Message-----

From: Mathews, John S On Behalf Of Priv Review  
Sent: Monday, January 09, 2012 1:00 PM  
To: (b) (6) CRCL Product Review; Priv Review; OGC\_ES\_INTEL; (b) (6)  
(b) (6) (b) (6)  
Cc: IIRSubmission  
Subject: RE: Please Review DT 686889A USPER RTP KOM.doc

CLASSIFICATION: UNCLASSIFIED  
CAVEATS: FOUO  
TERMS: NONE

(b) (5)

Scott  
John Scott Mathews, CIPP  
Senior Privacy Analyst for Intelligence  
DHS Privacy Office  
Pager: (b) (6)  
Unclass: (b) (6)  
(b) (6)

CLASSIFICATION W/O ATCH: ~~UNCLASSIFIED~~  
CAVEATS W/O ATCH: ~~FOUO~~  
TERMS W/O ATCH: NONE

CLASSIFICATION: ~~UNCLASSIFIED~~  
CAVEATS: ~~FOUO~~  
TERMS: NONE

CLASSIFICATION: ~~UNCLASSIFIED~~  
CAVEATS: ~~FOUO~~  
TERMS: NONE

CLASSIFICATION W/O ATCH: ~~UNCLASSIFIED~~  
CAVEATS W/O ATCH: ~~FOUO~~  
TERMS W/O ATCH: NONE

CLASSIFICATION: ~~UNCLASSIFIED~~  
CAVEATS: ~~FOUO~~  
TERMS: NONE

CLASSIFICATION W/O ATCH: ~~UNCLASSIFIED~~  
CAVEATS W/O ATCH: ~~FOUO~~  
TERMS W/O ATCH: NONE

CLASSIFICATION: ~~UNCLASSIFIED~~  
CAVEATS: ~~FOUO~~  
TERMS: NONE

CLASSIFICATION: ~~UNCLASSIFIED~~  
CAVEATS: ~~FOUO~~  
TERMS: NONE

CLASSIFICATION: ~~UNCLASSIFIED~~  
CAVEATS: ~~FOUO~~  
TERMS: NONE

(b) (6)

**From:** (b) (6)  
**Sent:** Monday, January 09, 2012 12:43 PM  
**To:** (b) (6)  
**Subject:** FW: Please Review DT 686889A USPER RTP KOM.doc  
**Attachments:** DT 686889A USPER RTP KOM.doc

CLASSIFICATION: ~~UNCLASSIFIED~~  
CAVEATS: ~~FOUO~~  
TERMS: NONE

Hi (b) (6),

I would love a second set of eyes on this one. Can you take a look and perhaps we can discuss after you have reviewed?

-----Original Message-----

**From:** (b) (6)  
**Sent:** Monday, January 09, 2012 9:51 AM  
**To:** CRCL Product Review; Priv Review; OGC\_ES\_INTEL; (b) (6) (b) (6)  
(b) (6)  
**Cc:** IIRSubmission  
**Subject:** Please Review DT 686889A USPER RTP KOM.doc

CLASSIFICATION: ~~UNCLASSIFIED~~  
CAVEATS: ~~FOUO~~  
TERMS: NONE

CLASSIFICATION W/O ATCH: ~~UNCLASSIFIED~~  
CAVEATS W/O ATCH: ~~FOUO~~  
TERMS W/O ATCH: NONE

CLASSIFICATION: ~~UNCLASSIFIED~~  
CAVEATS: ~~FOUO~~  
TERMS: NONE

CLASSIFICATION W/O ATCH: ~~UNCLASSIFIED~~  
CAVEATS W/O ATCH: ~~FOUO/LES~~  
TERMS W/O ATCH:  
CLASSIFICATION: ~~UNCLASSIFIED~~  
CAVEATS: ~~FOUO~~  
TERMS: NONE



(b) (6)

**From:** (b) (6)  
**Sent:** Monday, January 09, 2012 3:12 PM  
**To:** (b) (6)  
**Subject:** RE: Please Review DT 686889A USPER RTP KOM.doc  
**Attachments:** DT 686889A USPER RTP KOM (CRCL).doc

CLASSIFICATION: ~~UNCLASSIFIED~~  
CAVEATS: ~~FOUO~~  
TERMS: NONE

~~(U/FOUO)~~ I do have some concerns. Please see the attached.

(b) (6)

-----Original Message-----

**From:** (b) (6)  
**Sent:** Monday, January 09, 2012 12:43 PM  
**To:** (b) (6)  
**Subject:** FW: Please Review DT 686889A USPER RTP KOM.doc

CLASSIFICATION: ~~UNCLASSIFIED~~  
CAVEATS: ~~FOUO~~  
TERMS: NONE

Hi (b) (6),

I would love a second set of eyes on this one. Can you take a look and perhaps we can discuss after you have reviewed?

-----Original Message-----

**From:** (b) (6)  
**Sent:** Monday, January 09, 2012 9:51 AM  
**To:** CRCL Product Review; Priv Review; OGC\_ES\_INTEL; (b) (6) (b) (6)  
(b) (6)  
**Cc:** IIRSubmission  
**Subject:** Please Review DT 686889A USPER RTP KOM.doc

CLASSIFICATION: ~~UNCLASSIFIED~~  
CAVEATS: ~~FOUO~~  
TERMS: NONE

CLASSIFICATION W/O ATCH: ~~UNCLASSIFIED~~  
CAVEATS W/O ATCH: ~~FOUO~~  
TERMS W/O ATCH: NONE

CLASSIFICATION: ~~UNCLASSIFIED~~  
CAVEATS: ~~FOUO~~  
TERMS: NONE

CLASSIFICATION W/O ATCH: ~~UNCLASSIFIED~~  
CAVEATS W/O ATCH: ~~FOUO/LES~~  
TERMS W/O ATCH:

CLASSIFICATION: ~~UNCLASSIFIED~~

CAVEATS: ~~FOUO~~

TERMS: NONE

CLASSIFICATION W/O ATCH: ~~UNCLASSIFIED~~

CAVEATS W/O ATCH: ~~FOUO~~

TERMS W/O ATCH: NONE

CLASSIFICATION: ~~UNCLASSIFIED~~

CAVEATS: ~~FOUO~~

TERMS: NONE

(b) (6)

---

**From:** (b) (6)  
**Sent:** Wednesday, October 12, 2011 9:01 AM  
**To:** (b) (6)  
**Cc:** (b) (6)  
**Subject:** Reporting on OWS?

(b) (6)

Have we started to see products for CRCL review related to the protests? This news story portends an escalation that could lead to reporting...

<http://pajamasmedia.com/tatler/2011/10/11/occupy-l-a-speaker-violence-will-be-necessary-to-achieve-our-goals/>

(b) (6)

(b) (6)

From: (b) (6)  
Sent: Friday, November 04, 2011 1:47 PM  
To: (b) (6)  
Cc: (b) (6) (b) (6)  
FW: FPS

(b) (6)

I received an email from (b) (6) at FPS regarding our phone conversation yesterday afternoon. He provided the email below for our review and consideration. The drafted email is a summary of our conversation and recommended way ahead that he intends to send to senior leadership. I have reviewed the email and recommend we concur with his email draft. Please advise on whether you agree with my recommendation.

Thank you,

(b) (6)

(b) (6)  
(b) (6)  
Office: (b) (6)  
Cell: (b) (6)

-----Original Message-----

From: (b) (6) (b) (6)  
Sent: Friday, November 04, 2011 8:35 AM  
To: (b) (6)  
Subject: FPS

(b) (6),

Good morning. Thank you for your assistance late yesterday. Below is a message that I have drafted and intend to send to my senior leadership regarding what we discussed yesterday. I would appreciate your quick review to make sure I am not unfairly characterizing our conversation and to make sure I'm leaving out any salient points.

Thanks,

(b) (6)  
Division Director  
Threat Management Division  
Federal Protective Service  
(b) (6) cell  
(b) (6)

-----

(b) (5)

(b) (6)

---

From: (b) (6)  
Sent: Friday, November 04, 2011 3:06 PM  
To: (b) (6)  
Subject: RE: FPS

Great, thank you I'll send the reply and CC you.

(b) (6)  
(b) (6)  
Office: (b) (6)  
Cell: (b) (6)

-----Original Message-----

From: (b) (6)  
Sent: Friday, November 04, 2011 3:06 PM  
To: (b) (6)  
Cc: (b) (6) (b) (6) (b) (6)  
Subject: RE: FPS

I agree; I'm okay with this.

-----Original Message-----

From: (b) (6)  
Sent: Friday, November 04, 2011 1:47 PM  
To: (b) (6)  
Cc: (b) (6) (b) (6) (b) (6)  
Subject: FW: FPS

(b) (6)

I received an email from (b) (6) at FPS regarding out phone conversation yesterday afternoon. He provided the email below for our review and consideration. The drafted email is a summary of our conversation and recommended way ahead that he intends to send to senior leadership. I have reviewed the email and recommend we concur with his email draft. Please advise on whether you agree with my recommendation.

Thank you,

(b) (6)

(b) (6)  
(b) (6)  
Office: (b) (6)  
Cell: (b) (6)

-----Original Message-----

From: (b) (6) (b) (6)  
Sent: Friday, November 04, 2011 8:35 AM  
To: (b) (6)  
Subject: FPS

(b) (6)

Good morning. Thank you for your assistance late yesterday. Below is a message that I have drafted and intend to send to my senior leadership regarding what we discussed yesterday. I would appreciate your quick review to make sure I am not unfairly characterizing our conversation and to make sure I'm leaving out any salient points.

Thanks,

(b) (6)

Division Director

Threat Management Division

Federal Protective Service

(b) (6) cell

(b) (6)

-----

(b) (5)

(b) (6)

From: (b) (6)  
Sent: Friday, November 04, 2011 3:06 PM  
To: (b) (6)  
Cc: (b) (6) (b) (6) (b) (6)  
RE: FPS

I agree; I'm okay with this.

-----Original Message-----

From: (b) (6)  
Sent: Friday, November 04, 2011 1:47 PM  
To: (b) (6)  
Cc: (b) (6) (b) (6) (b) (6)  
FW: FPS

(b) (6)

I received an email from (b) (6) at FPS regarding our phone conversation yesterday afternoon. He provided the email below for our review and consideration. The drafted email is a summary of our conversation and recommended way ahead that he intends to send to senior leadership. I have reviewed the email and recommend we concur with his email draft. Please advise on whether you agree with my recommendation.

Thank you,

(b) (6)

(b) (6)  
(b) (6)  
Office: (b) (6)  
Cell: (b) (6)

-----Original Message-----

From: (b) (6) (b) (6)  
Sent: Friday, November 04, 2011 8:35 AM  
To: (b) (6)  
Subject: FPS

(b) (6),

Good morning. Thank you for your assistance late yesterday. Below is a message that I have drafted and intend to send to my senior leadership regarding what we discussed yesterday. I would appreciate your quick review to make sure I am not unfairly characterizing our conversation and to make sure I'm leaving out any salient points.

Thanks,

(b) (6)  
Division Director  
Threat Management Division  
Federal Protective Service  
(b) (6) cell  
(b) (6)

(b) (6)

---

**From:** (b) (6)  
**Sent:** Friday, November 04, 2011 4:09 PM  
**To:** (b) (6)  
**Cc:** (b) (6)  
**Subject:** RE: FPS

(b) (6)

Thank for reaching out to CRCL to discuss this issue. You have accurately characterized our conversation and the recommendations CRCL put forth. We agree with your recommended approach and look forward to continuing to work with you on this issue.

Thank you and have a great weekend,

(b) (6)

(b) (6)  
(b) (6)  
Office: (b) (6)  
Cell: (b) (6)

-----Original Message-----

**From:** (b) (6) (b) (6)  
**Sent:** Friday, November 04, 2011 8:35 AM  
**To:** (b) (6)  
**Subject:** FPS

(b) (6),

Good morning. Thank you for your assistance late yesterday. Below is a message that I have drafted and intend to send to my senior leadership regarding what we discussed yesterday. I would appreciate you quick review to make sure I am not unfairly characterizing our conversation and to make sure I'm leaving out any salient points.

Thanks,

(b) (6)  
Division Director  
Threat Management Division  
Federal Protective Service  
(b) (6) cell  
(b) (6)

-----

(b) (5)



(b) (6)

---

**From:** (b) (6)  
**Sent:** Wednesday, November 09, 2011 5:10 PM  
**To:** (b) (6)  
**Subject:** FW: Protest Activity Guidance (FPS)  
**Attachments:** Occupy Guidance.docx

(b) (6)

Can we talk about this tomorrow? Shall I forward to the rest of the team for their consideration?

(b) (6)

---

**From:** (b) (6) (b) (6)  
**Sent:** Wednesday, November 09, 2011 3:16 PM  
**To:** (b) (6)  
**Cc:** (b) (6) (b) (6)  
**Subject:** Protest Activity Guidance (FPS)

(b) (6)

Good afternoon. I am requesting CRCL vetting of the attached document. FPS would like to send this guidance to our 11 regional field offices. In addition, the last page outlines the data we would like to have the regional field offices collect and report to HQ so that the Director has situational awareness of any public safety issues affecting federal facilities.

Thanks,  
(b) (6)

(b) (6)

---

**From:** (b) (6)  
**Sent:** Wednesday, November 09, 2011 5:22 PM  
**To:** (b) (6)  
**Subject:** RE: Protest Activity Guidance (FPS)

Yes, happy to chat. Let's discuss tomorrow before you send it anywhere.

---

**From:** (b) (6)  
**Sent:** Wednesday, November 09, 2011 5:10 PM  
**To:** (b) (6)  
**Subject:** FW: Protest Activity Guidance (FPS)

(b) (6)

Can we talk about this tomorrow? Shall I forward to the rest of the team for their consideration?

(b) (6)

---

**From:** (b) (6) (b) (6)  
**Sent:** Wednesday, November 09, 2011 3:16 PM  
**To:** (b) (6)  
**Cc:** (b) (6) (b) (6)  
**Subject:** Protest Activity Guidance (FPS)

(b) (6)

Good afternoon. I am requesting CRCL vetting of the attached document. FPS would like to send this guidance to our 11 regional field offices. In addition, the last page outlines the data we would like to have the regional field offices collect and report to HQ so that the Director has situational awareness of any public safety issues affecting federal facilities.

Thanks,  
(b) (6)

(b) (6)

---

**From:** (b) (6)  
**Sent:** Thursday, November 10, 2011 5:07 PM  
**To:** (b) (6)  
**Cc:** (b) (6); (b) (6); (b) (6)  
**Subject:** RE: Protest Activity Guidance (FPS)  
**Attachments:** Occupy Guidance\_CRCL.docx

Hi (b) (6)

Thank you for giving CRCL the opportunity to review the Protest Activity Guidance. We have reviewed the guidance and offered some line edits that seek to further protect civil rights and civil liberties. In addition, we offered a few minor format suggestions for your consideration. Please feel free to call me to discuss. Have a great weekend,

(b) (6)

(b) (6)  
(b) (6)  
Office: (b) (6)  
Cell: (b) (6)

---

**From:** (b) (6) (b) (6)  
**Sent:** Wednesday, November 09, 2011 3:16 PM  
**To:** (b) (6)  
**Cc:** (b) (6) (b) (6)  
**Subject:** Protest Activity Guidance (FPS)

(b) (6)

Good afternoon. I am requesting CRCL vetting of the attached document. FPS would like to send this guidance to our 11 regional field offices. In addition, the last page outlines the data we would like to have the regional field offices collect and report to HQ so that the Director has situational awareness of any public safety issues affecting federal facilities.

Thanks,  
(b) (6)

(b) (6)

---

**From:** (b) (6)  
**Sent:** Wednesday, November 16, 2011 4:59 PM  
**To:** (b) (6)  
**Cc:** (b) (6) (b) (6) (b) (6) (b) (6)  
**Subject:** RE: FPS Arrest At Portland Occupy

Thanks (b) (6).

-----Original Message-----

**From:** (b) (6)  
**Sent:** Wednesday, November 16, 2011 4:52 PM  
**To:** (b) (6)  
**Subject:** FPS Arrest At Portland Occupy

[http://www.google.com/m/url?client=safari&ei=Yy7ETvjpI6PdlgeCeg&gl=us&hl=en&q=http://www.examiner.com/top-news-in-minneapolis/dhs-s-federal-protective-services-seen-at-portland-occupy-arrests&source=mog&tbm=nws&ved=0CB4QqQIwAQ&usg=AFQjCNGAU0SbeE0Nsb\\_5kPHE3TUAmTrGeA](http://www.google.com/m/url?client=safari&ei=Yy7ETvjpI6PdlgeCeg&gl=us&hl=en&q=http://www.examiner.com/top-news-in-minneapolis/dhs-s-federal-protective-services-seen-at-portland-occupy-arrests&source=mog&tbm=nws&ved=0CB4QqQIwAQ&usg=AFQjCNGAU0SbeE0Nsb_5kPHE3TUAmTrGeA)

(b) (6)

---

**From:** (b) (6)  
**Sent:** Monday, November 21, 2011 11:57 AM  
**To:** (b) (6)  
**Cc:** (b) (6)  
**Subject:** FW: Protest Activity Guidance (FPS)  
**Attachments:** Occupy Guidance\_CRCL.docx

DELIBERATIVE,

(b) (6)

As discussed with (b) (6), here is some of the guidance we have provided to FPS.

V/R,

(b) (6)

(b) (6)  
(b) (6)  
Office: (b) (6)  
Cell: (b) (6)

---

**From:** (b) (6)  
**Sent:** Thursday, November 10, 2011 5:07 PM  
**To:** (b) (6)  
**Cc:** (b) (6); (b) (6); (b) (6)  
**Subject:** RE: Protest Activity Guidance (FPS)

Hi (b) (6)

Thank you for giving CRCL the opportunity to review the Protest Activity Guidance. We have reviewed the guidance and offered some line edits that seek to further protect civil rights and civil liberties. In addition, we offered a few minor format suggestions for your consideration. Please feel free to call me to discuss. Have a great weekend,

(b) (6)

(b) (6)  
(b) (6)  
Office: (b) (6)  
Cell: (b) (6)

---

**From:** (b) (6) (b) (6)  
**Sent:** Wednesday, November 09, 2011 3:16 PM  
**To:** (b) (6)  
**Cc:** (b) (6) (b) (6)  
**Subject:** Protest Activity Guidance (FPS)

(b) (6)

Good afternoon. I am requesting CRCL vetting of the attached document. FPS would like to send this guidance to our 11 regional field offices. In addition, the last page outlines the data we would like to have the regional field offices collect and report to HQ so that the Director has situational awareness of any public safety issues affecting federal facilities.

Thanks,  
(b) (6)

## Kroloff, Noah

---

**From:** Kroloff, Noah  
**Sent:** Sunday, January 01, 2012 8:06 PM  
**To:** (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) Shlossman, Amy; Sandweg, John  
**Cc:** (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)  
**Subject:** Re: Update #2 Protest - FW: Occupy movement protest at PDN

Muchas gracias

---

**From:** (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)  
**Sent:** Sunday, January 01, 2012 08:03 PM  
**To:** Kroloff, Noah; Shlossman, Amy; Sandweg, John  
**Cc:** (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)  
**Subject:** Fw: Update #2 Protest - FW: Occupy movement protest at PDN

"Occupy Movement" protest near Paso Del Norte port of entry in El Paso this afternoon (approx 80 protestors); no impact to traffic or operations; protest has concluded.

---

**From:** AGUILAR, DAVID V  
**To:** MCALEENAN, KEVIN K.; (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)  
**Cc:** WINKOWSKI, THOMAS  
**Sent:** Sun Jan 01 19:56:50 2012  
**Subject:** Re: Update #2 Protest - FW: Occupy movement protest at PDN

10-4.

(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Update DHS through Noah and Amy.

David

---

**From:** MCALEENAN, KEVIN K.  
**To:** C1 BULLETS  
**Sent:** Sun Jan 01 19:34:02 2012  
**Subject:** Re: Update #2 Protest - FW: Occupy movement protest at PDN

Protest now over without incident.

---

**From:** MCALEENAN, KEVIN K.  
**To:** C1 BULLETS  
**Sent:** Sun Jan 01 19:21:38 2012  
**Subject:** Fw: Update #2 Protest - FW: Occupy movement protest at PDN

FYSA, we are monitoring a minor "occupy movement" protest near Paso del Norte. No impact to traffic or operations at this time. We will provide updates as appropriate.

---

**From:** BALDWIN, JEFFREY O  
**To:** MCALEENAN, KEVIN K.  
**Cc:** (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)





- Attached is the article from the El Paso Times.
- We can only see about 5 individuals holding up home made signs and passing out flyers at the corner of El Paso and Sixth st.
- Traffic has not been effected up to this point and the demonstrators have not attempted to enter the POE's footprint

---

(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Chief CBP Officer  
U.S. Customs and Border Protection  
Port of El Paso, Texas  
Paso Del Norte Inspection Station  
1000 South El Paso Street  
El Paso, Texas 79901

(b) (6), (b) (7)(C) Office

(b) (6), (b) (7)(C) BB

This communication may contain confidential and sensitive U.S. Government information, and is FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY. It is not for release, review, retransmission, dissemination, or use by anyone other than the intended recipient. Please notify the sender if this e-mail has been misdirected and immediately destroy all originals and copies of the original. Any disclosure of this document must be approved by the Office of Field Operations, El Paso Field Office, U.S. Customs and Border Protection, Department of Homeland Security

## de Vallance, Brian

---

**From:** Quijas, Louis  
**Sent:** Wednesday, December 21, 2011 2:14 PM  
**To:** de Vallance, Brian; Frome, Stu  
**Subject:** RE: 'Anonymous'-Linked Hackers Expose Personal Information Of Thousands Of American Police Officers, Family-Values Advocates--MMC IOI #7082-11

Thanks for the info. Lou

Louis F. Quijas  
Assistant Secretary  
Office for State and Local Law Enforcement  
United States Department of Homeland Security  
(b) (6) Telephone



---

**From:** de Vallance, Brian  
**Sent:** Wednesday, December 21, 2011 2:11 PM  
**To:** Quijas, Louis; Frome, Stu  
**Subject:** FYI: 'Anonymous'-Linked Hackers Expose Personal Information Of Thousands Of American Police Officers, Family-Values Advocates--MMC IOI #7082-11

Fyi.

---

**From:** NOC Media Monitoring (b) (6)  
**Sent:** Wednesday, December 21, 2011 01:46 PM  
**To:** Undisclosed recipients <Undisclosed recipients;>  
**Subject:** 'Anonymous'-Linked Hackers Expose Personal Information Of Thousands Of American Police Officers, Family-Values Advocates--MMC IOI #7082-11

### **Location: United States**

Computer hackers are avenging the Occupy movement by exposing the personal information of police officers who evicted protesters and are threatening family-values advocates who led a boycott of an American Muslim television show.

In three Internet postings last week, hackers from the loose online coalition called Anonymous published the personal information of thousands of law enforcement officers all over the country.

One hacker posted the email and physical addresses, phone numbers and encrypted passwords of more than 2,400 police officers and corporate security executives. The targeted police officers and security personnel were members of the Coalition of Law Enforcement and Retail (CLEAR), a nonprofit group that promotes cooperation between local police forces and retail corporations throughout the United States.

Another hacker struck the website of the Florida Family Association. In an Internet posting, he told the family association he was reading its email, and he published email addresses and partial credit-card information of two dozen or so of the group's supporters.

A third hacker posted 18,000 emails, names and passwords of customers from Specialforces.com, a website that sells military-style clothing and weapon accessories. A brief review suggested that many of the customers might be police officers or military personnel and identifiable as such by their emails.

**Traditional Media Sources** (some page content may change or not be available over time):

- **Washington Times**

-- <http://www.washingtontimes.com/news/2011/dec/20/hackers-post-cops-personal-data-online/>

(b) (6)

**Operations Analyst**

**DHS NOC Media Monitoring**

**Phone:** (b) (6)

**Cell:** (b) (6)

## Sandweg, John

---

**From:** Kroloff, Noah  
**Sent:** Sunday, January 01, 2012 8:06 PM  
**To:** (b) (6), (b) (7)(C); Shlossman, Amy; Sandweg, John  
**Cc:** (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)  
**Subject:** Re: Update #2 Protest - FW: Occupy movement protest at PDN

Muchas gracias

---

**From:** (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)  
**Sent:** Sunday, January 01, 2012 08:03 PM  
**To:** Kroloff, Noah; Shlossman, Amy; Sandweg, John  
**Cc:** (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)  
**Subject:** Fw: Update #2 Protest - FW: Occupy movement protest at PDN

"Occupy Movement" protest near Paso Del Norte port of entry in El Paso this afternoon (approx 80 protestors); no impact to traffic or operations; protest has concluded.

---

**From:** AGUILAR, DAVID V  
**To:** MCALEENAN, KEVIN K.; (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)  
**Cc:** WINKOWSKI, THOMAS  
**Sent:** Sun Jan 01 19:56:50 2012  
**Subject:** Re: Update #2 Protest - FW: Occupy movement protest at PDN

10-4.

(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Update DHS through Noah and Amy.

David

---

**From:** MCALEENAN, KEVIN K.  
**To:** C1 BULLETS  
**Sent:** Sun Jan 01 19:34:02 2012  
**Subject:** Re: Update #2 Protest - FW: Occupy movement protest at PDN

Protest now over without incident.

---

**From:** MCALEENAN, KEVIN K.  
**To:** C1 BULLETS  
**Sent:** Sun Jan 01 19:21:38 2012  
**Subject:** Fw: Update #2 Protest - FW: Occupy movement protest at PDN

FYSA, we are monitoring a minor "occupy movement" protest near Paso del Norte. No impact to traffic or operations at this time. We will provide updates as appropriate.

---

**From:** BALDWIN, JEFFREY O  
**To:** MCALEENAN, KEVIN K.  
**Cc:** (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)



- Attached is the article from the El Paso Times.
- We can only see about 5 individuals holding up home made signs and passing out flyers at the corner of El Paso and Sixth st.
- Traffic has not been effected up to this point and the demonstrators have not attempted to enter the POE's footprint

(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Chief CBP Officer  
U.S. Customs and Border Protection  
Port of El Paso, Texas  
Paso Del Norte Inspection Station  
1000 South El Paso Street  
El Paso, Texas 79901

(b) (6), (b) (7)(C) Office

(b) (6), (b) (7)(C) BB

This communication may contain confidential and sensitive U.S. Government information, and is FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY. It is not for release, review, retransmission, dissemination, or use by anyone other than the intended recipient. Please notify the sender if this e-mail has been misdirected and immediately destroy all originals and copies of the original. Any disclosure of this document must be approved by the Office of Field Operations, El Paso Field Office, U.S. Customs and Border Protection, Department of Homeland Security

**JIOC-ICE**

---

**From:** (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) on behalf of DHS Ops Daily Reporting  
(b) (7)(E)  
**Sent:** Friday, February 03, 2012 7:01 AM  
**Subject:** DHS Daily Operations Summary  
**Attachments:** February 3 2012 DHS Daily Operations Summary.pdf

Today's DHS Daily Operations Summary is attached. This report is FOUO and is also posted to HSIN in the Fed Ops and Law Enforcement portals.

Additionally, a classified Daily Intelligence Highlight briefing is available on HSDN. For further information, please contact the National Operations Center (NOC)/Intelligence Watch & Warning (IWW), Senior Intelligence Analyst at (b) (7)(E) for further information."

(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)  
Office of Operations Coordination & Planning  
Incident Management Officer  
Department of Homeland Security  
Desk (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)  
BB (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)  
Fax (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)



## DHS Daily Operations Summary

U.S. Department of Homeland Security

NTAS	NO ALERTS
MARSEC	Level 1
FIRE PREP	Level 1
COGCON	Level 4
NCRAL	GUARDED

**February 3, 2012**

*This report highlights significant operational events involving the U.S. Department of Homeland Security (DHS) components. This report will be submitted every weekday (excluding holidays) or more frequently as the DHS homeland security mission dictates.*

### Section 1 – Headquarters, Department of Homeland Security (DHS)

#### National Operations Center (NOC)

Operations continue; further updates will be submitted as significant incidents occur or situations dictate.

### Section 2 – U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP)

#### Office of Field Operations (OFO)

Not Responsive

*Prepared by the Office of Operations Coordination and Planning*



**Office of Border Patrol (OBP)**

Not Responsive



**Office of Air and Marine (OAM)**

Operations continue; further updates will be submitted as significant incidents occur or situations dictate.

**Section 3 – U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement (ICE)**

---

**Homeland Security Investigations (HSI)**

Not Responsive



*Prepared by the Office of Operations Coordination and Planning*

Not Responsive

**Significant Arrests**

Not Responsive

**Homeland Security Investigations International Affairs (HSI IA)**

Operations continue; further updates will be submitted as significant incidents occur or situations dictate.

**Enforcement and Removal Operations (ERO)**

Operations continue; further updates will be submitted as significant incidents occur or situations dictate.

**Section 4 – U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services (USCIS)**

**Significant Arrest**

Not Responsive

**Section 5 – U.S. Transportation Security Administration (TSA)**

Not Responsive



**Section 6 – U.S. Coast Guard (USCG)**

Not Responsive



*Prepared by the Office of Operations Coordination and Planning*

Not Responsive



**Section 7 – U.S. Secret Service (USSS)**

Not Responsive



**Section 8 – National Protection and Programs Directorate (NPPD)**

**Federal Protective Service (FPS)**

Not Responsive



*Prepared by the Office of Operations Coordination and Planning*

## Office of Infrastructure Protection (IP)

Operations continue; further updates will be submitted as significant incidents occur or situations dictate.

## National Cyber Security & Communications Integration Center (NCCIC)

### Local Government Cyber Threat

- Miami, Florida: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) advised the US-Computer Emergency Response Team that the City of Miami was aware of a social network based threat against its infrastructure. The Hacktivist group Antisec intends to breach servers in the City of Miami within 12 hours and release data within 72 hours. This threat was in response to the eviction of Occupy Miami protestors on January 31. (b) (7)(E)

(b) (7)(E) Multi-State Information Sharing and Analysis Center (MS-ISAC) and law enforcement are currently working with the City of Miami. (b) (7)(E)

(b) (7)(E)

## Section 9 – National Bio-Surveillance Integration System (NBIS)

Operations continue; further updates will be submitted as significant incidents occur or situations dictate.

## Section 10 – Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA)

Not Responsive

*Prepared by the Office of Operations Coordination and Planning*

~~UNCLASSIFIED//FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY~~

**JIOC-ICE**

---

**From:** (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) on behalf of DHS Ops Daily Reporting  
(b) (7)(E)  
**Sent:** Tuesday, January 31, 2012 7:12 AM  
**Subject:** \*REVISED\*DHS Daily Operations Summary  
**Attachments:** January 31 2012 DHS Daily Operations Summary.pdf

\*REVISED – Date on the top of the document was incorrect. Corrected to show today's date.

Today's DHS Daily Operations Summary is attached. This report is FOUO and is also posted to HSIN in the Fed Ops and Law Enforcement portals.

Additionally, a classified Daily Intelligence Highlight briefing is available on HSDN. For further information, please contact the National Operations Center (NOC)/Intelligence Watch & Warning (IWW), Senior Intelligence Analyst at (b) (7)(E) for further information.”

(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Office of Operations Coordination & Planning  
Incident Management Officer  
Department of Homeland Security

Desk (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)  
BB (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)  
Fax



## DHS Daily Operations Summary

U.S. Department of Homeland Security

NTAS	NO ALERTS
MARSEC	Level 1
FIRE PREP	Level 1
COGCON	Level 4
NCRAL	GUARDED

**January 30, 2012**

*This report highlights significant operational events involving the U.S. Department of Homeland Security (DHS) components. This report will be submitted every weekday (excluding holidays) or more frequently as the DHS homeland security mission dictates.*

### Section 1 – Headquarters, Department of Homeland Security (DHS)

#### National Operations Center (NOC)

Operations continue; further updates will be submitted as significant incidents occur or situations dictate.

### Section 2 – U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP)

#### Office of Field Operations (OFO)

Not Responsive

*Prepared by the Office of Operations Coordination and Planning*

Not Responsive



Office of Border Patrol (OBP)

Not Responsive



Office of Air and Marine (OAM)

Operations continue; further updates will be submitted as significant incidents occur or situations dictate.

**Section 3 – U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement (ICE)**

---

Homeland Security Investigations (HSI)

Significant Seizure

Not Responsive



*Prepared by the Office of Operations Coordination and Planning*

---



Not Responsive



**Homeland Security Investigations International Affairs (HSI IA)**

Operations continue; further updates will be submitted as significant incidents occur or situations dictate.

**Enforcement and Removal Operations (ERO)**

Operations continue; further updates will be submitted as significant incidents occur or situations dictate.

*Prepared by the Office of Operations Coordination and Planning*

**Section 4 – U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services (USCIS)**

---

Operations continue; further updates will be submitted as significant incidents occur or situations dictate.

**Section 5 – U.S. Transportation Security Administration (TSA)**

Not Responsive



**Section 6 – U.S. Coast Guard (USCG)**

Not Responsive



---

*Prepared by the Office of Operations Coordination and Planning*

Not Responsive



**Section 7 – U.S. Secret Service (USSS)**

Not Responsive



**Section 8 – National Protection and Programs Directorate (NPPD)**

**Federal Protective Service (FPS)**

- **Louisville, KY:** FPS was advised of a public website which contained a discussion by members of the Occupy Wall Street movement to visit a US Senator's office on February 3 to present a copy of the Constitution. The discussion also mentioned a proposal to protest at the McConnell Center in Louisville. Both events are advertised as being in protest to the National Defense Authorization Act. FPS coordinated with the U.S. Capitol Police and will have personnel on hand to keep the peace during the visit.

Not Responsive



**Office of Infrastructure Protection (IP)**

Operations continue; further updates will be submitted as significant incidents occur or situations dictate.

**National Cyber Security & Communications Integration Center (NCCIC)**

Operations continue; further updates will be submitted as significant incidents occur or situations dictate.

*Prepared by the Office of Operations Coordination and Planning*

## Section 9 – National Bio-Surveillance Integration System (NBIS)

---

Operations continue; further updates will be submitted as significant incidents occur or situations dictate.

## Section 10 – Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA)

Not Responsive



---

*Prepared by the Office of Operations Coordination and Planning*

**JIOC-ICE**

---

**From:** (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) ICE - OI  
**Sent:** Thursday, January 19, 2012 9:13 PM  
**To:**

(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

**Cc:** JIOC-ICE  
**Subject:** FYSA: Occupy the Courts  
**Attachments:** D\_OTC\_01-19-12.pdf; OTC\_Operations-Plan.pdf; Attch1\_DHS-USMS.pdf; Attch2\_Media-Guidance.pdf; Attch3\_Significant-Protest-Activity-Rpt.pdf

**Importance:** High

**Categories:** (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

All, please see below for your situational awareness. I am sending the entire string so that all of you have what we in OPS have. Some of you may have already gotten this from your local poc's  
If we receive any additional information I will pass it along.

(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

-----Original Message-----

**From:** (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)  
**Sent:** Thursday, January 19, 2012 02:34 PM Pacific Standard Time  
**To:** (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) ICE - OI  
**Subject:** FW: \*\*\*DRAFT\*\*\*FYSA: Occupy the Courts

This was requested to be sent to all SACs by NJTTF.

---

**From:** JIOC-ICE  
**Sent:** Thursday, January 19, 2012 5:13 PM  
**To:** (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)  
**Subject:** \*\*\*DRAFT\*\*\*FYSA: Occupy the Courts  
**Importance:** High

Good Evening,

On Friday, January 20, 2012 a nationwide protest is planned at courthouses throughout the U.S. The following documents have been attached for your situational awareness.

- USMS Memorandum
- USMS Operations Plan for Occupy the Courts

- Memorandum of understanding between the Department of Homeland Security, the Department of Justice, and the Administrative Office of the United States Courts regarding court security
- USMS Public Affairs Guidance for "Occupy the Courts" protest planned for Friday, Jan. 20
- USMS Significant Protest Report Form

V/r,

(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Watch Intelligence/Operations Officer  
Joint Intelligence Operations Center (JIOC)  
U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement  
500 12th Street, SW - 10th Floor  
Washington, DC 20536

Desk/STE: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Fax: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

UNCLASS: (b) (7)(E)

HSDN (b) (7)(E)

Warning: This document is UNCLASSIFIED//FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY (U//FOUO). It contains information that may be exempt from public release under the Freedom of Information Act (5 U.S.C. 552). It is to be controlled, stored, handled, transmitted, distributed, and disposed of in accordance with DHS policy relating to FOUO information and is not to be released to the public or other personnel who do not have a valid "need-to-know" without prior approval of an authorized DHS official. No portion of this report should be furnished to the media, either in written or verbal form.

---

**From:** (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

**Sent:** Thursday, January 19, 2012 4:45 PM

**To:** JIOC-ICE

**Cc:** (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

**Subject:** FW: Occupy the Courts

**Importance:** High

There is a nationwide protest planned at courthouses throughout the U.S. tomorrow. The SAC offices should be apprised of this information for their situational awareness.

(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

---

**From:** (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

**Sent:** Thursday, January 19, 2012 3:16 PM

**To:** FBI\_NJTTF

**Subject:** Fw: Occupy the Courts

**Importance:** High

FYI

(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

USMS / NJTTF / X1

Desk: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Cell: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

---

**From:** (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

**To:** (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

**Cc:** (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

**Sent:** Thu Jan 19 15:11:22 2012  
**Subject:** FW: Occupy the Courts

Gentlemen

Please see the attached information regarding tomorrow's Occupy the Courts planned protests.

Should you receive any intelligence related to this event, please forward it to myself or contact OPI's Threat Management Center (b) (6), (b) (7)(C); should the information be time sensitive.

If you have any questions or concerns, please let me know.

V/R

(b) (6)

---

**From:** (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)  
**Sent:** Thursday, January 19, 2012 3:03 PM  
**To:** (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

**Subject:** FW: Occupy the Courts  
**Importance:** High

FYI. Thanks, (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)  
ASSISTANT DIRECTOR (ACTING)  
JUDICIAL SECURITY DIVISION  
U.S. MARSHALS SERVICE

(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

---

**From:** Administrative Notices (USMS)  
**Sent:** Thursday, January 19, 2012 11:01 AM  
**To:** US MARSHALS (District); USMS CHIEFS (District); HO-DIRDIRSTAFFPLUS (USMS)

**Cc:** (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

**Subject:** Occupy the Courts  
**Importance:** High

**POCs:** (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) (JSD); (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) or (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) (OGC); (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Memorandum dated January 19, 2012, from the Director regarding the Occupy the Courts protests scheduled for tomorrow, January 20, 2012. Also includes a National Operations Plan.

**JIOC-ICE**

---

**From:** (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)  
**Sent:** Thursday, January 19, 2012 5:35 PM  
**To:** JIOC-ICE  
**Subject:** RE: \*\*\*DRAFT\*\*\*FYSA: Occupy the Courts  
  
**Categories:** (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

And NOC please.

---

**From:** JIOC-ICE  
**Sent:** Thursday, January 19, 2012 5:13 PM  
**To:** (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)  
**Subject:** \*\*\*DRAFT\*\*\*FYSA: Occupy the Courts  
**Importance:** High

Good Evening,

On Friday, January 20, 2012 a nationwide protest is planned at courthouses throughout the U.S. The following documents have been attached for your situational awareness.

- USMS Memorandum
- USMS Operations Plan for Occupy the Courts
- Memorandum of understanding between the Department of Homeland Security, the Department of Justice, and the Administrative Office of the United States Courts regarding court security
- USMS Public Affairs Guidance for "Occupy the Courts" protest planned for Friday, Jan. 20
- USMS Significant Protest Report Form

V/r,

(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Watch Intelligence/Operations Officer  
Joint Intelligence Operations Center (JIOC)  
U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement  
500 12th Street, SW - 10th Floor  
Washington, DC 20536  
Desk/STE: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)  
Fax: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)  
UNCLASS: (b) (7)(E)  
HSDN: (b) (7)(E)

Warning: This document is UNCLASSIFIED//FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY (U//FOUO). It contains information that may be exempt from public release under the Freedom of Information Act (5 U.S.C. 552). It is to be controlled, stored, handled, transmitted, distributed, and disposed of in accordance with DHS policy relating to FOUO information and is not to be released to the public or other personnel who do not have a valid "need-to-know" without prior approval of an authorized DHS official. No portion of this report should be furnished to the media, either in written or verbal form.



**From:** (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)  
**Sent:** Thursday, January 19, 2012 4:45 PM  
**To:** JJOC-ICF  
**Cc:** (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)  
**Subject:** FW: Occupy the Courts  
**Importance:** High

There is a nationwide protest planned at courthouses throughout the U.S. tomorrow. The SAC offices should be apprised of this information for their situational awareness.

(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

---

**From:** (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)  
**Sent:** Thursday, January 19, 2012 3:16 PM  
**To:** FBI\_NJTTF  
**Subject:** Fw: Occupy the Courts  
**Importance:** High

FYI

(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)  
USMS / NJTTF / LX1  
Desk: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)  
Cell: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

---

**From:** (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)  
**To:** (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)  
**Cc:** (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)  
**Sent:** Thu Jan 19 15:11:22 2012  
**Subject:** FW: Occupy the Courts

Gentlemen

Please see the attached information regarding tomorrow's Occupy the Courts planned protests.

Should you receive any intelligence related to this event, please forward it to myself or contact OPI's Threat Management Center (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) should the information be time sensitive.

If you have any questions or concerns, please let me know.

V/R

(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

---

**From:** (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)  
**Sent:** Thursday, January 19, 2012 3:03 PM  
**To:** (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

**Subject:** FW: Occupy the Courts  
**Importance:** High

FYI. Thanks, (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

ASSISTANT DIRECTOR (ACTING)  
JUDICIAL SECURITY DIVISION  
U.S. MARSHALS SERVICE

(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

---

**From:** Administrative Notices (USMS)

**Sent:** Thursday, January 19, 2012 11:01 AM

**To:** US MARSHALS (District); USMS CHIEFS (District); HQ-DIRDIRSTAFFPLUS (USMS)

**Cc:** (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

**Subject:** Occupy the Courts

**Importance:** High

**POCs:** (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) (JSD); (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) or (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) (OGC); (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Memorandum dated January 19, 2012, from the Director regarding the Occupy the Courts protests scheduled for tomorrow, January 20, 2012. Also includes a National Operations Plan.

**JIOC-ICE**

---

**From:** (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)  
**Sent:** Thursday, January 19, 2012 4:45 PM  
**To:** JIOC-ICE  
**Cc:** (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)  
**Subject:** FW: Occupy the Courts  
**Attachments:** D\_OTC\_01-19-12.pdf; OTC\_Operations-Plan.pdf; Atch1\_DHS-USMS.pdf; Atch2\_Media-Guidance.pdf; Atch3\_Significant-Protest-Activity-Rpt.pdf

**Importance:** High

There is a nationwide protest planned at courthouses throughout the U.S. tomorrow. The SAC offices should be apprised of this information for their situational awareness.

(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

---

**From:** (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) (CTD)(OGA) [mailto:(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)]  
**Sent:** Thursday, January 19, 2012 3:16 PM  
**To:** FBI\_NJTTF  
**Subject:** Fw: Occupy the Courts  
**Importance:** High

FYI

(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)  
USMS / NJTTF / LX1  
Desk: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)  
Cell: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

---

**From:** (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)  
**To:** (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)  
**Cc:** (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)  
**Sent:** Thu Jan 19 15:11:22 2012  
**Subject:** FW: Occupy the Courts

Gentlemen

Please see the attached information regarding tomorrow's Occupy the Courts planned protests.

Should you receive any intelligence related to this event, please forward it to myself or contact OPI's Threat Management Center (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) should the information be time sensitive.

If you have any questions or concerns, please let me know.

V/R

(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

**From:** (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

**Sent:** Thursday, January 19, 2012 3:03 PM

**To:** (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

**Subject:** Fw: Occupy the Courts

**Importance:** High

FYI. Thank (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

ASSISTANT DIRECTOR (ACTING)

JUDICIAL SECURITY DIVISION

U.S. MARSHALS SERVICE

(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

---

**From:** Administrative Notices (USMS)

**Sent:** Thursday, January 19, 2012 11:01 AM

**To:** US MARSHALS (District); USMS CHIEFS (District); HQ-DIRDIRSTAFFPLUS (USMS)

**Cc:** (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

**Subject:** Occupy the Courts

**Importance:** High

**POCs:** (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) (JSD); (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) o (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) (OGC); (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Memorandum dated January 19, 2012, from the Director regarding the Occupy the Courts protests scheduled for tomorrow, January 20, 2012. Also includes a National Operations Plan.

**JIOC-ICE**

---

**From:** (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) on behalf of NOC.ICE (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)  
**Sent:** Wednesday, January 18, 2012 6:19 AM  
**To:** JIOC-ICE  
**Cc:** (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) NOC.ICE  
**Subject:** FW: The DHS Secretary's Morning Briefing for Wednesday, January 18, 2012  
**Attachments:** SecretaryBrief120118.doc; SecretaryClips120118.doc

FYSA

**THE HOMELAND SECURITY SECRETARY'S MORNING BRIEFING**

WEDNESDAY, JANUARY 18, 2012 5:00 AM EST

**TODAY'S TABLE OF CONTENTS**

Not Responsive



+ Smoke Bomb Launched Over White House Fence.

Not Responsive



Not Responsive

**SMOKE BOMB LAUNCHED OVER WHITE HOUSE FENCE.** The AP (1/18) reports that "an apparent smoke bomb was thrown over the fence of the White House as hundreds of Occupy protesters massed outside the gates. The crowds were

dispersed Tuesday night, and US Secret Service spokesman George Ogilvie says there were no arrests in the incident." But "people inside the White House were being prevented from exiting on the Pennsylvania Avenue side of the building as the situation was being resolved."

The Hill (1/18, Parnes) reports in its "Blog Briefing Room" that "President Obama and Michelle Obama were out celebrating the first lady's 48th birthday at a restaurant a couple of blocks away from the executive mansion at the time of the incident. Upon returning from the dinner, reporters and aides were prevented from leaving the Northwest gate of the mansion while the Secret Service investigated." Politico (1/18, Slack, Gerstein, 25K) also covered the story in detail.

**Copyright 2012 by Bulletin News, LLC.** Reproduction without permission prohibited. Editorial content is drawn from thousands of newspapers, national magazines, national and local television programs, and radio broadcasts. The Homeland Security News Briefing is published seven days a week for the Office of Public Affairs by BulletinNews, which creates custom news briefings for government and corporate leaders and also publishes the White House Bulletin, Frontrunner and Washington Morning Update. We can be found on the Web at [BulletinNews.com](http://BulletinNews.com), or called at (703) 483-6100. Please direct editorial comments to [DHS-Editors@BulletinNews.com](mailto:DHS-Editors@BulletinNews.com).

(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

ICE Desk Officer  
DHS - National Operations Center  
3801 Nebraska Ave NW  
Washington, D.C. 20393

(b) (6), (b) (7)(C) office)

BB)

(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

**JIOC-ICE**

---

**From:** JIOC-ICE  
**Sent:** Wednesday, December 14, 2011 10:00 PM  
**To:**

(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

**Subject:** Evening Shift Brief: 14 December 2011

**ICE Joint Intelligence Operations Center – Evening Shift Brief**

**Date / Shift** December 14, 2011 Evening Shift Brief (1400-2200hrs)

**Unit Chief** (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)  
**SOO**

**Outgoing Personnel** (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)  
**NO ICE** (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

**Incoming Personnel** (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)  
**NO ICE:** (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Not Responsive



Not Responsive



**Executive Summaries/FYI**

- (ERO)(FOD LOS) Occupy ICE Protest Scheduled Tomorrow

Not Responsive



Not Responsive

- OPA Duty Officer: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) Dec 11<sup>th</sup> - Dec 17<sup>th</sup>, (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)
- ICE Tasking Duty Officer: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) BB: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) H: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) Dec 9<sup>th</sup> - Dec 15<sup>th</sup>

Not Responsive

- After hours STE support: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Watch Operations Officer  
Joint Intelligence Operations Center  
U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement  
Washington, DC  
Desk (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)  
STE (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

~~Warning: This document is UNCLASSIFIED//FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY (U//FOUO). It contains information that may be exempt from public release under the Freedom of Information Act (5 U.S.C. 552). It is to be controlled, stored, handled, transmitted, distributed, and disposed of in accordance with DHS policy relating to FOUO information and is not to be released to the public or other personnel who do not have a valid "need-to-know" without prior approval of an authorized DHS official. No portion of this report should be furnished to the media, either in written or verbal form.~~

**JIOC-ICE**

---

**From:** JIOC-ICE  
**Sent:** Wednesday, December 14, 2011 9:33 PM  
**To:**

(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

**Cc:**  
**Subject:** (ERO)(FOD LOS) Occupy ICE Protest Scheduled Tomorrow

JIOC Distribution: HSI OPS

Good evening,

On December 14, 2011 ERO Los Angeles reported that an "Occupy ICE" protest is scheduled to begin at noon on December 15, 2011, at the Los Angeles Field Office located at 300 N. Los Angeles St., Los Angeles, CA. It is anticipated that the protesters will attempt to block traffic surrounding the federal building and temporarily disrupt ICE operations. A similar protest earlier this week at the Port of Los Angeles resulted in two arrests and a minor disruption of port operations. In order to avoid disruption of ERO's daily operations during the protest, the Los Angeles Field Office will be relocating CAP and Staging operations to the Santa Ana sub-office on December 15th. As with all recent "Occupy" protests, media coverage of the event is expected.

SEN Incident Number: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Reported By: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)  
Phone:  
Cell/Pager:

Supervisor: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)  
Phone:  
Cell/Pager:

V/r,

(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Watch Operations Officer  
Joint Intelligence Operations Center  
U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement  
Washington, DC  
Desk (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)  
STE:

~~Warning: This document is UNCLASSIFIED//FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY (U//FOUO). It contains information that may be exempt from public release under the Freedom of Information Act (5 U.S.C. 552). It is to be controlled, stored, handled, transmitted, distributed, and disposed of in accordance with DHS policy relating to FOUO information and is not to be released to the public or other personnel who do not have a valid "need-to-know" without prior approval of an authorized DHS official. No portion of this report should be furnished to the media, either in written or verbal form.~~

**JIOC-ICE**

---

**From:** JIOC-ICE  
**Sent:** Wednesday, December 14, 2011 9:28 PM  
**To:** (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)  
**Subject:** RE: (ERO)(FOD LOS) Occupy ICE Protest Scheduled Tomorrow

Good Evening,

Sir, will do.

---

**From:** (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)  
**Sent:** Wednesday, December 14, 2011 9:25 PM  
**To:** JIOC-ICE  
**Subject:** Re: (ERO)(FOD LOS) Occupy ICE Protest Scheduled Tomorrow

Pass to OPS distro as well. Thanks.

(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)  
Deputy Assistant Director  
HSI Office of Intelligence  
Cell (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

---

**From:** JIOC-ICE  
**Sent:** Wednesday, December 14, 2011 07:10 PM  
**To:** (b) (6), (b) (7)(C); Hale, Brian P; Milhoan, Catherine L;  
(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)  
**Cc:** (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)  
**Subject:** (ERO)(FOD LOS) Occupy ICE Protest Scheduled Tomorrow

JIOC Distribution: COS ERO & COS OPA

Good evening,

On December 14, 2011 ERO Los Angeles reported that an "Occupy ICE" protest is scheduled to begin at noon on December 15, 2011, at the Los Angeles Field Office located at 300 N. Los Angeles St., Los Angeles, CA. It is anticipated that the protesters will attempt to block traffic surrounding the federal building and temporarily disrupt ICE operations. A similar protest earlier this week at the Port of Los Angeles resulted in two arrests and a minor disruption of port operations. In order to avoid disruption of ERO's daily operations during the protest, the Los Angeles Field Office will be relocating CAP and Staging operations to the Santa Ana sub-office on December 15th. As with all recent "Occupy" protests, media coverage of the event is expected.

SEN Incident Number (b) (7)(E)

Reported By: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)  
Phone: [REDACTED]  
Cell/Pager: [REDACTED]

Supervisor: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)  
Phone: [REDACTED]

Cell/Pager:

(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

R/s,

(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Watch Operations Officer  
Joint Intelligence Operations Center  
U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement  
Washington, DC  
Desk (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)  
STE: [REDACTED]

~~Warning: This document is UNCLASSIFIED//FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY (U//FOUO). It contains information that may be exempt from public release under the Freedom of Information Act (5 U.S.C. 552). It is to be controlled, stored, handled, transmitted, distributed, and disposed of in accordance with DHS policy relating to FOUO information and is not to be released to the public or other personnel who do not have a valid "need-to-know" without prior approval of an authorized DHS official. No portion of this report should be furnished to the media, either in written or verbal form.~~

**JIOC-ICE**

---

**From:** JIOC-ICE  
**Sent:** Wednesday, December 14, 2011 7:10 PM  
**To:** (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) Hale, Brian P;  
Milhoan, Catherine L; (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)  
**Cc:** (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)  
**Subject:** (ERO)(FOD LOS) Occupy ICE Protest Scheduled Tomorrow

JIOC Distribution: COS ERO & COS OPA

Good evening,

On December 14, 2011 ERO Los Angeles reported that an "Occupy ICE" protest is scheduled to begin at noon on December 15, 2011, at the Los Angeles Field Office located at 300 N. Los Angeles St., Los Angeles, CA. It is anticipated that the protesters will attempt to block traffic surrounding the federal building and temporarily disrupt ICE operations. A similar protest earlier this week at the Port of Los Angeles resulted in two arrests and a minor disruption of port operations. In order to avoid disruption of ERO's daily operations during the protest, the Los Angeles Field Office will be relocating CAP and Staging operations to the Santa Ana sub-office on December 15th. As with all recent "Occupy" protests, media coverage of the event is expected.

SEN Incident Number (b) (7)(E)

Reported By: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)  
Phone: [Redacted]  
Cell/Pager: [Redacted]

Supervisor: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)  
Phone: [Redacted]  
Cell/Pager: [Redacted]

R/s,

(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Watch Operations Officer  
Joint Intelligence Operations Center  
U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement  
Washington, DC  
Desk (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)  
STE: [Redacted]

~~Warning: This document is UNCLASSIFIED//FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY (U//FOUO). It contains information that may be exempt from public release under the Freedom of Information Act (5 U.S.C. 552). It is to be controlled, stored, handled, transmitted, distributed, and disposed of in accordance with DHS policy relating to FOUO information and is not to be released to the public or other personnel who do not have a valid "need-to-know" without prior approval of an authorized DHS official. No portion of this report should be furnished to the media, either in written or verbal form.~~

## JIOC-ICE

---

**From:** JIOC-ICE  
**Sent:** Thursday, December 08, 2011 10:27 AM  
**To:** (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)  
**Subject:** \*\*\*DRAFT\*\*\*NOC (b) (7)(E) 1 RFI - Potential Port Shutdown Threat - West Coast, US and Canada by the Occupy Movement  
**Attachments:** FW: NOC (b) (7)(E) 1 RFI - Potential Port Shutdown Threat - West Coast, US and Canada by the Occupy Movement  
**Categories:** (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Good Afternoon,

\* (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) I've attached the responses for your reference and review that was used for the prepared response below.\*\*\*

**RFI:** DHS NOC requests ICE provide what actions they will be taking to prepare for the potential protests from the "Occupy Movement". The locations possibly affected are:

- Vancouver, B.C.
- California - Los Angeles
- California - San Diego
- Washington - Seattle
- California - Oakland
- Oregon - Portland
- Texas - Houston

### ICE Response:

#### San Diego

The SAC San Diego is aware of the potential shutdown and is coordinating with CBP and San Diego Harbor Police. The SAC San Diego does not plan at this time to assist with any law enforcement operations unless requested.

#### Seattle

The SAC Seattle is in contact with the U.S. Coast Guard and have a Port of Seattle Police Officer assigned to the BEST in Seattle. SAC Seattle will continue to monitor the situation but does not have an active role at this time.

#### San Francisco

Previously, the Occupy Oakland protesters conducted a march to the Port of Oakland on November 2, 2011 with the cooperation of unionized longshoremen, which resulted in the Port of Oakland shutting down for several hours. In late November 2011, the Occupy Oakland group began calling for a shutdown of West Coast ports for December 12, 2011, which has been openly opposed by the International Longshore and Warehouse Union (ILWU), the union representing longshoremen.



In light of a possible protest/shutdown involving the Port of Oakland and the need to ensure the continuity of operations connected to the Port of Oakland, SAC San Francisco has undertaken the following proactive measures:

- Coordination with U.S. Customs and Border Protection, which maintains an office at the Port of Oakland, on contingencies to ensure both the safety of DHS employees and the continuity of operations.
- Coordination with the U.S. Coast Guard to ensure security, safety and the continuity of operations.
- Liaison with local law enforcement agencies (the Alameda County Sheriff's Office; the Oakland Police Department). It is important to note that local law enforcement agencies have limited cooperation with ICE/HSI due to sanctuary policies enacted by local governments.
- Ensuring that the San Francisco BEST group and all local SAC San Francisco agents are alerted to the possibility of a strike/shutdown, and that a DHS response may be required to ensure security, safety, and/or continuity of operations.
- Placing the San Francisco SRT on notice that they may be required to assist local law enforcement if needed, whether that is in the role of offering advice to a formal activation.

Basic security for the Port of Oakland remains the responsibility of local law enforcement agencies and not ICE/HSI. However, the SAC San Francisco is prepared to respond and offer assistance to local law enforcement consistent with our training and authorities.

V/r,

(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Watch Operations Officer  
Joint Intelligence Operations Center  
U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement  
Washington, DC

Desk: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

STE:

**Warning: This document is UNCLASSIFIED//FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY (U//FOUO). It contains information that may be exempt from public release under the Freedom of Information Act (5 U.S.C. 552). It is to be controlled, stored, handled, transmitted, distributed, and disposed of in accordance with DHS policy relating to FOUO information and is not to be released to the public or other personnel who do not have a valid "need-to-know" without prior approval of an authorized DHS official. No portion of this report should be furnished to the media, either in written or verbal form.**

**JIOC-ICE**

---

**From:** JIOC-ICE  
**Sent:** Tuesday, December 06, 2011, 10:39 PM  
**To:** ICE-rfi; NEMD (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)  
(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)  
**Cc:** (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) JIOC-ICE  
**Subject:** NOC # (b) (7)(E) S1 RFI - Potential Port Shutdown Threat - West Coast, US and Canada by the Occupy Movement

Good Evening,

The JIOC received the following S1 RFI from the DHS NOC in regards to a Potential Port Shutdown Threat - West Coast, U.S. and Canada.

The National Operations Center has received information of potential protest by the Occupy Movement who are threatening to shutdown the below major west coast ports in US and Canada on Dec. 12. The "Occupy Movement" of Oakland, CA, has called for a "West Coast Port Shutdown" action for Dec. 12 at ports along the west coast of the US and Canada. Organizers plan to protest at ports and cause closures similar to the port closure in Oakland on Nov. 2. See affected cities and links below.

The locations possibly affected are:

- Vancouver, B.C.
- California - Los Angeles
- California - San Diego
- Washington - Seattle
- California - Oakland
- Oregon - Portland
- Texas - Houston

West Coast Port Shutdown website: <http://westcoastportshutdown.org>  
Occupy Oakland website: [www.occupyoakland.org](http://www.occupyoakland.org)

**In anticipation of questions from the Secretary, the DHS NOC requests ICE provide what actions they will be taking to prepare for the potential protests from the "Occupy Movement".**

**A response is requested to the NOC NLT 8 Dec, 1200 hrs.**

v\r

**(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)**

Watch Intelligence/Operations Officer  
Joint Intelligence Operations Center (JIOC)  
U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement  
500 12th Street, SW - 10th Floor  
Washington, DC 20536  
Desk/STE: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

NSTS: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)  
Fax: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)  
UNCLASS: (b) (7)(E)  
HSDN: (b) (7)(E)  
JWICS: (b) (7)(E)

~~Warning: This document is UNCLASSIFIED//FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY (U//FOUO). It contains information that may be exempt from public release under the Freedom of Information Act (5 U.S.C. 552). It is to be controlled, stored, handled, transmitted, distributed, and disposed of in accordance with DHS policy relating to FOUO information and is not to be released to the public or other personnel who do not have a valid "need to know" without prior approval of an authorized DHS official. No portion of this report should be furnished to the media, either in written or verbal form.~~

JIOC-ICE

---

**From:** JIOC-ICE  
**Sent:** Tuesday, December 06, 2011 10:33 PM  
**To:** (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) ICE - OI (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)  
(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)  
**Cc:**  
**Subject:** RE: NOC # (b) (7)(E) S1 RFI - Potential Port Shutdown Threat - West Coast, US and Canada by the Occupy Movement

10-4, thank you Sir!

v\r

(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Watch Intelligence/Operations Officer  
Joint Intelligence Operations Center (JIOC)  
U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement  
500 12th Street, SW - 10th Floor  
Washington, DC 20536

Desk/STE: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

NSTS: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Fax: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

UNCLASS (b) (7)(E)

HSDN: (b) (7)(E)  
JWICS (b) (7)(E)

Warning: This document is UNCLASSIFIED//FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY (U//FOUO). It contains information that may be exempt from public release under the Freedom of Information Act (5 U.S.C. 552). It is to be controlled, stored, handled, transmitted, distributed, and disposed of in accordance with DHS policy relating to FOUO information and is not to be released to the public or other personnel who do not have a valid "need-to-know" without prior approval of an authorized DHS official. No portion of this report should be furnished to the media, either in written or verbal form.

---

**From:** (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) ICE - OI  
**Sent:** Tuesday, December 06, 2011 10:31 PM  
**To:** JIOC-ICE (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)  
**Cc:** (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)  
**Subject:** Re: NOC # (b) (7)(E) S1 RFI - Potential Port Shutdown Threat - West Coast, US and Canada by the Occupy Movement

Jioc

This should be sent to NEMD as they would be responsible for any ICE plan for this type of situation.

Thank you

(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

---

**From:** JIOC-ICE  
**Sent:** Tuesday, December 06, 2011 10:21 PM  
**To:** ICE-rfi; (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Cc: (b) (7)(E) JIOC-ICE; (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Subject: NOC # (b) (7)(E) S1 RFI - Potential Port Shutdown Threat - West Coast, US and Canada by the Occupy Movement

Good Evening,

The JIOC received the following S1 RFI from the DHS NOC in regards to a Potential Port Shutdown Threat - West Coast, U.S. and Canada.

The National Operations Center has received information of potential protest by the Occupy Movement who are threatening to shutdown the below major west coast ports in US and Canada on Dec. 12. The "Occupy Movement" of Oakland, CA, has called for a "West Coast Port Shutdown" action for Dec. 12 at ports along the west coast of the US and Canada. Organizers plan to protest at ports and cause closures similar to the port closure in Oakland on Nov. 2. See affected cities and links below.

The locations possibly affected are:

- Vancouver, B.C.
- California - Los Angeles
- California - San Diego
- Washington - Seattle
- California - Oakland
- Oregon - Portland
- Texas - Houston

West Coast Port Shutdown website: <http://westcoastportshutdown.org>

Occupy Oakland website: [www.occupyoakland.org](http://www.occupyoakland.org)

In anticipation of questions from the Secretary, the DHS NOC requests ICE provide what actions they will be taking to prepare for the potential protests from the "Occupy Movement".

A response is requested to the NOC NLT 8 Dec, 1200 hrs.

v\r

(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Watch Intelligence/Operations Officer  
Joint Intelligence Operations Center (JIOC)  
U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement  
500 12th Street, SW - 10th Floor  
Washington, DC 20536

Desk/STE (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

NSTS: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Fax (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

UNCLASS (b) (7)(E)

HSDN: (b) (7)(E)  
JWICS

~~Warning: This document is UNCLASSIFIED//FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY (U//FOUO). It contains information that may be exempt from public release under the Freedom of Information Act (5 U.S.C. 552). It is to be controlled, stored, handled, transmitted,~~

~~distributed, and disposed of in accordance with DHS policy relating to FOUO information and is not to be released to the public or other personnel who do not have a valid "need-to-know" without prior approval of an authorized DHS official. No portion of this report should be furnished to the media, either in written or verbal form.~~

**JIOC-ICE**

---

**From:** JIOC-ICE  
**Sent:** Tuesday, December 06, 2011 10:22 PM  
**To:** ICE-rfi; (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)  
**Cc:** (b) (6), (b) (7)(C); (b) (7)(E) JIOC-ICE; (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)  
**Subject:** (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)  
NOC # (b) (7)(E) S1 RFI - Potential Port Shutdown Threat - West Coast, US and Canada by the Occupy Movement

Good Evening,

The JIOC received the following S1 RFI from the DHS NOC in regards to a Potential Port Shutdown Threat - West Coast, U.S. and Canada.

The National Operations Center has received information of potential protest by the Occupy Movement who are threatening to shutdown the below major west coast ports in US and Canada on Dec. 12. The "Occupy Movement" of Oakland, CA, has called for a "West Coast Port Shutdown" action for Dec. 12 at ports along the west coast of the US and Canada. Organizers plan to protest at ports and cause closures similar to the port closure in Oakland on Nov. 2. See affected cities and links below.

The locations possibly affected are:

- Vancouver, B.C.
- California - Los Angeles
- California - San Diego
- Washington - Seattle
- California - Oakland
- Oregon - Portland
- Texas - Houston

West Coast Port Shutdown website: <http://westcoastportshutdown.org>  
Occupy Oakland website: [www.occupyoakland.org](http://www.occupyoakland.org)

**In anticipation of questions from the Secretary, the DHS NOC requests ICE provide what actions they will be taking to prepare for the potential protests from the "Occupy Movement".**

**A response is requested to the NOC NLT 8 Dec, 1200 hrs.**

v/r

**(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)**

Watch Intelligence/Operations Officer  
Joint Intelligence Operations Center (JIOC)  
U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement  
500 12th Street, SW - 10th Floor  
Washington, DC 20536  
Desk/STE: **(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)**

NSTS: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Fax: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

UNCLASS: (b) (7)(E)

HSDN: (b) (7)(E)

JWICS

~~Warning: This document is UNCLASSIFIED//FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY (U//FOUO). It contains information that may be exempt from public release under the Freedom of Information Act (5 U.S.C. 552). It is to be controlled, stored, handled, transmitted, distributed, and disposed of in accordance with DHS policy relating to FOUO information and is not to be released to the public or other personnel who do not have a valid "need-to-know" without prior approval of an authorized DHS official. No portion of this report should be furnished to the media, either in written or verbal form.~~



## JIOC-ICE

---

**From:** (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)  
**Sent:** Tuesday, December 06, 2011 9:56 PM  
**To:** (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) JIOC-ICE  
**Cc:** NOC.ICE  
**Subject:** FW: NOC # (b) (7)(E) S1 RFI - Potential Port Shutdown Threat - West Coast, US and Canada

**Follow Up Flag:** Follow up  
**Flag Status:** Flagged

FYI

(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)  
NOC ICE Desk  
(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

---

**From:** (b) (7)(E)  
**Sent:** Tuesday, December 06, 2011 9:37 PM  
**To:** (b) (7)(E)  
**Cc:** (b) (7)(E)  
**Subject:** NOC # (b) (7)(E) S1 RFI - Potential Port Shutdown Threat - West Coast, US and Canada

CBP/ USCG/ ICE:

The National Operations Center has received information of potential protest by the Occupy Movement who are threatening to shutdown the below major west coast ports in US and Canada on Dec. 12. The "Occupy Movement" of Oakland, Calif., has called for a "West Coast Port Shutdown" action for Dec. 12 at ports along the west coast of the US and Canada. Organizers plan to protest at ports and cause closures similar to the port closure in Oakland on Nov. 2. See affected cities and links below.

The locations possibly affected are:

- Vancouver, B.C.
- California - Los Angeles
- California - San Diego
- Washington - Seattle
- California - Oakland
- Oregon - Portland
- Texas - Houston

West Coast Port Shutdown website: <http://westcoastportshutdown.org>  
Occupy Oakland website: [www.occupyoakland.org](http://www.occupyoakland.org)

In anticipation of questions from the Secretary, request CBP, USCG, and ICE provide what actions they will be taking to prepare for the potential protests from the "Occupy Movement".

Please provide response NLT 8 Dec, 1200 hrs.

(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Senior Watch Officer  
DHS  
National Operations Center

(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

**JIOC-ICE**

---

**From:** JIOC-ICE  
**Sent:** Tuesday, November 22, 2011 12:34 PM  
**To:** (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)  
**Cc:** (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)  
**Subject:** Houston Shooting

**FYSA**

On November 21, 2011, at approximately 1710 hrs, Deputy U.S. Marshalls' (DUSM) were leaving the Houston Federal Courthouse when they heard gunshots fire coming across the street at Tranquility Park where Occupy Houston Protesters were gathered. DUSM along with the Houston Police Department (HPD) made the scene of where the gunshots came from and encountered a white male subject later identified as (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) (b) (6) DOB: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) Subject had a .40 caliber long rifle pointed at himself and immediately pointed it over at the HPD officers. HPD discharged their weapons in an attempt to stop the threat striking the subject multiple times. Subject was subdued by HPD Officers and DUSM's (b) (6) transported by EMS to the nearest hospital. The Houston Police Department is conducting the shooting investigation. The USMS and Federal Protective Service will assess the situation and currently there is no link between the incident and the federal building.

No USMS personnel discharged their weapons. There were no injuries to law enforcement officers or civilians.

**Source:** Houston Fusion Center

(b) (6)

(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Watch Operations Officer  
Joint Intelligence Operations Center  
U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement  
Washington, DC  
Desk (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)  
STE: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

**Warning:** This document is UNCLASSIFIED//FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY (U//FOUO). It contains information that may be exempt from public release under the Freedom of Information Act (5 U.S.C. 552). It is to be controlled, stored, handled, transmitted, distributed, and disposed of in accordance with DHS policy relating to FOUO information and is not to be released to the public or other personnel who do not have a valid "need-to-know" without prior approval of an authorized DHS official. No portion of this report should be furnished to the media, either in written or verbal form.

**JIOC-ICE**

---

**From:** (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)  
**Sent:** Friday, September 30, 2011 9:58 AM  
**To:** JIOC-ICE  
**Cc:** (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)  
**Subject:** FW: Planned Protests  
**Attachments:** Chalk\_the\_Police.pdf

FYI from SIG Atlanta.

(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

*Section/SIG Coordination  
ICE/HSI-Intelligence  
Department of Homeland Security  
(b) (6), (b) (7)(C) Office)  
(b) (6), (b) (7)(C) Cell)*

“In the work of intelligence, heroes are undecorated and unsung, their inspiration is patriotism, their reward little – except the conviction that they are performing an indispensable service to their country and the knowledge that America needs and appreciates their efforts.” ~ President Dwight Eisenhower

---

**From:** (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)  
**Sent:** Friday, September 30, 2011 9:55 AM  
**To:** (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)  
**Cc:** (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)  
**Subject:** FW: Planned Protests

Please see attached FOUO for officer awareness and pass on to anyone who would benefit. Thanks.

(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

*Group Supervisor  
Homeland Security Investigations  
US Immigration and Customs Enforcement  
Department of Homeland Security  
Atlanta, GA*

(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

---

**From:** (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)  
**Sent:** Friday, September 30, 2011 8:51 AM  
**To:** (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)  
**Cc:** (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)  
**Subject:** Planned Protests

(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Have you seen the attached bit of intel?

(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Program Manager  
DHS/ICE  
Homeland Security Investigations  
Atlanta, Georgia


Office: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)



# MARTA Police Department Intelligence Bulletin



## Intel Bulletin: "Chalk the Police" Nationwide Protest



- **Dates:** October 1, 2011
- **Location:** Multiple states and cities. (Currently, neither Georgia nor Atlanta is listed.)
- **Organizers/Purpose:** Copblock.org: to solicit a (videotaped) response from LEOs.  
Excerpt from Copblock.org's press release:

*Chalk the Police is a nationwide campaign to raise public awareness about policing issues. On October 1, folks from all over the country will be heading out to their local police station(s) or parks (anywhere that's public property) to advocate, in solidarity, police accountability.*

*Activists are encouraged to carry signs, pass out informational fliers and write sidewalk messages to voice their messages of protest. Local and independent Media is encouraged to cover these peaceful events.*

- **Gaps in Intelligence:**
  - The affect that a number of other protests, both nationally and in Georgia, will have on this protest is unknown.
    - Occupy Wall Street
    - U.S. Day of Rage
    - Immigration Reform
    - (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) execution

For further information contact the MARTA Police Intelligence Analyst: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

(b) (6), (b) (7)(C) at (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) or (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Not Responsive

## JIOC-ICE

---

**From:** NCS (b) (7)(E)  
**Sent:** Friday, September 23, 2011 12:04 PM  
**To:** NCS  
**Subject:** Anonymous "Day of Vengeance" Announcement for 24 Sept 2011

To: Government and Industry Partners,

### Background:

The purpose of this situational awareness bulletin is in regards to the Hacktivist group Anonymous is planning to hold a special "Day of Vengeance" in several cities around the U.S. at 1200 EDT, 24 September 2011. Late last night, Anonymous--or at least people claiming to be from Anonymous--posted a press release on Pastebin, saying that Saturday will be marked by peaceful protests in cities across the U.S. combined with cyberattacks on "various targets, including Wall Street, Corrupt Banking Institutions, and the New York City Police Department." Anonymous' decision to release its "communique" and call for a Day of Vengeance is a response to the recent New York City "Occupy Wall Street" demonstration. Last week, about 1,000 people from various groups descended on Lower Manhattan to protest the corporate world's close ties to American politics. The protesters were urged by the "Occupy Wall Street" organizers to "flood into lower Manhattan, set up tents, kitchens, peaceful barricades and occupy Wall Street for a few months." In last night's release, Anonymous criticized how those protesters were handled, saying that they "faced phalanxes of heavily armed paramilitary police officers from local and federal jurisdictions." Although some protesters were arrested last week, Anonymous' real issue with the events came on Tuesday when, the organization claims in its release, police used "excessive force against and arresting innocent peaceful protesters, several of whom were abused and injured." "Anonymous & the other cyber liberation groups around the world together with all the freedom loving people in the USA will NOT stand for this," the release reads. "We will peacefully yet forcefully resist the abuses of the NYC Police Department." Even so, Anonymous has been very successful in launching cyberattacks. Just last month, the group claimed that it had hacked into the DNS servers of Symantec, Apple, Facebook, Microsoft, and several other major organizations. The group has also allegedly been involved in attacks on government organizations, taking aim at the Tunisian government Web site and the city of Orlando.

### NCS/NCC Watch Activities:

The NCC Watch is in coordination with US-CERT and NCCIC elements to monitor this event over the weekend period. In the event there are specific reports of impact to communications and operational assets as result these cyber-related activities, the NCC Watch will generate a request of information (RFI) to ascertain full impact of this event to its constituents. The intelligence community is aware of the event and conducting an threat assessment.

Refer to below open source URLs for additional information.

### Open source URLs:

[http://news.cnet.com/8301-13506\\_3-20110004-17/anonymous-planning-day-of-vengeance-on-sept-24/](http://news.cnet.com/8301-13506_3-20110004-17/anonymous-planning-day-of-vengeance-on-sept-24/)

<http://www.pcmag.com/article2/0,2817,2393411,00.asp>

<http://siliconangle.com/blog/2011/09/22/anonymous-alleges-%E2%80%9Cday-of-vengeance%E2%80%9D-against-the-us-on-september-24/>

<http://www.securitynewsdaily.com/anonymous-hacking-group-to-hold-day-of-vengeance-1176/>

NCC Watch

(b) (6), (b) (7)  
(C)



## Kroloff, Noah

---

**From:** Durkovich, Caitlin  
**Sent:** Sunday, November 06, 2011 11:07 PM  
**To:** Colburn, Brent; Shlossman, Amy; Kroloff, Noah; Sandweg, John; Davis, Bob M; Chandler, Matthew  
**Cc:** Ortman, Chris; Spaulding, Suzanne  
**Subject:** FW: Occupy Portland

FYSA.

---

**From:** Durkovich, Caitlin  
**Sent:** Sunday, November 06, 2011 11:00 PM  
**To:** 'Michael Robertson'; (b)(6)  
**Cc:** (b)(6) Ortman, Chris; Spaulding, Suzanne  
**Subject:** RE: Occupy Portland

Thank you. Adding Chris Ortman from our press shop and Suzanne Spaulding, NPPD's new Deputy Under Secretary who oversees FPS. Appreciate the very careful consideration you have given this and look forward to the guidance. This is a tough issue. Please let Suzanne and/or I know what we can do to ensure appropriate actions on our end, although it seems as if FPS is in synch with you approach.

V/r,  
Caitlin

---

**From:** Michael Robertson [mailto:(b)(6)]  
**Sent:** Sunday, November 06, 2011 9:45 PM  
**To:** (b)(6) Durkovich, Caitlin  
**Cc:** (b)(6)  
**Subject:** Re: Occupy Portland

Thanks Caitlin. Bob has outlined our general approach. We will share with you tomorrow the guidance we're sending out to our Regions - as I don't believe that we have done so yet. We're asking our folks to coordinate closely with FPS and make calls on a case by case basis around safety and security. I'm cc'ing Greg Mecher, our acting Press Secretary and White House Liaison so he can be in touch with whomever is appropriate on your end. We've fielded a few questions and I know our teams are connected in Portland about today's events - so far so good. Thanks for connecting with us and I look forward to speaking with you this week.

Thanks,  
Michael

Michael J. Robertson  
Chief of Staff  
U.S. General Services Administration  
(b)(6) Office

---

**From:** Robert Peck (P) [mailto:(b)(6)]  
**Sent:** Sunday, November 06, 2011 08:30 PM  
**To:** Durkovich, Caitlin (b)(6)  
**Cc:** (b)(6) Michael Robertson (b)(6) Graf (10P)  
(b)(6) >; George Northcroft <(b)(6)>

**Subject:** Re: Occupy Portland

Caitlin: yes, that is our position; it's been vetted with our Administrator and Michael Robertson, our chief of staff, and we have communicated with the WH, which has afforded us the discretion to fashion our approach to the Occupy issues. Michael: correct me if I've mischaracterized that in any way.

Through our Regional Administrator, George Northcroft, and Regional Commissioner, Rob Graf, in our Northwest Arctic Region, headquartered in Auburn, Washington and responsible for Portland, we have communicated with the FPS commander in the region. They have also been in contact with the City of Portland, whose park is immediately adjacent to the federally-controlled park. The arrests last week were carried out despite our request that the protesters be allowed to remain and to camp overnight, so long as they did not pose a threat to life and public safety and so long as they were not engaged in criminal activity. We have improved our communication with FPS in the region. We also met with an FPS operations official in Washington last week.

We have a meeting of our Facility Security Committee at GSA HQ tomorrow and Director Patterson was scheduled to attend before the Portland situation arose. By the way, Director Patterson and I have established what I think is an excellent working relationship. He has appointed some top-notch operations commanders, one of whom spent the day with our national senior management council at our most recent meeting.

I have been in touch today with our Regional Commissioner about the latest developments in Portland, with the protesters chaining themselves to a barrel or together. You do have an accurate account of our position. We are trying to be flexible, cognizant at the same time of first amendment rights to petition and our need to protect Federal property and access to Federal buildings.

In Portland, the park that GSA controls is across the street from the Edith Green-Wendall Wyatt Federal Building. That building is undergoing a complete modernization and has been entirely vacated and physically stripped to its framework, so there is not issue of restricting public access to the building.

We have given this very careful consideration and I'll be happy to discuss with you.

**ROBERT A. PECK**

Commissioner, Public Buildings Service  
U.S. General Services Administration  
1800 F Street, NW  
Washington, DC 20405

direct (b)(6)

fax: 202.219.2310

(b)(6) [www.gsa.gov](http://www.gsa.gov)

Susan and Bob -

I am sorry to be emailing you on a Sunday night, but wanted to let you know our Press Shop has received a couple of calls from Portland media outlets about a group of 11 protesters who again set up camp at Terry Schunk Plaza in Portland last night. They have chained themselves to each other and to a large

drum filled with concrete. GSA controls the permits and has asked FPS not to enforce the curfew at the park and the prohibition on overnight encampments. Reporters have asked if we will be arresting the protestors as FPS did last week.

Our FPS Commander in Portland says they are standing down and following GSA's request to only intervene if there is a threat to public safety.

Since this is a departure from last week, I want to confirm since you hold the permitting authority that FPS is not to enforce the curfew at the park and the prohibition on overnight encampments, unless of course public safety concerns arise.

FPS does have plans to sitting down with GSA tomorrow (Bob, I believe Director Patterson is actually meeting with you) to discuss this new approach and share our concern, but until then, want to make sure we are all on the same page. I do also want to give you a heads up that we are referring reporters to GSA.

Thanks and I look forward to hearing from you.

Caitlin

Caitlin Durkovich

Chief of Staff

National Protection and Programs Directorate

Department of Homeland Security

desk: (b)(6)  
cell: (b)(6)

(b)(6)

**Sandweg, John**

---

**From:** Durkovich, Caitlin  
**Sent:** Sunday, November 06, 2011 11:07 PM  
**To:** Colburn, Brent; Shlossman, Amy; Kroloff, Noah; Sandweg, John; Davis, Bob M; Chandler, Matthew  
**Cc:** Ortman, Chris; Spaulding, Suzanne  
**Subject:** FW: Occupy Portland

FYSA.

---

**From:** Durkovich, Caitlin  
**Sent:** Sunday, November 06, 2011 11:00 PM  
**To:** (b)(6)  
**Cc:** (b)(6); Ortman, Chris; Spaulding, Suzanne  
**Subject:** RE: Occupy Portland

Thank you. Adding Chris Ortman from our press shop and Suzanne Spaulding, NPPD's new Deputy Under Secretary who oversees FPS. Appreciate the very careful consideration you have given this and look forward to the guidance. This is a tough issue. Please let Suzanne and/or I know what we can do to ensure appropriate actions on our end, although it seems as if FPS is in synch with you approach.

V/r,  
Caitlin

---

**From:** Michael Robertson (b)(6)  
**Sent:** Sunday, November 06, 2011 9:45 PM  
**To:** (b)(6); Durkovich, Caitlin  
**Cc:** (b)(6)  
**Subject:** Re: Occupy Portland

Thanks Caitlin. Bob has outlined our general approach. We will share with you tomorrow the guidance we're sending out to our Regions - as I don't believe that we have done so yet. We're asking our folks to coordinate closely with FPS and make calls on a case by case basis around safety and security. I'm cc'ing Greg Mecher, our acting Press Secretary and White House Liaison so he can be in touch with whomever is appropriate on your end. We've fielded a few questions and I know our teams are connected in Portland about today's events - so far so good. Thanks for connecting with us and I look forward to speaking with you this week.

Thanks,  
Michael

Michael J. Robertson  
Chief of Staff  
U.S. General Services Administration  
(b)(6) Office

---

**From:** Robert Peck (P) [mailto:(b)(6)]  
**Sent:** Sunday, November 06, 2011 08:30 PM  
**To:** Durkovich, Caitlin <(b)(6)>  
**Cc:** (b)(6); Michael Robertson (b)(6); Robin Graf (10P)  
(b)(6); George Northcroft (b)(6)

**Subject:** Re: Occupy Portland

Caitlin: yes, that is our position; it's been vetted with our Administrator and Michael Robertson, our chief of staff, and we have communicated with the WH, which has afforded us the discretion to fashion our approach to the Occupy issues. Michael: correct me if I've mischaracterized that in any way.

Through our Regional Administrator, George Northcroft, and Regional Commissioner, Rob Graf, in our Northwest Arctic Region, headquartered in Auburn, Washington and responsible for Portland, we have communicated with the FPS commander in the region. They have also been in contact with the City of Portland, whose park is immediately adjacent to the federally-controlled park. The arrests last week were carried out despite our request that the protesters be allowed to remain and to camp overnight, so long as they did not pose a threat to life and public safety and so long as they were not engaged in criminal activity. We have improved our communication with FPS in the region. We also met with an FPS operations official in Washington last week.

We have a meeting of our Facility Security Committee at GSA HQ tomorrow and Director Patterson was scheduled to attend before the Portland situation arose. By the way, Director Patterson and I have established what I think is an excellent working relationship. He has appointed some top-notch operations commanders, one of whom spent the day with our national senior management council at our most recent meeting.

I have been in touch today with our Regional Commissioner about the latest developments in Portland, with the protesters chaining themselves to a barrel or together. You do have an accurate account of our position. We are trying to be flexible, cognizant at the same time of first amendment rights to petition and our need to protect Federal property and access to Federal buildings.

In Portland, the park that GSA controls is across the street from the Edith Green-Wendall Wyatt Federal Building. That building is undergoing a complete modernization and has been entirely vacated and physically stripped to its framework, so there is not issue of restricting public access to the building.

We have given this very careful consideration and I'll be happy to discuss with you.

**ROBERT A. PECK**

Commissioner, Public Buildings Service  
U.S. General Services Administration  
1800 F Street, NW  
Washington, DC 20405  
direc (b)(6)  
fax: 202.219.2310  
(b)(6) [www.gsa.gov](http://www.gsa.gov)

Susan and Bob -

I am sorry to be emailing you on a Sunday night, but wanted to let you know our Press Shop has received a couple of calls from Portland media outlets about a group of 11 protesters who again set up camp at Terry Schunk Plaza in Portland last night. They have chained themselves to each other and to a large

drum filled with concrete. GSA controls the permits and has asked FPS not to enforce the curfew at the park and the prohibition on overnight encampments. Reporters have asked if we will be arresting the protestors as FPS did last week.

Our FPS Commander in Portland says they are standing down and following GSA's request to only intervene if there is a threat to public safety.

Since this is a departure from last week, I want to confirm since you hold the permitting authority that FPS is not to enforce the curfew at the park and the prohibition on overnight encampments, unless of course public safety concerns arise.

FPS does have plans to sitting down with GSA tomorrow (Bob, I believe Director Patterson is actually meeting with you) to discuss this new approach and share our concern, but until then, want to make sure we are all on the same page. I do also want to give you a heads up that we are referring reporters to GSA.

Thanks and I look forward to hearing from you.

Caitlin

Caitlin Durkovich

Chief of Staff

National Protection and Programs Directorate

Department of Homeland Security

desk: (b)(6)  
cell: (b)(6)

(b)(6)

## Shlossman, Amy

---

**From:** Durkovich, Caitlin  
**Sent:** Sunday, November 06, 2011 11:07 PM  
**To:** Colburn, Brent; Shlossman, Amy; Kroloff, Noah; Sandweg, John; Davis, Bob M; Chandler, Matthew  
**Cc:** Ortman, Chris; Spaulding, Suzanne  
**Subject:** FW: Occupy Portland

FYSA.

**From:** Durkovich, Caitlin  
**Sent:** Sunday, November 06, 2011 11:00 PM  
**To:** 'Michael Robertson'; b(b)(6)  
**Cc:** (b)(6); Ortman, Chris; Spaulding, Suzanne  
**Subject:** RE: Occupy Portland

Thank you. Adding Chris Ortman from our press shop and Suzanne Spaulding, NPPD's new Deputy Under Secretary who oversees FPS. Appreciate the very careful consideration you have given this and look forward to the guidance. This is a tough issue. Please let Suzanne and/or I know what we can do to ensure appropriate actions on our end, although it seems as if FPS is in synch with you approach.

V/r,  
Caitlin

**From:** Michael Robertson [mailto:(b)(6)]  
**Sent:** Sunday, November 06, 2011 9:45 PM  
**To:** (b)(6); Durkovich, Caitlin  
**Cc:** (b)(6)  
**Subject:** Re: Occupy Portland

Thanks Caitlin. Bob has outlined our general approach. We will share with you tomorrow the guidance we're sending out to our Regions - as I don't believe that we have done so yet. We're asking our folks to coordinate closely with FPS and make calls on a case by case basis around safety and security. I'm cc'ing Greg Mecher, our acting Press Secretary and White House Liaison so he can be in touch with whomever is appropriate on your end. We've fielded a few questions and I know our teams are connected in Portland about today's events - so far so good. Thanks for connecting with us and I look forward to speaking with you this week.

Thanks,  
Michael

Michael J. Robertson  
Chief of Staff  
U.S. General Services Administration  
(b)(6) Office

**From:** Robert Peck (P) [mailto:(b)(6)]  
**Sent:** Sunday, November 06, 2011 08:30 PM  
**To:** Durkovich, Caitlin <(b)(6)>  
**Cc:** (b)(6); Michael Robertson <(b)(6)> Robin Graf (10P) <(b)(6)> George Northcroft <(b)(6)>

**Subject:** Re: Occupy Portland

Caitlin: yes, that is our position; it's been vetted with our Administrator and Michael Robertson, our chief of staff, and we have communicated with the WH, which has afforded us the discretion to fashion our approach to the Occupy issues. Michael: correct me if I've mischaracterized that in any way.

Through our Regional Administrator, George Northcroft, and Regional Commissioner, Rob Graf, in our Northwest Arctic Region, headquartered in Auburn, Washington and responsible for Portland, we have communicated with the FPS commander in the region. They have also been in contact with the City of Portland, whose park is immediately adjacent to the federally-controlled park. The arrests last week were carried out despite our request that the protesters be allowed to remain and to camp overnight, so long as they did not pose a threat to life and public safety and so long as they were not engaged in criminal activity. We have improved our communication with FPS in the region. We also met with an FPS operations official in Washington last week.

We have a meeting of our Facility Security Committee at GSA HQ tomorrow and Director Patterson was scheduled to attend before the Portland situation arose. By the way, Director Patterson and I have established what I think is an excellent working relationship. He has appointed some top-notch operations commanders, one of whom spent the day with our national senior management council at our most recent meeting.

I have been in touch today with our Regional Commissioner about the latest developments in Portland, with the protesters chaining themselves to a barrel or together. You do have an accurate account of our position. We are trying to be flexible, cognizant at the same time of first amendment rights to petition and our need to protect Federal property and access to Federal buildings.

In Portland, the park that GSA controls is across the street from the Edith Green-Wendall Wyatt Federal Building. That building is undergoing a complete modernization and has been entirely vacated and physically stripped to its framework, so there is not issue of restricting public access to the building.

We have given this very careful consideration and I'll be happy to discuss with you.

**ROBERT A. PECK**

Commissioner, Public Buildings Service  
U.S. General Services Administration  
1800 F Street, NW  
Washington, DC 20405  
direct: (b)(6)  
fax: 202.219.2310  
(b)(6) v [www.gsa.gov](http://www.gsa.gov)

Susan and Bob -

I am sorry to be emailing you on a Sunday night, but wanted to let you know our Press Shop has received a couple of calls from Portland media outlets about a group of 11 protesters who again set up camp at Terry Schrunk Plaza in Portland last night. They have chained themselves to each other and to a large



drum filled with concrete. GSA controls the permits and has asked FPS not to enforce the curfew at the park and the prohibition on overnight encampments. Reporters have asked if we will be arresting the protestors as FPS did last week.

Our FPS Commander in Portland says they are standing down and following GSA's request to only intervene if there is a threat to public safety.

Since this is a departure from last week, I want to confirm since you hold the permitting authority that FPS is not to enforce the curfew at the park and the prohibition on overnight encampments, unless of course public safety concerns arise.

FPS does have plans to sitting down with GSA tomorrow (Bob, I believe Director Patterson is actually meeting with you) to discuss this new approach and share our concern, but until then, want to make sure we are all on the same page. I do also want to give you a heads up that we are referring reporters to GSA.

Thanks and I look forward to hearing from you.

Caitlin

Caitlin Durkovich

Chief of Staff

National Protection and Programs Directorate

Department of Homeland Security

desk: (b)(6)  
cell: (b)(6)

(b)(6)

## Kroloff, Noah

---

**From:** Boogaard, Peter  
**Sent:** Wednesday, February 29, 2012 12:36 PM  
**To:** Kroloff, Noah; Sandweg, John  
**Subject:** Re: Where are we on stmnt?

Yes. Been waiting for wh to sign off. Let me know if you have concerns. We haven't gotten any followups at this point.

(b) (5)



Congress requires DHS' National Operations Center (NOC) "to provide situational awareness and establish a common operating picture for the entire federal government and for state, local, and tribal governments as appropriate, in the event of a natural disaster or terrorist attack and ensure that critical terrorism and disaster-related information reaches government decision-makers." Under this requirement, the NOC monitors social media only for situational awareness purposes during times of crisis, such as a terrorist attack or earthquake.

----- Original Message -----

**From:** Kroloff, Noah  
**Sent:** Wednesday, February 29, 2012 12:33 PM  
**To:** Sandweg, John; Boogaard, Peter  
**Subject:** Re: Where are we on stmnt?

Did u and MEC ever call nppd together?

----- Original Message -----

**From:** Sandweg, John  
**Sent:** Wednesday, February 29, 2012 12:31 PM  
**To:** Boogaard, Peter  
**Cc:** Kroloff, Noah  
**Subject:** Where are we on stmnt?

**Kroloff, Noah**

---

**From:** Boogaard, Peter  
**Sent:** Wednesday, February 29, 2012 9:02 AM  
**To:** Kroloff, Noah; Callahan, Mary Ellen; Sandweg, John; Shlossman, Amy; Colburn, Brent  
**Subject:** RE: Statement

MEC says it would be more accurate to say they were "outside the scope of their authority"

(b) (5)

Congress requires DHS' National Operations Center (NOC) "to provide situational awareness and establish a common operating picture for the entire federal government and for state, local, and tribal governments as appropriate, in the event of a natural disaster or terrorist attack and ensure that critical terrorism and disaster-related information reaches government decision-makers." Under this requirement, the NOC monitors social media only for situational awareness purposes during times of crisis, such as a terrorist attack or earthquake.

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Kroloff, Noah  
**Sent:** Wednesday, February 29, 2012 8:59 AM  
**To:** Callahan, Mary Ellen; Sandweg, John; Shlossman, Amy; Colburn, Brent; Boogaard, Peter  
**Subject:** FW: Statement

MEC--if accurate, why would this violate DHS's privacy standards?

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Flynn, William  
**Sent:** Wednesday, February 29, 2012 8:50 AM  
**To:** Boogaard, Peter; Spaulding, Suzanne; Durkovich, Caitlin; Kroloff, Noah; Sandweg, John; Beland, Michael; Davis, Robert M  
**Cc:** (b) (6) Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Hess, David; Grossman, Seth; Callahan, Mary Ellen; Shlossman, Amy  
**Subject:** RE: Statement

Just to be very clear ... they did not look at social media. They looked at traditional media reporting which may have been reporting/quoting social media. This is an important distinction.

William F. Flynn,  
Acting Assistant Secretary,  
Office of Infrastructure Protection  
US Department of Homeland Security

(b) (6)  
(b) (6)  
(b) (6)

-----Original Message-----

From: Boogaard, Peter

Sent: Wednesday, February 29, 2012 8:25 AM

To: Spaulding, Suzanne; Durkovich, Caitlin; Flynn, William; Kroloff, Noah; Sandweg, John; Beland, Michael; Davis, Robert M

Cc: (b) (6) Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Hess, David; Grossman, Seth; Callahan, Mary Ellen; Shlossman, Amy

Subject: Re: Statement

(b) (5)

----- Original Message -----

From: Spaulding, Suzanne

Sent: Wednesday, February 29, 2012 08:24 AM

To: Boogaard, Peter; Durkovich, Caitlin; Flynn, William; Kroloff, Noah; Sandweg, John; Beland, Michael; Davis, Robert M

Cc: (b) (6) Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Hess, David; Grossman, Seth; Callahan, Mary Ellen; Shlossman, Amy

Subject: Re: Statement

What is the violation of privacy standards?

----- Original Message -----

From: Boogaard, Peter

Sent: Wednesday, February 29, 2012 08:22 AM

To: Durkovich, Caitlin; Flynn, William; Spaulding, Suzanne; Kroloff, Noah; Sandweg, John; Beland, Michael; Davis, Robert M

Cc: (b) (6) Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Hess, David; Grossman, Seth; Callahan, Mary Ellen; Shlossman, Amy

Subject: Re: Statement

Most recent statement is attached.

(b) (5)

----- Original Message -----

From: Boogaard, Peter

Sent: Wednesday, February 29, 2012 08:19 AM

To: Durkovich, Caitlin; Flynn, William; Spaulding, Suzanne; Kroloff, Noah; Sandweg, John; Beland, Michael; Davis, Robert M

Cc: (b) (6) Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Hess, David; Grossman, Seth; Callahan, Mary Ellen; Shlossman, Amy

Subject: Re: Statement

(b) (5)

----- Original Message -----

From: Durkovich, Caitlin  
Sent: Wednesday, February 29, 2012 08:16 AM  
To: Flynn, William; Spaulding, Suzanne; Boogaard, Peter; Kroloff, Noah; Sandweg, John; Beland, Michael; Davis, Robert M  
Cc: (b) (6) Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Hess, David; Grossman, Seth; Callahan, Mary Ellen; Shlossman, Amy  
Subject: Re: Statement

(b) (5)

Caitlin Durkovich  
Chief of Staff  
National Protection and Programs Directorate U.S. Department of Homeland Security  
(b) (6)

----- Original Message -----

From: Flynn, William  
Sent: Wednesday, February 29, 2012 08:08 AM  
To: Spaulding, Suzanne; Boogaard, Peter; Kroloff, Noah; Sandweg, John; Durkovich, Caitlin; Beland, Michael; Davis, Robert M  
Cc: (b) (6) Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Hess, David; Grossman, Seth; Callahan, Mary Ellen; Shlossman, Amy  
Subject: Re: Statement

Background: IP (POD division) compiles an open source report on critical infrastructure which is posted to HSIN. The contract for this service recently changed and the new contractor inappropriately conducted some "analysis" to the open source information (in this case). When it was brought to my attention the report was pulled (other steps have also been taken). However the fed staff undertook a thorough review and every source is traditional media. The report references social media resources but that was found in traditional sources. No monitoring of social media took place.

William F. Flynn  
Acting Assistant Secretary  
Office of Infrastructure Protection  
US Department of Homeland Security

(b) (6)

(b) (6)

(b) (6)

----- Original Message -----

From: Spaulding, Suzanne  
Sent: Wednesday, February 29, 2012 06:47 AM

To: Boogaard, Peter; Kroloff, Noah; Sandweg, John; Durkovich, Caitlin; Beland, Michael; Davis, Robert M  
Cc: (b) (6) Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Flynn, William; Hess, David; Grossman, Seth; Callahan, Mary Ellen; Shlossman, Amy  
Subject: Re: Statement

(b) (5)

----- Original Message -----

From: Boogaard, Peter  
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 11:15 PM  
To: Kroloff, Noah; Sandweg, John; Spaulding, Suzanne; Durkovich, Caitlin; Beland, Michael; Davis, Robert M  
Cc: (b) (6) Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Flynn, William; Hess, David; Grossman, Seth; Callahan, Mary Ellen; Shlossman, Amy  
Subject: Re: Statement

(b) (5)

----- Original Message -----

From: Kroloff, Noah  
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 11:12 PM  
To: Sandweg, John; Boogaard, Peter; Spaulding, Suzanne; Durkovich, Caitlin; Beland, Michael; Davis, Robert M  
Cc: (b) (6) Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Flynn, William; Hess, David; Grossman, Seth; Callahan, Mary Ellen; Shlossman, Amy  
Subject: Re: Statement

Where r we on this

----- Original Message -----

From: Sandweg, John  
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 10:26 PM  
To: Boogaard, Peter; Spaulding, Suzanne; Durkovich, Caitlin; Beland, Michael; Davis, Robert M  
Cc: (b) (6) Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Flynn, William; Hess, David; Grossman, Seth; Callahan, Mary Ellen; Kroloff, Noah; Shlossman, Amy  
Subject: Re: Statement

Definitely think that the more we can push back on any inaccuracies the better.

That said, assuming he isn't going to pull down the blog post, with other similar privacy related issues out there, we need a clear statement that explains that this report was drafted in violation of our privacy standards.

+ Noah and Amy.

----- Original Message -----

From: Boogaard, Peter  
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 10:21 PM  
To: Spaulding, Suzanne; Durkovich, Caitlin; Beland, Michael; Davis, Robert M  
Cc: (b) (6) Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Flynn, William; Hess, David; Grossman, Seth; Sandweg, John; Callahan, Mary Ellen  
Subject: Re: Statement

Also looping MEC.

----- Original Message -----

From: Boogaard, Peter  
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 10:19 PM  
To: Spaulding, Suzanne; Durkovich, Caitlin; Beland, Michael; Davis, Robert M  
Cc: (b) (6) Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Flynn, William; Hess, David; Grossman, Seth; Sandweg, John  
Subject: Re: Statement

Looping Sandweg and Grossman. I think we need to move forward with the statement we proposed.

----- Original Message -----

From: Spaulding, Suzanne  
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 10:04 PM  
To: Durkovich, Caitlin; Boogaard, Peter; Beland, Michael; Davis, Robert M  
Cc: (b) (6) Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Flynn, William; Hess, David  
Subject: Re: Statement

(b) (5)

----- Original Message -----

From: Durkovich, Caitlin  
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 09:43 PM  
To: Boogaard, Peter; Beland, Michael; Davis, Robert M; Spaulding, Suzanne  
Cc: (b) (6) Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Flynn, William; Hess, David  
Subject: Re: Statement

DUS Spaulding is out of her speaking engagement and is going to review report, blog and statement.

Caitlin Durkovich  
Chief of Staff

National Protection and Programs Directorate U.S. Department of Homeland Security  
(b) (6)

----- Original Message -----

From: Boogaard, Peter  
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 09:24 PM  
To: Durkovich, Caitlin; Beland, Michael; Davis, Robert M; Spaulding, Suzanne  
Cc: (b) (6) Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Flynn, William; Hess, David  
Subject: Re: Statement

Can you confirm that this accurate?

----- Original Message -----

From: Boogaard, Peter  
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 09:07 PM  
To: Durkovich, Caitlin; Beland, Michael; Davis, Robert M; Spaulding, Suzanne  
Cc: (b) (6) Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Flynn, William; Hess, David

Subject: Re: Statement

(b) (5)

----- Original Message -----

From: Durkovich, Caitlin  
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 09:03 PM  
To: Boogaard, Peter; Beland, Michael; Davis, Robert M; Spaulding, Suzanne  
Cc: (b) (6) Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Flynn, William; Hess, David  
Subject: RE: Statement

(b) (5)

If anything, I think we should consider calling Hastings and help him understand our mission.

-----Original Message-----

From: Boogaard, Peter  
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 8:55 PM  
To: Beland, Michael; Davis, Robert M; Durkovich, Caitlin; Spaulding, Suzanne  
Cc: (b) (6) Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Flynn, William  
Subject: Re: Statement

Couple changes.

Is this accurate? Our FO likes this approach. If there are different opinions, let me know.

(b) (5)

----- Original Message -----

From: Beland, Michael  
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 08:35 PM  
To: Davis, Robert M; Durkovich, Caitlin; Boogaard, Peter; Spaulding, Suzanne  
Cc: (b) (6) Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Flynn, William  
Subject: Re: Statement



We are still working the dates, but, based upon the document and our recollection, it was posted to hsin and one cannot access the materials on hsin without a password. Once we get the dates, we'll pass them along, but that may not be before you push this out.

Michael Beland  
Chief of Staff  
Office of Infrastructure Protection  
National Protection and Programs Directorate U.S. Department of Homeland Security

(b) (6)  
(b) (6) (m)  
(b) (6)

----- Original Message -----

From: Davis, Robert M  
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 08:20 PM  
To: Beland, Michael; Durkovich, Caitlin; Boogaard, Peter; Spaulding, Suzanne  
Cc: (b) (6) Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Flynn, William  
Subject: Re: Statement

(b) (5)

(b) (5)

----- Original Message -----

From: Beland, Michael  
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 07:55 PM  
To: Durkovich, Caitlin; Boogaard, Peter; Davis, Robert M; Spaulding, Suzanne  
Cc: (b) (6) Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Flynn, William  
Subject: Re: Statement

+ Mr Flynn. I want to confirm that it was indeed on HSIN, and I am working that.

Michael Beland  
Chief of Staff  
Office of Infrastructure Protection  
National Protection and Programs Directorate U.S. Department of Homeland Security

(b) (6)  
(b) (6) (m)  
(b) (6)

----- Original Message -----

From: Durkovich, Caitlin  
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 07:52 PM  
To: Boogaard, Peter; Davis, Robert M; Spaulding, Suzanne; Beland, Michael  
Cc: (b) (6) Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina  
Subject: RE: Statement

Can we get the link to the blog post? Need to understand the context.

-----Original Message-----

From: Boogaard, Peter  
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 7:50 PM  
To: Davis, Robert M; Spaulding, Suzanne; Beland, Michael  
Cc: (b) (6) Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Durkovich, Caitlin  
Subject: Re: Statement

If we are going this route, we need to oush back stronger. We never approved of this approach and the individuals who published it did so without approval from nppd leadership.

----- Original Message -----

From: Davis, Robert M  
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 07:48 PM  
To: Spaulding, Suzanne; Beland, Michael  
Cc: (b) (6) Boogaard, Peter; Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Durkovich, Caitlin  
Subject: Re: Statement

Suzanne and Mike,

Rolling Stone has posted a blog with a link to a document with the DHS seal and nppd/ ip markings that was posted to HSIN without approval. Here is the draft statement I would like to send to opa asap.

Here is the link:

[http://www1.rollingstone.com/extras/13637\\_DHS%20IP%20Special.pdf](http://www1.rollingstone.com/extras/13637_DHS%20IP%20Special.pdf)

Please let me know if this is ok.

Bob

(b) (5)

----- Original Message -----

From: Boogaard, Peter  
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 07:31 PM  
To: Davis, Robert M  
Subject: Statement

let me know when you have a draft. Thanks man

**Kroloff, Noah**

---

**From:** Boogaard, Peter  
**Sent:** Wednesday, February 29, 2012 7:41 AM  
**To:** Sandweg, John; Kroloff, Noah; Colburn, Brent; Callahan, Mary Ellen; Chandler, Matthew; Shlossman, Amy; Grossman, Seth; Peacock, Nelson  
**Subject:** Re: Michael Hastings

This is the updated statement we are working with. Still waiting for clearance.

(b) (5)

----- Original Message -----

**From:** Sandweg, John  
**Sent:** Wednesday, February 29, 2012 07:35 AM  
**To:** Kroloff, Noah; Colburn, Brent; Boogaard, Peter; Callahan, Mary Ellen; Chandler, Matthew; Shlossman, Amy; Grossman, Seth; Peacock, Nelson  
**Subject:** Re: Michael Hastings

+ Nelson.

----- Original Message -----

**From:** Kroloff, Noah  
**Sent:** Wednesday, February 29, 2012 07:29 AM  
**To:** Colburn, Brent; Boogaard, Peter; Callahan, Mary Ellen; Sandweg, John; Chandler, Matthew; Shlossman, Amy; Grossman, Seth  
**Subject:** Re: Michael Hastings

(b) (5)

----- Original Message -----

**From:** Colburn, Brent  
**Sent:** Wednesday, February 29, 2012 07:19 AM  
**To:** Boogaard, Peter; Callahan, Mary Ellen; Sandweg, John; Kroloff, Noah; Chandler, Matthew; Shlossman, Amy; Grossman, Seth  
**Subject:** Re: Michael Hastings

(b) (5)

----- Original Message -----

**From:** Boogaard, Peter  
**Sent:** Tuesday, February 28, 2012 10:07 PM  
**To:** Colburn, Brent; Callahan, Mary Ellen; Sandweg, John; Kroloff, Noah; Chandler, Matthew; Shlossman, Amy; Grossman, Seth

Subject: Re: Michael Hastings

sending to clark.

----- Original Message -----

From: Colburn, Brent

Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 10:05 PM

To: Boogaard, Peter; Callahan, Mary Ellen; Sandweg, John; Kroloff, Noah; Chandler, Matthew; Shlossman, Amy; Grossman, Seth

Subject: Re: Michael Hastings

Concur--and Pete,

(b) (5)

----- Original Message -----

From: Boogaard, Peter

Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 10:00 PM

To: Callahan, Mary Ellen; Sandweg, John; Kroloff, Noah; Colburn, Brent; Chandler, Matthew; Shlossman, Amy; Grossman, Seth

Subject: Re: Michael Hastings

Thanks.

----- Original Message -----

From: Callahan, Mary Ellen

Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 09:58 PM

To: Sandweg, John; Boogaard, Peter; Kroloff, Noah; Colburn, Brent; Chandler, Matthew; Shlossman, Amy; Grossman, Seth

Subject: RE: Michael Hastings

Concur.

-----Original Message-----

From: Sandweg, John

Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 9:57 PM

To: Boogaard, Peter; Callahan, Mary Ellen; Kroloff, Noah; Colburn, Brent; Chandler, Matthew; Shlossman, Amy; Grossman, Seth

Subject: Re: Michael Hastings

Based on all the other ongoing related issues, this seems appropriate.

----- Original Message -----

From: Boogaard, Peter

Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 09:40 PM

To: Sandweg, John; Callahan, Mary Ellen; Kroloff, Noah; Colburn, Brent; Chandler, Matthew; Shlossman, Amy; Grossman, Seth

Subject: Re: Michael Hastings

Caitlin durkovich

----- Original Message -----

From: Sandweg, John

Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 09:36 PM

To: Boogaard, Peter; Callahan, Mary Ellen; Kroloff, Noah; Colburn, Brent; Chandler, Matthew; Shlossman, Amy; Grossman, Seth

Subject: Re: Michael Hastings

Who in NPPD?

----- Original Message -----

From: Boogaard, Peter

Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 09:34 PM

To: Callahan, Mary Ellen; Kroloff, Noah; Colburn, Brent; Chandler, Matthew; Shlossman, Amy;

Sandweg, John; Grossman, Seth

Subject: Re: Michael Hastings

(b) (5)

Final statement is below.

(b) (5)

----- Original Message -----

From: Boogaard, Peter

Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 09:01 PM

To: Callahan, Mary Ellen; Kroloff, Noah; Colburn, Brent; Chandler, Matthew; Shlossman, Amy;

Sandweg, John; Grossman, Seth

Subject: Re: Michael Hastings

I don't think we lose too much with privacy standards.

----- Original Message -----

From: Callahan, Mary Ellen

Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 08:59 PM

To: Boogaard, Peter; Kroloff, Noah; Colburn, Brent; Chandler, Matthew; Shlossman, Amy;

Sandweg, John; Grossman, Seth

Cc: Callahan, Mary Ellen

Subject: RE: Michael Hastings

(b) (5)

I will reach out to the NPPD privacy officer to start to engage on compliance with this issue.

-----Original Message-----

From: Boogaard, Peter

Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 8:54 PM

To: Kroloff, Noah; Colburn, Brent; Chandler, Matthew; Shlossman, Amy; Sandweg, John;

Grossman, Seth; Callahan, Mary Ellen

Subject: Re: Michael Hastings

Confirming with nppd leadership right now.

----- Original Message -----

From: Kroloff, Noah  
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 08:52 PM  
To: Boogaard, Peter; Colburn, Brent; Chandler, Matthew; Shlossman, Amy; Sandweg, John; Grossman, Seth; Callahan, Mary Ellen  
Subject: Re: Michael Hastings

I think this is pretty good. Is it accurate?

----- Original Message -----

From: Boogaard, Peter  
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 08:46 PM  
To: Kroloff, Noah; Colburn, Brent; Chandler, Matthew; Shlossman, Amy; Sandweg, John; Grossman, Seth; Callahan, Mary Ellen  
Subject: Re: Michael Hastings

Proposed statement. Thoughts? We may want to add in something about all of the information gathered coming from open source media.

(b) (5)



----- Original Message -----

From: Boogaard, Peter  
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 07:50 PM  
To: Kroloff, Noah; Colburn, Brent; Chandler, Matthew; Shlossman, Amy; Sandweg, John  
Subject: Michael Hastings

(b) (5)



## Shlossman, Amy

---

From: (b) (6)  
Sent: Friday, January 20, 2012 10:10 AM  
To: Shlossman, Amy  
Subject: RE: US-CERT Leadership Alert - Department of Justice Reports DDoS [INITIAL]

Just circling back, it looks like the sites are up and running again:

Federal websites back online after Anonymous attack By the CNN Wire Staff updated 9:49 AM EST, Fri January 20, 2012

(CNN) -- Most of the websites shut down by a hackers group were up and running early Friday, including the U.S. Department of Justice, FBI and some entertainment sites after one of the federal government's largest anti-piracy crackdowns.

"Hacktivist" collective Anonymous took credit for taking down the sites Thursday after the arrests of leaders of Megaupload.com and shut down the popular hub for illegal media downloads.

Hours after the announcement of the arrests, some of Megaupload's fans turned the table on the feds, knocking the U.S. Department of Justice and the FBI websites offline.

Both sites appeared to be back up early Friday. A law enforcement official told CNN the FBI was investigating.

Anonymous said 10 websites in all were targeted and early Friday the sites for music publishing and licensing group, BMI and record company Universal Music were still down.

Hackers and the Occupy movement "The Site is under maintenance. Please expect it to be back shortly," was the message on the Universal Music page early Friday.

The hacker collective announced its attentions on Thursday.

"We Anonymous are launching our largest attack ever on government and music industry sites. Lulz," the group said in a statement posted late Thursday on an associated Twitter account. "The FBI didn't think they would get away with this did they? They should have expected us."

The group also posted personal information on former Connecticut Sen. Chris Dodd, chairman of the Motion Picture Association of America, one of the targeted sites.

A Justice Department spokesperson, who did not want to be identified, said its Web server was "experiencing a significant increase in activity, resulting in a degradation in service."

"The department is working to ensure the website is available while we investigate the origins of this activity, which is being treated as a malicious act until we can fully identify the root cause of the disruption," the spokesperson said.

The website glitches came soon after various Twitter accounts associated with the collective took aim at the government.

Anonymous' favorite weapon for these attacks is what's called a "distributed denial of service" (DDoS) attack, which directs a flood of traffic to a website and temporarily crashes it by overwhelming its servers. It doesn't actually involve any hacking or security breaches.

"One thing is certain: EXPECT US! #Megaupload" read one tweet from AnonOps that went out midafternoon.

One hour later, the same account tweeted a victory message: "Tango down!  
<http://universalmusic.com> & <http://www.justice.gov/> #Megaupload"

(b) (6)

Special Assistant  
Office of the Chief of Staff | Office of the Secretary Department of Homeland Security  
(w) (b) (6) | (c) (b) (6)

-----Original Message-----

From: Shlossman, Amy  
Sent: Thursday, January 19, 2012 8:51 PM  
To: Angelo, Matthew  
Subject: FW: US-CERT Leadership Alert - Department of Justice Reports DDoS [INITIAL]

-----Original Message-----

From: Kroloff, Noah  
Sent: Thursday, January 19, 2012 8:50 PM  
To: Amy.Shlossman (b) (6); Sandweg, John; rand.beers (b) (6); Ortman, Chris; Colburn, Brent; Chandler, Matthew  
Subject: Fw: US-CERT Leadership Alert - Department of Justice Reports DDoS [INITIAL]

----- Original Message -----

From: SWO [mailto:(b) (6)]  
Sent: Thursday, January 19, 2012 08:33 PM  
To: Kroloff, Noah  
Cc: US-CERT Senior Watch Officer  
Subject: FW: US-CERT Leadership Alert - Department of Justice Reports DDoS [INITIAL]

Mr Koloff,

Our Leader Alert follows:

v/r,

(b) (6)

-----Original Message-----

From: SWO  
Sent: Thursday, January 19, 2012 7:48 PM  
To: DL - LA To (External)  
Cc: DL - LA CC  
Subject: US-CERT Leadership Alert - Department of Justice Reports DDoS [INITIAL]

~~UNCLASSIFIED//FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY~~

SUBJECT: US-CERT Leadership Alert - Department of Justice Reports DDoS [INITIAL]



## IMPACT

Users are unable to access the [www.justice.gov](http://www.justice.gov) website at this time.

## EXECUTIVE OVERVIEW

On January 19, 2012, the United States Department of Justice (DOJ) reported to US-CERT a Distributed Denial of Service (DDoS) attack against their public-facing website. US-CERT sensors also detected DDoS activity against the website. According to open source reporting, the hacker group Anonymous claimed responsibility for the attack in "retaliation for Megaupload".

Earlier today, DOJ released an indictment charging the leaders of file-sharing website Megaupload with engaging in a racketeering conspiracy, conspiring to commit copyright infringement, conspiring to commit money laundering and two substantive counts of criminal copyright infringement. DOJ also seized and took down the Megaupload website.

## DHS ROLE

US-CERT provided feedback to DOJ and FBI on potential impacts before the takedown and blowback was expected. US-CERT also provided an analyst on-site to support. US-CERT reached out to DOJ SOC requesting more information on the attack and to provide any assistance requested. US-CERT contacted NCS asking them to reach out to ISP vendors advising them to watch for UDP traffic towards the [justice.gov](http://justice.gov) site. US-CERT sensors also detected the DDoS activity.

## INTERAGENCY / PRIVATE SECTOR ROLE

DOJ coordinated with DHS before taking down the Megaupload website. DOJ and DHS prepared a joint Public Service Announcement on the website take down which was released via the US-CERT portal to designated authorities. DOJ is currently attempting to mitigate effects of the DDoS.

## PRESS ACTIVITY

Widespread coverage.

## SOURCE:

<http://rt.com/usa/news/anonymous-doj-universal-sopa-235/>

[http://www.washingtonpost.com/blogs/federal-eye/post/justice-department-web-site-goes-down-after-feds-seize-megaupload/2012/01/19/gIQAd2GpBQ\\_blog.html](http://www.washingtonpost.com/blogs/federal-eye/post/justice-department-web-site-goes-down-after-feds-seize-megaupload/2012/01/19/gIQAd2GpBQ_blog.html)

## REQUIREMENT:

PIR #6 - Successful denial-of-service (natural or manmade) of any Department, Agency, or critical asset, to include major infrastructure of any foreign government.

Respectfully,

(b) (6)

Senior Watch Officer

DHS / US-CERT

O: (b) (6) (STE)

US-CERT SOC: (b) (6)

Warning: This document is ~~UNCLASSIFIED//FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY~~  
(U//FOUO). It contains information that may be exempt from public  
release under the Freedom of Information Act (5 U.S.C. 552). It is to  
be controlled, stored, handled, transmitted, distributed, and disposed  
of in accordance with the Department of Homeland Security (DHS) policy  
relating to FOUO information and is not to be released to the public or  
other personnel who do not have a valid "need-to-know" without prior  
approval of an authorized DHS official.

~~UNCLASSIFIED//FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY~~

**Shlossman, Amy**

---

**From:** Shlossman, Amy  
**Sent:** Wednesday, March 07, 2012 1:45 PM  
**To:** (b) (6)  
**Cc:** (b) (6)  
**Subject:** Do you have

The official NSSE dec for NATO? Chicago is saying they may need to start drawing down Fed funds as early as May 1 when the Occupy protest start, but I thought the NSSE was limited to a specific event/ date range? Thx.

**Shlossman, Amy**

---

**From:** (b) (6)  
**Sent:** Wednesday, March 07, 2012 1:49 PM  
**To:** Shlossman, Amy  
**Cc:** 'noah.kroloff' (b) (6)  
**Subject:** RE: Do you have  
**Attachments:** Document4.doc

This is not the signed copy, but a final draft - yes specific dates - start of May 17. Will check w/ Exec Sec for orig signed copy.

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Shlossman, Amy  
**Sent:** Wednesday, March 07, 2012 1:45 PM  
**To:** (b) (6)  
**Cc:** 'noah.kroloff' (b) (6)  
**Subject:** Do you have

The official NSSE dec for NATO? Chicago is saying they may need to start drawing down Fed funds as early as May 1 when the Occupy protest start, but I thought the NSSE was limited to a specific event/ date range? Thx.

**Shlossman, Amy**

---

**From:** Boogaard, Peter  
**Sent:** Tuesday, February 28, 2012 7:50 PM  
**To:** Kroloff, Noah; Colburn, Brent; Chandler, Matthew; Shlossman, Amy; Sandweg, John  
**Subject:** Michael Hastings

(b) (5)



**Shlossman, Amy**

---

**From:** Boogaard, Peter  
**Sent:** Tuesday, February 28, 2012 8:46 PM  
**To:** Kroloff, Noah; Colburn, Brent; Chandler, Matthew; Shlossman, Amy; Sandweg, John; Grossman, Seth; Callahan, Mary Ellen  
**Subject:** Re: Michael Hastings

Proposed statement. Thoughts? We may want to add in something about all of the information gathered coming from open source media.

(b) (5)



----- Original Message -----

**From:** Boogaard, Peter  
**Sent:** Tuesday, February 28, 2012 07:50 PM  
**To:** Kroloff, Noah; Colburn, Brent; Chandler, Matthew; Shlossman, Amy; Sandweg, John  
**Subject:** Michael Hastings

(b) (5)



**Shlossman, Amy**

---

**From:** Kroloff, Noah  
**Sent:** Tuesday, February 28, 2012 8:52 PM  
**To:** Boogaard, Peter; Colburn, Brent; Chandler, Matthew; Shlossman, Amy; Sandweg, John; Grossman, Seth; Callahan, Mary Ellen  
**Subject:** Re: Michael Hastings

I think this is pretty good. Is it accurate?

----- Original Message -----

**From:** Boogaard, Peter  
**Sent:** Tuesday, February 28, 2012 08:46 PM  
**To:** Kroloff, Noah; Colburn, Brent; Chandler, Matthew; Shlossman, Amy; Sandweg, John; Grossman, Seth; Callahan, Mary Ellen  
**Subject:** Re: Michael Hastings

Proposed statement. Thoughts? We may want to add in something about all of the information gathered coming from open source media.


(b) (5)



----- Original Message -----

**From:** Boogaard, Peter  
**Sent:** Tuesday, February 28, 2012 07:50 PM  
**To:** Kroloff, Noah; Colburn, Brent; Chandler, Matthew; Shlossman, Amy; Sandweg, John  
**Subject:** Michael Hastings

(b) (5)



**Shlossman, Amy**

---

**From:** Boogaard, Peter  
**Sent:** Tuesday, February 28, 2012 8:54 PM  
**To:** Kroloff, Noah; Colburn, Brent; Chandler, Matthew; Shlossman, Amy; Sandweg, John; Grossman, Seth; Callahan, Mary Ellen  
**Subject:** Re: Michael Hastings

Confirming with nppd leadership right now.

----- Original Message -----

**From:** Kroloff, Noah  
**Sent:** Tuesday, February 28, 2012 08:52 PM  
**To:** Boogaard, Peter; Colburn, Brent; Chandler, Matthew; Shlossman, Amy; Sandweg, John; Grossman, Seth; Callahan, Mary Ellen  
**Subject:** Re: Michael Hastings

I think this is pretty good. Is it accurate?

----- Original Message -----

**From:** Boogaard, Peter  
**Sent:** Tuesday, February 28, 2012 08:46 PM  
**To:** Kroloff, Noah; Colburn, Brent; Chandler, Matthew; Shlossman, Amy; Sandweg, John; Grossman, Seth; Callahan, Mary Ellen  
**Subject:** Re: Michael Hastings

Proposed statement. Thoughts? We may want to add in something about all of the information gathered coming from open source media.

(b) (5)



----- Original Message -----

**From:** Boogaard, Peter  
**Sent:** Tuesday, February 28, 2012 07:50 PM  
**To:** Kroloff, Noah; Colburn, Brent; Chandler, Matthew; Shlossman, Amy; Sandweg, John  
**Subject:** Michael Hastings

(b) (5)





**Shlossman, Amy**

---

**From:** Callahan, Mary Ellen  
**Sent:** Tuesday, February 28, 2012 9:00 PM  
**To:** Boogaard, Peter; Kroloff, Noah; Colburn, Brent; Chandler, Matthew; Shlossman, Amy; Sandweg, John; Grossman, Seth  
**Cc:** Callahan, Mary Ellen  
**Subject:** RE: Michael Hastings

**(b) (5)**

I will reach out to the NPPD privacy officer to start to engage on compliance with this issue.

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Boogaard, Peter  
**Sent:** Tuesday, February 28, 2012 8:54 PM  
**To:** Kroloff, Noah; Colburn, Brent; Chandler, Matthew; Shlossman, Amy; Sandweg, John; Grossman, Seth; Callahan, Mary Ellen  
**Subject:** Re: Michael Hastings

Confirming with nppd leadership right now.

----- Original Message -----

**From:** Kroloff, Noah  
**Sent:** Tuesday, February 28, 2012 08:52 PM  
**To:** Boogaard, Peter; Colburn, Brent; Chandler, Matthew; Shlossman, Amy; Sandweg, John; Grossman, Seth; Callahan, Mary Ellen  
**Subject:** Re: Michael Hastings

I think this is pretty good. Is it accurate?

----- Original Message -----

**From:** Boogaard, Peter  
**Sent:** Tuesday, February 28, 2012 08:46 PM  
**To:** Kroloff, Noah; Colburn, Brent; Chandler, Matthew; Shlossman, Amy; Sandweg, John; Grossman, Seth; Callahan, Mary Ellen  
**Subject:** Re: Michael Hastings

Proposed statement. Thoughts? We may want to add in something about all of the information gathered coming from open source media.

**(b) (5)**

----- Original Message -----

**From:** Boogaard, Peter  
**Sent:** Tuesday, February 28, 2012 07:50 PM

To: Kroloff, Noah; Colburn, Brent; Chandler, Matthew; Shlossman, Amy; Sandweg, John  
Subject: Michael Hastings

(b) (5)



**Shlossman, Amy**

---

**From:** Boogaard, Peter  
**Sent:** Tuesday, February 28, 2012 9:01 PM  
**To:** Callahan, Mary Ellen; Kroloff, Noah; Colburn, Brent; Chandler, Matthew; Shlossman, Amy; Sandweg, John; Grossman, Seth  
**Subject:** Re: Michael Hastings

I don't think we lose too much with privacy standards.

----- Original Message -----

**From:** Callahan, Mary Ellen  
**Sent:** Tuesday, February 28, 2012 08:59 PM  
**To:** Boogaard, Peter; Kroloff, Noah; Colburn, Brent; Chandler, Matthew; Shlossman, Amy; Sandweg, John; Grossman, Seth  
**Cc:** Callahan, Mary Ellen  
**Subject:** RE: Michael Hastings

(b) (5)

I will reach out to the NPPD privacy officer to start to engage on compliance with this issue.

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Boogaard, Peter  
**Sent:** Tuesday, February 28, 2012 8:54 PM  
**To:** Kroloff, Noah; Colburn, Brent; Chandler, Matthew; Shlossman, Amy; Sandweg, John; Grossman, Seth; Callahan, Mary Ellen  
**Subject:** Re: Michael Hastings

Confirming with nppd leadership right now.

----- Original Message -----

**From:** Kroloff, Noah  
**Sent:** Tuesday, February 28, 2012 08:52 PM  
**To:** Boogaard, Peter; Colburn, Brent; Chandler, Matthew; Shlossman, Amy; Sandweg, John; Grossman, Seth; Callahan, Mary Ellen  
**Subject:** Re: Michael Hastings

I think this is pretty good. Is it accurate?

----- Original Message -----

**From:** Boogaard, Peter  
**Sent:** Tuesday, February 28, 2012 08:46 PM  
**To:** Kroloff, Noah; Colburn, Brent; Chandler, Matthew; Shlossman, Amy; Sandweg, John; Grossman, Seth; Callahan, Mary Ellen  
**Subject:** Re: Michael Hastings

Proposed statement. Thoughts? We may want to add in something about all of the information gathered coming from open source media.

(b) (5)

(b) (5)

----- Original Message -----

From: Boogaard, Peter

Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 07:50 PM

To: Kroloff, Noah; Colburn, Brent; Chandler, Matthew; Shlossman, Amy; Sandweg, John

Subject: Michael Hastings

(b) (5)

**Shlossman, Amy**

---

**From:** Boogaard, Peter  
**Sent:** Tuesday, February 28, 2012 9:35 PM  
**To:** Callahan, Mary Ellen; Kroloff, Noah; Colburn, Brent; Chandler, Matthew; Shlossman, Amy; Sandweg, John; Grossman, Seth  
**Subject:** Re: Michael Hastings

(b) (5)

Final statement is below.

(b) (5)

----- Original Message -----

**From:** Boogaard, Peter  
**Sent:** Tuesday, February 28, 2012 09:01 PM  
**To:** Callahan, Mary Ellen; Kroloff, Noah; Colburn, Brent; Chandler, Matthew; Shlossman, Amy; Sandweg, John; Grossman, Seth  
**Subject:** Re: Michael Hastings

I don't think we lose too much with privacy standards.

----- Original Message -----

**From:** Callahan, Mary Ellen  
**Sent:** Tuesday, February 28, 2012 08:59 PM  
**To:** Boogaard, Peter; Kroloff, Noah; Colburn, Brent; Chandler, Matthew; Shlossman, Amy; Sandweg, John; Grossman, Seth  
**Cc:** Callahan, Mary Ellen  
**Subject:** RE: Michael Hastings

(b) (5)

I will reach out to the NPPD privacy officer to start to engage on compliance with this issue.

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Boogaard, Peter  
**Sent:** Tuesday, February 28, 2012 8:54 PM  
**To:** Kroloff, Noah; Colburn, Brent; Chandler, Matthew; Shlossman, Amy; Sandweg, John; Grossman, Seth; Callahan, Mary Ellen  
**Subject:** Re: Michael Hastings

Confirming with nppd leadership right now.

----- Original Message -----

From: Kroloff, Noah  
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 08:52 PM  
To: Boogaard, Peter; Colburn, Brent; Chandler, Matthew; Shlossman, Amy; Sandweg, John; Grossman, Seth; Callahan, Mary Ellen  
Subject: Re: Michael Hastings

I think this is pretty good. Is it accurate?

----- Original Message -----

From: Boogaard, Peter  
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 08:46 PM  
To: Kroloff, Noah; Colburn, Brent; Chandler, Matthew; Shlossman, Amy; Sandweg, John; Grossman, Seth; Callahan, Mary Ellen  
Subject: Re: Michael Hastings

Proposed statement. Thoughts? We may want to add in something about all of the information gathered coming from open source media.


(b) (5)



----- Original Message -----

From: Boogaard, Peter  
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 07:50 PM  
To: Kroloff, Noah; Colburn, Brent; Chandler, Matthew; Shlossman, Amy; Sandweg, John  
Subject: Michael Hastings

(b) (5)



**Shlossman, Amy**

---

**From:** Sandweg, John  
**Sent:** Tuesday, February 28, 2012 9:37 PM  
**To:** Boogaard, Peter; Callahan, Mary Ellen; Kroloff, Noah; Colburn, Brent; Chandler, Matthew; Shlossman, Amy; Grossman, Seth  
**Subject:** Re: Michael Hastings

Who in NPPD?

----- Original Message -----

**From:** Boogaard, Peter  
**Sent:** Tuesday, February 28, 2012 09:34 PM  
**To:** Callahan, Mary Ellen; Kroloff, Noah; Colburn, Brent; Chandler, Matthew; Shlossman, Amy; Sandweg, John; Grossman, Seth  
**Subject:** Re: Michael Hastings

(b) (5)

Final statement is below.

(b) (5)

----- Original Message -----

**From:** Boogaard, Peter  
**Sent:** Tuesday, February 28, 2012 09:01 PM  
**To:** Callahan, Mary Ellen; Kroloff, Noah; Colburn, Brent; Chandler, Matthew; Shlossman, Amy; Sandweg, John; Grossman, Seth  
**Subject:** Re: Michael Hastings

I don't think we lose too much with privacy standards.

----- Original Message -----

**From:** Callahan, Mary Ellen  
**Sent:** Tuesday, February 28, 2012 08:59 PM  
**To:** Boogaard, Peter; Kroloff, Noah; Colburn, Brent; Chandler, Matthew; Shlossman, Amy; Sandweg, John; Grossman, Seth  
**Cc:** Callahan, Mary Ellen  
**Subject:** RE: Michael Hastings

(b) (5)

I will reach out to the NPPD privacy officer to start to engage on compliance with this issue.

-----Original Message-----

From: Boogaard, Peter  
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 8:54 PM  
To: Kroloff, Noah; Colburn, Brent; Chandler, Matthew; Shlossman, Amy; Sandweg, John; Grossman, Seth; Callahan, Mary Ellen  
Subject: Re: Michael Hastings

Confirming with nppd leadership right now.

----- Original Message -----

From: Kroloff, Noah  
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 08:52 PM  
To: Boogaard, Peter; Colburn, Brent; Chandler, Matthew; Shlossman, Amy; Sandweg, John; Grossman, Seth; Callahan, Mary Ellen  
Subject: Re: Michael Hastings


I think this is pretty good. Is it accurate?

----- Original Message -----

From: Boogaard, Peter  
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 08:46 PM  
To: Kroloff, Noah; Colburn, Brent; Chandler, Matthew; Shlossman, Amy; Sandweg, John; Grossman, Seth; Callahan, Mary Ellen  
Subject: Re: Michael Hastings

Proposed statement. Thoughts? We may want to add in something about all of the information gathered coming from open source media.

(b) (5)

A large black rectangular redaction box covers the majority of the page content below the first email header.

----- Original Message -----

From: Boogaard, Peter  
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 07:50 PM  
To: Kroloff, Noah; Colburn, Brent; Chandler, Matthew; Shlossman, Amy; Sandweg, John  
Subject: Michael Hastings

(b) (5)

A large black rectangular redaction box covers the majority of the page content below the second email header.



**Shlossman, Amy**

---

**From:** Boogaard, Peter  
**Sent:** Tuesday, February 28, 2012 9:41 PM  
**To:** Sandweg, John; Callahan, Mary Ellen; Kroloff, Noah; Colburn, Brent; Chandler, Matthew; Shlossman, Amy; Grossman, Seth  
**Subject:** Re: Michael Hastings

Caitlin durkovich

----- Original Message -----

**From:** Sandweg, John  
**Sent:** Tuesday, February 28, 2012 09:36 PM  
**To:** Boogaard, Peter; Callahan, Mary Ellen; Kroloff, Noah; Colburn, Brent; Chandler, Matthew; Shlossman, Amy; Grossman, Seth  
**Subject:** Re: Michael Hastings

Who in NPPD?

----- Original Message -----

**From:** Boogaard, Peter  
**Sent:** Tuesday, February 28, 2012 09:34 PM  
**To:** Callahan, Mary Ellen; Kroloff, Noah; Colburn, Brent; Chandler, Matthew; Shlossman, Amy; Sandweg, John; Grossman, Seth  
**Subject:** Re: Michael Hastings

(b) (5)

Final statement is below.

(b) (5)

----- Original Message -----

**From:** Boogaard, Peter  
**Sent:** Tuesday, February 28, 2012 09:01 PM  
**To:** Callahan, Mary Ellen; Kroloff, Noah; Colburn, Brent; Chandler, Matthew; Shlossman, Amy; Sandweg, John; Grossman, Seth  
**Subject:** Re: Michael Hastings

I don't think we lose too much with privacy standards.

----- Original Message -----

**From:** Callahan, Mary Ellen  
**Sent:** Tuesday, February 28, 2012 08:59 PM  
**To:** Boogaard, Peter; Kroloff, Noah; Colburn, Brent; Chandler, Matthew; Shlossman, Amy; Sandweg, John; Grossman, Seth  
**Cc:** Callahan, Mary Ellen  
**Subject:** RE: Michael Hastings

(b) (5)

I will reach out to the NPPD privacy officer to start to engage on compliance with this issue.

-----Original Message-----

From: Boogaard, Peter  
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 8:54 PM  
To: Kroloff, Noah; Colburn, Brent; Chandler, Matthew; Shlossman, Amy; Sandweg, John; Grossman, Seth; Callahan, Mary Ellen  
Subject: Re: Michael Hastings

Confirming with nppd leadership right now.

----- Original Message -----

From: Kroloff, Noah  
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 08:52 PM  
To: Boogaard, Peter; Colburn, Brent; Chandler, Matthew; Shlossman, Amy; Sandweg, John; Grossman, Seth; Callahan, Mary Ellen  
Subject: Re: Michael Hastings

I think this is pretty good. Is it accurate?

----- Original Message -----

From: Boogaard, Peter  
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 08:46 PM  
To: Kroloff, Noah; Colburn, Brent; Chandler, Matthew; Shlossman, Amy; Sandweg, John; Grossman, Seth; Callahan, Mary Ellen  
Subject: Re: Michael Hastings

Proposed statement. Thoughts? We may want to add in something about all of the information gathered coming from open source media.

(b) (5)

----- Original Message -----

From: Boogaard, Peter  
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 07:50 PM  
To: Kroloff, Noah; Colburn, Brent; Chandler, Matthew; Shlossman, Amy; Sandweg, John  
Subject: Michael Hastings

(b) (5)

(b) (5)

**Shlossman, Amy**

---

**From:** Sandweg, John  
**Sent:** Tuesday, February 28, 2012 9:57 PM  
**To:** Boogaard, Peter; Callahan, Mary Ellen; Kroloff, Noah; Colburn, Brent; Chandler, Matthew; Shlossman, Amy; Grossman, Seth  
**Subject:** Re: Michael Hastings

Based on all the other ongoing related issues, this seems appropriate.

----- Original Message -----

**From:** Boogaard, Peter  
**Sent:** Tuesday, February 28, 2012 09:40 PM  
**To:** Sandweg, John; Callahan, Mary Ellen; Kroloff, Noah; Colburn, Brent; Chandler, Matthew; Shlossman, Amy; Grossman, Seth  
**Subject:** Re: Michael Hastings

Caitlin durkovich

----- Original Message -----

**From:** Sandweg, John  
**Sent:** Tuesday, February 28, 2012 09:36 PM  
**To:** Boogaard, Peter; Callahan, Mary Ellen; Kroloff, Noah; Colburn, Brent; Chandler, Matthew; Shlossman, Amy; Grossman, Seth  
**Subject:** Re: Michael Hastings

Who in NPPD?

----- Original Message -----

**From:** Boogaard, Peter  
**Sent:** Tuesday, February 28, 2012 09:34 PM  
**To:** Callahan, Mary Ellen; Kroloff, Noah; Colburn, Brent; Chandler, Matthew; Shlossman, Amy; Sandweg, John; Grossman, Seth  
**Subject:** Re: Michael Hastings

(b) (5)

Final statement is below.

(b) (5)

----- Original Message -----

**From:** Boogaard, Peter  
**Sent:** Tuesday, February 28, 2012 09:01 PM  
**To:** Callahan, Mary Ellen; Kroloff, Noah; Colburn, Brent; Chandler, Matthew; Shlossman, Amy; Sandweg, John; Grossman, Seth  
**Subject:** Re: Michael Hastings

I don't think we lose too much with privacy standards.

----- Original Message -----

From: Callahan, Mary Ellen  
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 08:59 PM  
To: Boogaard, Peter; Kroloff, Noah; Colburn, Brent; Chandler, Matthew; Shlossman, Amy; Sandweg, John; Grossman, Seth  
Cc: Callahan, Mary Ellen  
Subject: RE: Michael Hastings

(b) (5)

I will reach out to the NPPD privacy officer to start to engage on compliance with this issue.

-----Original Message-----

From: Boogaard, Peter  
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 8:54 PM  
To: Kroloff, Noah; Colburn, Brent; Chandler, Matthew; Shlossman, Amy; Sandweg, John; Grossman, Seth; Callahan, Mary Ellen  
Subject: Re: Michael Hastings

Confirming with nppd leadership right now.

----- Original Message -----

From: Kroloff, Noah  
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 08:52 PM  
To: Boogaard, Peter; Colburn, Brent; Chandler, Matthew; Shlossman, Amy; Sandweg, John; Grossman, Seth; Callahan, Mary Ellen  
Subject: Re: Michael Hastings

I think this is pretty good. Is it accurate?

----- Original Message -----

From: Boogaard, Peter  
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 08:46 PM  
To: Kroloff, Noah; Colburn, Brent; Chandler, Matthew; Shlossman, Amy; Sandweg, John; Grossman, Seth; Callahan, Mary Ellen  
Subject: Re: Michael Hastings

Proposed statement. Thoughts? We may want to add in something about all of the information gathered coming from open source media.

(b) (5)

----- Original Message -----

From: Boogaard, Peter  
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 07:50 PM

To: Kroloff, Noah; Colburn, Brent; Chandler, Matthew; Shlossman, Amy; Sandweg, John  
Subject: Michael Hastings

(b) (5)



**Shlossman, Amy**

---

**From:** Callahan, Mary Ellen  
**Sent:** Tuesday, February 28, 2012 9:58 PM  
**To:** Sandweg, John; Boogaard, Peter; Kroloff, Noah; Colburn, Brent; Chandler, Matthew; Shlossman, Amy; Grossman, Seth  
**Subject:** RE: Michael Hastings

Concur.

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Sandweg, John  
**Sent:** Tuesday, February 28, 2012 9:57 PM  
**To:** Boogaard, Peter; Callahan, Mary Ellen; Kroloff, Noah; Colburn, Brent; Chandler, Matthew; Shlossman, Amy; Grossman, Seth  
**Subject:** Re: Michael Hastings

Based on all the other ongoing related issues, this seems appropriate.

----- Original Message -----

**From:** Boogaard, Peter  
**Sent:** Tuesday, February 28, 2012 09:40 PM  
**To:** Sandweg, John; Callahan, Mary Ellen; Kroloff, Noah; Colburn, Brent; Chandler, Matthew; Shlossman, Amy; Grossman, Seth  
**Subject:** Re: Michael Hastings

Caitlin durkovich

----- Original Message -----

**From:** Sandweg, John  
**Sent:** Tuesday, February 28, 2012 09:36 PM  
**To:** Boogaard, Peter; Callahan, Mary Ellen; Kroloff, Noah; Colburn, Brent; Chandler, Matthew; Shlossman, Amy; Grossman, Seth  
**Subject:** Re: Michael Hastings

Who in NPPD?

----- Original Message -----

**From:** Boogaard, Peter  
**Sent:** Tuesday, February 28, 2012 09:34 PM  
**To:** Callahan, Mary Ellen; Kroloff, Noah; Colburn, Brent; Chandler, Matthew; Shlossman, Amy; Sandweg, John; Grossman, Seth  
**Subject:** Re: Michael Hastings

(b) (5)

Final statement is below.

(b) (5)

----- Original Message -----

From: Boogaard, Peter

Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 09:01 PM

To: Callahan, Mary Ellen; Kroloff, Noah; Colburn, Brent; Chandler, Matthew; Shlossman, Amy; Sandweg, John; Grossman, Seth

Subject: Re: Michael Hastings

I don't think we lose too much with privacy standards.

----- Original Message -----

From: Callahan, Mary Ellen

Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 08:59 PM

To: Boogaard, Peter; Kroloff, Noah; Colburn, Brent; Chandler, Matthew; Shlossman, Amy; Sandweg, John; Grossman, Seth

Cc: Callahan, Mary Ellen

Subject: RE: Michael Hastings

(b) (5)

I will reach out to the NPPD privacy officer to start to engage on compliance with this issue.

-----Original Message-----

From: Boogaard, Peter

Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 8:54 PM

To: Kroloff, Noah; Colburn, Brent; Chandler, Matthew; Shlossman, Amy; Sandweg, John; Grossman, Seth; Callahan, Mary Ellen

Subject: Re: Michael Hastings

Confirming with nppd leadership right now.

----- Original Message -----

From: Kroloff, Noah

Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 08:52 PM

To: Boogaard, Peter; Colburn, Brent; Chandler, Matthew; Shlossman, Amy; Sandweg, John; Grossman, Seth; Callahan, Mary Ellen

Subject: Re: Michael Hastings

I think this is pretty good. Is it accurate?

----- Original Message -----

From: Boogaard, Peter

Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 08:46 PM

To: Kroloff, Noah; Colburn, Brent; Chandler, Matthew; Shlossman, Amy; Sandweg, John; Grossman, Seth; Callahan, Mary Ellen

Subject: Re: Michael Hastings

Proposed statement. Thoughts? We may want to add in something about all of the information gathered coming from open source media.

(b) (5)



(b) (5)

----- Original Message -----

From: Boogaard, Peter

Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 07:50 PM

To: Kroloff, Noah; Colburn, Brent; Chandler, Matthew; Shlossman, Amy; Sandweg, John

Subject: Michael Hastings

(b) (5)

## Shlossman, Amy

---

**From:** Boogaard, Peter  
**Sent:** Tuesday, February 28, 2012 10:00 PM  
**To:** Callahan, Mary Ellen; Sandweg, John; Kroloff, Noah; Colburn, Brent; Chandler, Matthew; Shlossman, Amy; Grossman, Seth  
**Subject:** Re: Michael Hastings

Thanks.

----- Original Message -----

**From:** Callahan, Mary Ellen  
**Sent:** Tuesday, February 28, 2012 09:58 PM  
**To:** Sandweg, John; Boogaard, Peter; Kroloff, Noah; Colburn, Brent; Chandler, Matthew; Shlossman, Amy; Grossman, Seth  
**Subject:** RE: Michael Hastings

Concur.

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Sandweg, John  
**Sent:** Tuesday, February 28, 2012 9:57 PM  
**To:** Boogaard, Peter; Callahan, Mary Ellen; Kroloff, Noah; Colburn, Brent; Chandler, Matthew; Shlossman, Amy; Grossman, Seth  
**Subject:** Re: Michael Hastings

Based on all the other ongoing related issues, this seems appropriate.

----- Original Message -----

**From:** Boogaard, Peter  
**Sent:** Tuesday, February 28, 2012 09:40 PM  
**To:** Sandweg, John; Callahan, Mary Ellen; Kroloff, Noah; Colburn, Brent; Chandler, Matthew; Shlossman, Amy; Grossman, Seth  
**Subject:** Re: Michael Hastings

Caitlin durkovich

----- Original Message -----

**From:** Sandweg, John  
**Sent:** Tuesday, February 28, 2012 09:36 PM  
**To:** Boogaard, Peter; Callahan, Mary Ellen; Kroloff, Noah; Colburn, Brent; Chandler, Matthew; Shlossman, Amy; Grossman, Seth  
**Subject:** Re: Michael Hastings

Who in NPPD?

----- Original Message -----

**From:** Boogaard, Peter  
**Sent:** Tuesday, February 28, 2012 09:34 PM  
**To:** Callahan, Mary Ellen; Kroloff, Noah; Colburn, Brent; Chandler, Matthew; Shlossman, Amy; Sandweg, John; Grossman, Seth  
**Subject:** Re: Michael Hastings

(b) (5)

Final statement is below.

(b) (5)

----- Original Message -----

From: Boogaard, Peter  
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 09:01 PM  
To: Callahan, Mary Ellen; Kroloff, Noah; Colburn, Brent; Chandler, Matthew; Shlossman, Amy; Sandweg, John; Grossman, Seth  
Subject: Re: Michael Hastings

I don't think we lose too much with privacy standards.

----- Original Message -----

From: Callahan, Mary Ellen  
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 08:59 PM  
To: Boogaard, Peter; Kroloff, Noah; Colburn, Brent; Chandler, Matthew; Shlossman, Amy; Sandweg, John; Grossman, Seth  
Cc: Callahan, Mary Ellen  
Subject: RE: Michael Hastings

(b) (5)

I will reach out to the NPPD privacy officer to start to engage on compliance with this issue.

-----Original Message-----

From: Boogaard, Peter  
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 8:54 PM  
To: Kroloff, Noah; Colburn, Brent; Chandler, Matthew; Shlossman, Amy; Sandweg, John; Grossman, Seth; Callahan, Mary Ellen  
Subject: Re: Michael Hastings

Confirming with nppd leadership right now.

----- Original Message -----

From: Kroloff, Noah  
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 08:52 PM  
To: Boogaard, Peter; Colburn, Brent; Chandler, Matthew; Shlossman, Amy; Sandweg, John; Grossman, Seth; Callahan, Mary Ellen  
Subject: Re: Michael Hastings

I think this is pretty good. Is it accurate?

----- Original Message -----

From: Boogaard, Peter  
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 08:46 PM

To: Kroloff, Noah; Colburn, Brent; Chandler, Matthew; Shlossman, Amy; Sandweg, John;  
Grossman, Seth; Callahan, Mary Ellen  
Subject: Re: Michael Hastings

Proposed statement. Thoughts? We may want to add in something about all of the information gathered coming from open source media.

(b) (5)

----- Original Message -----

From: Boogaard, Peter

Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 07:50 PM

To: Kroloff, Noah; Colburn, Brent; Chandler, Matthew; Shlossman, Amy; Sandweg, John

Subject: Michael Hastings

(b) (5)

**Kroloff, Noah**

---

**From:** Shlossman, Amy  
**Sent:** Wednesday, March 07, 2012 1:45 PM  
**To:** (b) (6)  
**Cc:** noah.kroloff; (b) (6)  
**Subject:** Do you have

The official NSSE dec for NATO? Chicago is saying they may need to start drawing down Fed funds as early as May 1 when the Occupy protest start, but I thought the NSSE was limited to a specific event/ date range? Thx.

**Shlossman, Amy**

---

**From:** Colburn, Brent  
**Sent:** Tuesday, February 28, 2012 10:06 PM  
**To:** Boogaard, Peter; Callahan, Mary Ellen; Sandweg, John; Kroloff, Noah; Chandler, Matthew; Shlossman, Amy; Grossman, Seth  
**Subject:** Re: Michael Hastings

Concur--and Pete, [REDACTED] (b) (5)

----- Original Message -----

**From:** Boogaard, Peter  
**Sent:** Tuesday, February 28, 2012 10:00 PM  
**To:** Callahan, Mary Ellen; Sandweg, John; Kroloff, Noah; Colburn, Brent; Chandler, Matthew; Shlossman, Amy; Grossman, Seth  
**Subject:** Re: Michael Hastings

Thanks.

----- Original Message -----

**From:** Callahan, Mary Ellen  
**Sent:** Tuesday, February 28, 2012 09:58 PM  
**To:** Sandweg, John; Boogaard, Peter; Kroloff, Noah; Colburn, Brent; Chandler, Matthew; Shlossman, Amy; Grossman, Seth  
**Subject:** RE: Michael Hastings

Concur.

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Sandweg, John  
**Sent:** Tuesday, February 28, 2012 9:57 PM  
**To:** Boogaard, Peter; Callahan, Mary Ellen; Kroloff, Noah; Colburn, Brent; Chandler, Matthew; Shlossman, Amy; Grossman, Seth  
**Subject:** Re: Michael Hastings

Based on all the other ongoing related issues, this seems appropriate.

----- Original Message -----

**From:** Boogaard, Peter  
**Sent:** Tuesday, February 28, 2012 09:40 PM  
**To:** Sandweg, John; Callahan, Mary Ellen; Kroloff, Noah; Colburn, Brent; Chandler, Matthew; Shlossman, Amy; Grossman, Seth  
**Subject:** Re: Michael Hastings

Caitlin durkovich

----- Original Message -----

**From:** Sandweg, John  
**Sent:** Tuesday, February 28, 2012 09:36 PM  
**To:** Boogaard, Peter; Callahan, Mary Ellen; Kroloff, Noah; Colburn, Brent; Chandler, Matthew; Shlossman, Amy; Grossman, Seth  
**Subject:** Re: Michael Hastings

Who in NPPD?

----- Original Message -----

From: Boogaard, Peter  
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 09:34 PM  
To: Callahan, Mary Ellen; Kroloff, Noah; Colburn, Brent; Chandler, Matthew; Shlossman, Amy; Sandweg, John; Grossman, Seth  
Subject: Re: Michael Hastings

(b) (5)

Final statement is below.

(b) (5)

----- Original Message -----

From: Boogaard, Peter  
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 09:01 PM  
To: Callahan, Mary Ellen; Kroloff, Noah; Colburn, Brent; Chandler, Matthew; Shlossman, Amy; Sandweg, John; Grossman, Seth  
Subject: Re: Michael Hastings

I don't think we lose too much with privacy standards.

----- Original Message -----

From: Callahan, Mary Ellen  
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 08:59 PM  
To: Boogaard, Peter; Kroloff, Noah; Colburn, Brent; Chandler, Matthew; Shlossman, Amy; Sandweg, John; Grossman, Seth  
Cc: Callahan, Mary Ellen  
Subject: RE: Michael Hastings

(b) (5)

I will reach out to the NPPD privacy officer to start to engage on compliance with this issue.

-----Original Message-----

From: Boogaard, Peter  
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 8:54 PM  
To: Kroloff, Noah; Colburn, Brent; Chandler, Matthew; Shlossman, Amy; Sandweg, John; Grossman, Seth; Callahan, Mary Ellen  
Subject: Re: Michael Hastings

Confirming with nppd leadership right now.

----- Original Message -----

From: Kroloff, Noah  
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 08:52 PM

To: Boogaard, Peter; Colburn, Brent; Chandler, Matthew; Shlossman, Amy; Sandweg, John; Grossman, Seth; Callahan, Mary Ellen  
Subject: Re: Michael Hastings

I think this is pretty good. Is it accurate?

----- Original Message -----

From: Boogaard, Peter  
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 08:46 PM  
To: Kroloff, Noah; Colburn, Brent; Chandler, Matthew; Shlossman, Amy; Sandweg, John; Grossman, Seth; Callahan, Mary Ellen  
Subject: Re: Michael Hastings

Proposed statement. Thoughts? We may want to add in something about all of the information gathered coming from open source media.

(b) (5)

----- Original Message -----

From: Boogaard, Peter  
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 07:50 PM  
To: Kroloff, Noah; Colburn, Brent; Chandler, Matthew; Shlossman, Amy; Sandweg, John  
Subject: Michael Hastings

(b) (5)



## Shlossman, Amy

---

**From:** Boogaard, Peter  
**Sent:** Tuesday, February 28, 2012 10:07 PM  
**To:** Colburn, Brent; Callahan, Mary Ellen; Sandweg, John; Kroloff, Noah; Chandler, Matthew; Shlossman, Amy; Grossman, Seth  
**Subject:** Re: Michael Hastings

sending to clark.

----- Original Message -----

**From:** Colburn, Brent  
**Sent:** Tuesday, February 28, 2012 10:05 PM  
**To:** Boogaard, Peter; Callahan, Mary Ellen; Sandweg, John; Kroloff, Noah; Chandler, Matthew; Shlossman, Amy; Grossman, Seth  
**Subject:** Re: Michael Hastings

Concur--and Pete, (b) (5)

----- Original Message -----

**From:** Boogaard, Peter  
**Sent:** Tuesday, February 28, 2012 10:00 PM  
**To:** Callahan, Mary Ellen; Sandweg, John; Kroloff, Noah; Colburn, Brent; Chandler, Matthew; Shlossman, Amy; Grossman, Seth  
**Subject:** Re: Michael Hastings

Thanks.

----- Original Message -----

**From:** Callahan, Mary Ellen  
**Sent:** Tuesday, February 28, 2012 09:58 PM  
**To:** Sandweg, John; Boogaard, Peter; Kroloff, Noah; Colburn, Brent; Chandler, Matthew; Shlossman, Amy; Grossman, Seth  
**Subject:** RE: Michael Hastings

Concur.

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Sandweg, John  
**Sent:** Tuesday, February 28, 2012 9:57 PM  
**To:** Boogaard, Peter; Callahan, Mary Ellen; Kroloff, Noah; Colburn, Brent; Chandler, Matthew; Shlossman, Amy; Grossman, Seth  
**Subject:** Re: Michael Hastings

Based on all the other ongoing related issues, this seems appropriate.

----- Original Message -----

**From:** Boogaard, Peter  
**Sent:** Tuesday, February 28, 2012 09:40 PM  
**To:** Sandweg, John; Callahan, Mary Ellen; Kroloff, Noah; Colburn, Brent; Chandler, Matthew; Shlossman, Amy; Grossman, Seth  
**Subject:** Re: Michael Hastings

Caitlin durkovich

----- Original Message -----

From: Sandweg, John  
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 09:36 PM  
To: Boogaard, Peter; Callahan, Mary Ellen; Kroloff, Noah; Colburn, Brent; Chandler, Matthew; Shlossman, Amy; Grossman, Seth  
Subject: Re: Michael Hastings

Who in NPPD?

----- Original Message -----

From: Boogaard, Peter  
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 09:34 PM  
To: Callahan, Mary Ellen; Kroloff, Noah; Colburn, Brent; Chandler, Matthew; Shlossman, Amy; Sandweg, John; Grossman, Seth  
Subject: Re: Michael Hastings

(b) (5)

Final statement is below.

(b) (5)

----- Original Message -----

From: Boogaard, Peter  
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 09:01 PM  
To: Callahan, Mary Ellen; Kroloff, Noah; Colburn, Brent; Chandler, Matthew; Shlossman, Amy; Sandweg, John; Grossman, Seth  
Subject: Re: Michael Hastings

I don't think we lose too much with privacy standards.

----- Original Message -----

From: Callahan, Mary Ellen  
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 08:59 PM  
To: Boogaard, Peter; Kroloff, Noah; Colburn, Brent; Chandler, Matthew; Shlossman, Amy; Sandweg, John; Grossman, Seth  
Cc: Callahan, Mary Ellen  
Subject: RE: Michael Hastings

(b) (5)

I will reach out to the NPPD privacy officer to start to engage on compliance with this issue.

-----Original Message-----

From: Boogaard, Peter  
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 8:54 PM

To: Kroloff, Noah; Colburn, Brent; Chandler, Matthew; Shlossman, Amy; Sandweg, John; Grossman, Seth; Callahan, Mary Ellen  
Subject: Re: Michael Hastings

Confirming with nppd leadership right now.

----- Original Message -----

From: Kroloff, Noah  
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 08:52 PM  
To: Boogaard, Peter; Colburn, Brent; Chandler, Matthew; Shlossman, Amy; Sandweg, John; Grossman, Seth; Callahan, Mary Ellen  
Subject: Re: Michael Hastings


I think this is pretty good. Is it accurate?

----- Original Message -----

From: Boogaard, Peter  
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 08:46 PM  
To: Kroloff, Noah; Colburn, Brent; Chandler, Matthew; Shlossman, Amy; Sandweg, John; Grossman, Seth; Callahan, Mary Ellen  
Subject: Re: Michael Hastings

Proposed statement. Thoughts? We may want to add in something about all of the information gathered coming from open source media.

(b) (5)

A large black rectangular redaction box covering the body of the email.

----- Original Message -----

From: Boogaard, Peter  
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 07:50 PM  
To: Kroloff, Noah; Colburn, Brent; Chandler, Matthew; Shlossman, Amy; Sandweg, John  
Subject: Michael Hastings

(b) (5)

A large black rectangular redaction box covering the body of the email.

**Shlossman, Amy**

---

**From:** Sandweg, John  
**Sent:** Tuesday, February 28, 2012 10:26 PM  
**To:** Boogaard, Peter; Spaulding, Suzanne; Durkovich, Caitlin; Beland, Michael; Davis, Robert M  
**Cc:** (b) (6) Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Flynn, William; Hess, David; Grossman, Seth; Callahan, Mary Ellen; Kroloff, Noah; Shlossman, Amy  
**Subject:** Re: Statement

Definitely think that the more we can push back on any inaccuracies the better.

That said, assuming he isn't going to pull down the blog post, with other similar privacy related issues out there, we need a clear statement that explains that this report was drafted in violation of our privacy standards.

+ Noah and Amy.

----- Original Message -----

**From:** Boogaard, Peter  
**Sent:** Tuesday, February 28, 2012 10:21 PM  
**To:** Spaulding, Suzanne; Durkovich, Caitlin; Beland, Michael; Davis, Robert M  
**Cc:** (b) (6) Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Flynn, William; Hess, David; Grossman, Seth; Sandweg, John; Callahan, Mary Ellen  
**Subject:** Re: Statement

Also looping MEC.

----- Original Message -----

**From:** Boogaard, Peter  
**Sent:** Tuesday, February 28, 2012 10:19 PM  
**To:** Spaulding, Suzanne; Durkovich, Caitlin; Beland, Michael; Davis, Robert M  
**Cc:** (b) (6) Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Flynn, William; Hess, David; Grossman, Seth; Sandweg, John  
**Subject:** Re: Statement

Looping Sandweg and Grossman. I think we need to move forward with the statement we proposed.

----- Original Message -----

**From:** Spaulding, Suzanne  
**Sent:** Tuesday, February 28, 2012 10:04 PM  
**To:** Durkovich, Caitlin; Boogaard, Peter; Beland, Michael; Davis, Robert M  
**Cc:** (b) (6) Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Flynn, William; Hess, David  
**Subject:** Re: Statement

(b) (5)



----- Original Message -----

**From:** Durkovich, Caitlin

Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 09:43 PM

To: Boogaard, Peter; Beland, Michael; Davis, Robert M; Spaulding, Suzanne

Cc: (b) (6) Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Flynn, William; Hess, David

Subject: Re: Statement

DUS Spaulding is out of her speaking engagement and is going to review report, blog and statement.

Caitlin Durkovich

Chief of Staff

National Protection and Programs Directorate U.S. Department of Homeland Security

(b) (6)

----- Original Message -----

From: Boogaard, Peter

Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 09:24 PM

To: Durkovich, Caitlin; Beland, Michael; Davis, Robert M; Spaulding, Suzanne

Cc: (b) (6) Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Flynn, William; Hess, David

Subject: Re: Statement

Can you confirm that this accurate?

----- Original Message -----

From: Boogaard, Peter

Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 09:07 PM

To: Durkovich, Caitlin; Beland, Michael; Davis, Robert M; Spaulding, Suzanne

Cc: (b) (6) Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Flynn, William; Hess, David

Subject: Re: Statement

(b) (5)

----- Original Message -----

From: Durkovich, Caitlin

Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 09:03 PM

To: Boogaard, Peter; Beland, Michael; Davis, Robert M; Spaulding, Suzanne

Cc: (b) (6) Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Flynn, William; Hess, David

Subject: RE: Statement

(b) (5)

If anything, I think we should consider calling Hastings and help him understand our mission.

-----Original Message-----

From: Boogaard, Peter

Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 8:55 PM

To: Beland, Michael; Davis, Robert M; Durkovich, Caitlin; Spaulding, Suzanne

Cc: (b) (6) Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Flynn, William

Subject: Re: Statement

Couple changes.

Is this accurate? Our FO likes this approach. If there are different opinions, let me know.

(b) (5)

----- Original Message -----

From: Beland, Michael

Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 08:35 PM

To: Davis, Robert M; Durkovich, Caitlin; Boogaard, Peter; Spaulding, Suzanne

Cc: (b) (6) Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Flynn, William

Subject: Re: Statement

We are still working the dates, but, based upon the document and our recollection, it was posted to hsin and one cannot access the materials on hsin without a password. Once we get the dates, we'll pass them along, but that may not be before you push this out.

Michael Beland

Chief of Staff

Office of Infrastructure Protection

National Protection and Programs Directorate U.S. Department of Homeland Security

(b) (6)

(b) (6) (m)

(b) (6)

----- Original Message -----

From: Davis, Robert M

Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 08:20 PM

To: Beland, Michael; Durkovich, Caitlin; Boogaard, Peter; Spaulding, Suzanne

Cc: (b) (6) Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Flynn, William

Subject: Re: Statement

(b) (5)

(b) (5)

----- Original Message -----

From: Beland, Michael

Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 07:55 PM

To: Durkovich, Caitlin; Boogaard, Peter; Davis, Robert M; Spaulding, Suzanne

Cc: (b) (6) Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Flynn, William

Subject: Re: Statement

+ Mr Flynn. I want to confirm that it was indeed on HSIN, and I am working that.

Michael Beland  
Chief of Staff  
Office of Infrastructure Protection  
National Protection and Programs Directorate U.S. Department of Homeland Security

(b) (6)

(b) (6) (m)

(b) (6)

----- Original Message -----

From: Durkovich, Caitlin  
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 07:52 PM  
To: Boogaard, Peter; Davis, Robert M; Spaulding, Suzanne; Beland, Michael  
Cc: (b) (6) Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina  
Subject: RE: Statement

Can we get the link to the blog post? Need to understand the context.

-----Original Message-----

From: Boogaard, Peter  
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 7:50 PM  
To: Davis, Robert M; Spaulding, Suzanne; Beland, Michael  
Cc: (b) (6) Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Durkovich, Caitlin  
Subject: Re: Statement

If we are going this route, we need to oush back stronger. We never approved of this approach and the individuals who published it did so without approval from nppd leadership.

----- Original Message -----

From: Davis, Robert M  
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 07:48 PM  
To: Spaulding, Suzanne; Beland, Michael  
Cc: (b) (6) Boogaard, Peter; Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Durkovich, Caitlin  
Subject: Re: Statement

Suzanne and Mike,

Rolling Stone has posted a blog with a link to a document with the DHS seal and nppd/ ip markings that was posted to HSIN without approval. Here is the draft statement I would like to send to opa asap.

Here is the link:

[http://www1.rollingstone.com/extras/13637\\_DHS%20IP%20Special.pdf](http://www1.rollingstone.com/extras/13637_DHS%20IP%20Special.pdf)

Please let me know if this is ok.

Bob

(b) (5)

----- Original Message -----

From: Boogaard, Peter

Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 07:31 PM

To: Davis, Robert M

Subject: Statement

let me know when you have a draft. Thanks man



## Shlossman, Amy

---

**From:** Kroloff, Noah  
**Sent:** Tuesday, February 28, 2012 11:13 PM  
**To:** Sandweg, John; Boogaard, Peter; Spaulding, Suzanne; Durkovich, Caitlin; Beland, Michael; Davis, Robert M  
**Cc:** (b) (6) Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Flynn, William; Hess, David; Grossman, Seth; Callahan, Mary Ellen; Shlossman, Amy  
**Subject:** Re: Statement

Where r we on this

----- Original Message -----

**From:** Sandweg, John  
**Sent:** Tuesday, February 28, 2012 10:26 PM  
**To:** Boogaard, Peter; Spaulding, Suzanne; Durkovich, Caitlin; Beland, Michael; Davis, Robert M  
**Cc:** (b) (6) Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Flynn, William; Hess, David; Grossman, Seth; Callahan, Mary Ellen; Kroloff, Noah; Shlossman, Amy  
**Subject:** Re: Statement

Definitely think that the more we can push back on any inaccuracies the better.

That said, assuming he isn't going to pull down the blog post, with other similar privacy related issues out there, we need a clear statement that explains that this report was drafted in violation of our privacy standards.

+ Noah and Amy.

----- Original Message -----

**From:** Boogaard, Peter  
**Sent:** Tuesday, February 28, 2012 10:21 PM  
**To:** Spaulding, Suzanne; Durkovich, Caitlin; Beland, Michael; Davis, Robert M  
**Cc:** (b) (6) Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Flynn, William; Hess, David; Grossman, Seth; Sandweg, John; Callahan, Mary Ellen  
**Subject:** Re: Statement

Also looping MEC.

----- Original Message -----

**From:** Boogaard, Peter  
**Sent:** Tuesday, February 28, 2012 10:19 PM  
**To:** Spaulding, Suzanne; Durkovich, Caitlin; Beland, Michael; Davis, Robert M  
**Cc:** (b) (6) Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Flynn, William; Hess, David; Grossman, Seth; Sandweg, John  
**Subject:** Re: Statement

Looping Sandweg and Grossman. I think we need to move forward with the statement we proposed.

----- Original Message -----

**From:** Spaulding, Suzanne  
**Sent:** Tuesday, February 28, 2012 10:04 PM  
**To:** Durkovich, Caitlin; Boogaard, Peter; Beland, Michael; Davis, Robert M  
**Cc:** (b) (6) Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Flynn, William; Hess, David  
**Subject:** Re: Statement

(b) (5)

----- Original Message -----

From: Durkovich, Caitlin

Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 09:43 PM

To: Boogaard, Peter; Beland, Michael; Davis, Robert M; Spaulding, Suzanne

Cc: (b) (6) Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Flynn, William; Hess, David

Subject: Re: Statement

DUS Spaulding is out of her speaking engagement and is going to review report, blog and statement.

Caitlin Durkovich

Chief of Staff

National Protection and Programs Directorate U.S. Department of Homeland Security

(b) (6)

----- Original Message -----

From: Boogaard, Peter

Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 09:24 PM

To: Durkovich, Caitlin; Beland, Michael; Davis, Robert M; Spaulding, Suzanne

Cc: (b) (6) Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Flynn, William; Hess, David

Subject: Re: Statement

Can you confirm that this accurate?

----- Original Message -----

From: Boogaard, Peter

Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 09:07 PM

To: Durkovich, Caitlin; Beland, Michael; Davis, Robert M; Spaulding, Suzanne

Cc: (b) (6) Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Flynn, William; Hess, David

Subject: Re: Statement

(b) (5)

----- Original Message -----

From: Durkovich, Caitlin

Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 09:03 PM

To: Boogaard, Peter; Beland, Michael; Davis, Robert M; Spaulding, Suzanne

Cc: (b) (6) Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Flynn, William; Hess, David

Subject: RE: Statement

(b) (5)

(b) (5)

If anything, I think we should consider calling Hastings and help him understand our mission.

-----Original Message-----

From: Boogaard, Peter  
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 8:55 PM  
To: Beland, Michael; Davis, Robert M; Durkovich, Caitlin; Spaulding, Suzanne  
Cc: (b) (6) Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Flynn, William  
Subject: Re: Statement

Couple changes.

Is this accurate? Our FO likes this approach. If there are different opinions, let me know.

(b) (5)

----- Original Message -----

From: Beland, Michael  
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 08:35 PM  
To: Davis, Robert M; Durkovich, Caitlin; Boogaard, Peter; Spaulding, Suzanne  
Cc: (b) (6) Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Flynn, William  
Subject: Re: Statement

We are still working the dates, but, based upon the document and our recollection, it was posted to hsin and one cannot access the materials on hsin without a password. Once we get the dates, we'll pass them along, but that may not be before you push this out.

Michael Beland  
Chief of Staff  
Office of Infrastructure Protection  
National Protection and Programs Directorate U.S. Department of Homeland Security  
(b) (6)  
(b) (6) (m)  
(b) (6)

----- Original Message -----

From: Davis, Robert M  
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 08:20 PM  
To: Beland, Michael; Durkovich, Caitlin; Boogaard, Peter; Spaulding, Suzanne  
Cc: (b) (6) Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Flynn, William  
Subject: Re: Statement

(b) (5)

(b) (5)

----- Original Message -----

From: Beland, Michael  
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 07:55 PM  
To: Durkovich, Caitlin; Boogaard, Peter; Davis, Robert M; Spaulding, Suzanne  
Cc: (b) (6) Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Flynn, William  
Subject: Re: Statement

+ Mr Flynn. I want to confirm that it was indeed on HSIN, and I am working that.

Michael Beland  
Chief of Staff  
Office of Infrastructure Protection  
National Protection and Programs Directorate U.S. Department of Homeland Security  
(b) (6)  
(b) (6) (m)  
(b) (6)

----- Original Message -----

From: Durkovich, Caitlin  
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 07:52 PM  
To: Boogaard, Peter; Davis, Robert M; Spaulding, Suzanne; Beland, Michael  
Cc: (b) (6) Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina  
Subject: RE: Statement

Can we get the link to the blog post? Need to understand the context.

-----Original Message-----

From: Boogaard, Peter  
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 7:50 PM  
To: Davis, Robert M; Spaulding, Suzanne; Beland, Michael  
Cc: (b) (6) Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Durkovich, Caitlin  
Subject: Re: Statement

If we are going this route, we need to push back stronger. We never approved of this approach and the individuals who published it did so without approval from nppd leadership.

----- Original Message -----

From: Davis, Robert M  
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 07:48 PM  
To: Spaulding, Suzanne; Beland, Michael  
Cc: (b) (6) Boogaard, Peter; Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Durkovich, Caitlin  
Subject: Re: Statement

Suzanne and Mike,

Rolling Stone has posted a blog with a link to a document with the DHS seal and nppd/ ip markings that was posted to HSIN without approval. Here is the draft statement I would like to send to opa asap.

Here is the link:

[http://www1.rollingstone.com/extras/13637\\_DHS%20IP%20Special.pdf](http://www1.rollingstone.com/extras/13637_DHS%20IP%20Special.pdf)

Please let me know if this is ok.

Bob

(b) (5)

----- Original Message -----

From: Boogaard, Peter

Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 07:31 PM

To: Davis, Robert M

Subject: Statement

let me know when you have a draft. Thanks man

## Shlossman, Amy

---

**From:** Boogaard, Peter  
**Sent:** Tuesday, February 28, 2012 11:15 PM  
**To:** Kroloff, Noah; Sandweg, John; Spaulding, Suzanne; Durkovich, Caitlin; Beland, Michael; Davis, Robert M  
**Cc:** (b) (6) Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Flynn, William; Hess, David; Grossman, Seth; Callahan, Mary Ellen; Shlossman, Amy  
**Subject:** Re: Statement

(b) (5)

----- Original Message -----

**From:** Kroloff, Noah  
**Sent:** Tuesday, February 28, 2012 11:12 PM  
**To:** Sandweg, John; Boogaard, Peter; Spaulding, Suzanne; Durkovich, Caitlin; Beland, Michael; Davis, Robert M  
**Cc:** (b) (6) Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Flynn, William; Hess, David; Grossman, Seth; Callahan, Mary Ellen; Shlossman, Amy  
**Subject:** Re: Statement

Where r we on this

----- Original Message -----

**From:** Sandweg, John  
**Sent:** Tuesday, February 28, 2012 10:26 PM  
**To:** Boogaard, Peter; Spaulding, Suzanne; Durkovich, Caitlin; Beland, Michael; Davis, Robert M  
**Cc:** (b) (6) Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Flynn, William; Hess, David; Grossman, Seth; Callahan, Mary Ellen; Kroloff, Noah; Shlossman, Amy  
**Subject:** Re: Statement

Definitely think that the more we can push back on any inaccuracies the better.

That said, assuming he isn't going to pull down the blog post, with other similar privacy related issues out there, we need a clear statement that explains that this report was drafted in violation of our privacy standards.

+ Noah and Amy.

----- Original Message -----

**From:** Boogaard, Peter  
**Sent:** Tuesday, February 28, 2012 10:21 PM  
**To:** Spaulding, Suzanne; Durkovich, Caitlin; Beland, Michael; Davis, Robert M  
**Cc:** (b) (6) Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Flynn, William; Hess, David; Grossman, Seth; Sandweg, John; Callahan, Mary Ellen  
**Subject:** Re: Statement

Also looping MEC.

----- Original Message -----

**From:** Boogaard, Peter  
**Sent:** Tuesday, February 28, 2012 10:19 PM  
**To:** Spaulding, Suzanne; Durkovich, Caitlin; Beland, Michael; Davis, Robert M  
**Cc:** (b) (6) Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Flynn, William; Hess, David; Grossman, Seth; Sandweg, John

Subject: Re: Statement

Looping Sandweg and Grossman. I think we need to move forward with the statement we proposed.

----- Original Message -----

From: Spaulding, Suzanne

Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 10:04 PM

To: Durkovich, Caitlin; Boogaard, Peter; Beland, Michael; Davis, Robert M

Cc: (b) (6) Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Flynn, William; Hess, David

Subject: Re: Statement

(b) (5)

----- Original Message -----

From: Durkovich, Caitlin

Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 09:43 PM

To: Boogaard, Peter; Beland, Michael; Davis, Robert M; Spaulding, Suzanne

Cc: (b) (6) Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Flynn, William; Hess, David

Subject: Re: Statement

DUS Spaulding is out of her speaking engagement and is going to review report, blog and statement.

Caitlin Durkovich

Chief of Staff

National Protection and Programs Directorate U.S. Department of Homeland Security

(b) (6)

----- Original Message -----

From: Boogaard, Peter

Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 09:24 PM

To: Durkovich, Caitlin; Beland, Michael; Davis, Robert M; Spaulding, Suzanne

Cc: (b) (6) Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Flynn, William; Hess, David

Subject: Re: Statement

Can you confirm that this accurate?

----- Original Message -----

From: Boogaard, Peter

Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 09:07 PM

To: Durkovich, Caitlin; Beland, Michael; Davis, Robert M; Spaulding, Suzanne

Cc: (b) (6) Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Flynn, William; Hess, David

Subject: Re: Statement

(b) (5)

----- Original Message -----

From: Durkovich, Caitlin

Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 09:03 PM  
To: Boogaard, Peter; Beland, Michael; Davis, Robert M; Spaulding, Suzanne  
Cc: (b) (6) Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Flynn, William; Hess, David  
Subject: RE: Statement

(b) (5)

If anything, I think we should consider calling Hastings and help him understand our mission.

-----Original Message-----

From: Boogaard, Peter  
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 8:55 PM  
To: Beland, Michael; Davis, Robert M; Durkovich, Caitlin; Spaulding, Suzanne  
Cc: (b) (6) Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Flynn, William  
Subject: Re: Statement

Couple changes.

Is this accurate? Our FO likes this approach. If there are different opinions, let me know.

(b) (5)

----- Original Message -----

From: Beland, Michael  
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 08:35 PM  
To: Davis, Robert M; Durkovich, Caitlin; Boogaard, Peter; Spaulding, Suzanne  
Cc: (b) (6) Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Flynn, William  
Subject: Re: Statement

We are still working the dates, but, based upon the document and our recollection, it was posted to hsin and one cannot access the materials on hsin without a password. Once we get the dates, we'll pass them along, but that may not be before you push this out.

Michael Beland  
Chief of Staff  
Office of Infrastructure Protection  
National Protection and Programs Directorate U.S. Department of Homeland Security

(b) (6)  
(b) (6) (m)  
(b) (6)



----- Original Message -----

From: Davis, Robert M

Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 08:20 PM

To: Beland, Michael; Durkovich, Caitlin; Boogaard, Peter; Spaulding, Suzanne

Cc: (b) (6) Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Flynn, William

Subject: Re: Statement

(b) (5)

(b) (5)

----- Original Message -----

From: Beland, Michael

Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 07:55 PM

To: Durkovich, Caitlin; Boogaard, Peter; Davis, Robert M; Spaulding, Suzanne

Cc: (b) (6) Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Flynn, William

Subject: Re: Statement

+ Mr Flynn. I want to confirm that it was indeed on HSIN, and I am working that.

Michael Beland

Chief of Staff

Office of Infrastructure Protection

National Protection and Programs Directorate U.S. Department of Homeland Security

(b) (6)

(b) (6) (m)

(b) (6)

----- Original Message -----

From: Durkovich, Caitlin

Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 07:52 PM

To: Boogaard, Peter; Davis, Robert M; Spaulding, Suzanne; Beland, Michael

Cc: (b) (6) Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina

Subject: RE: Statement

Can we get the link to the blog post? Need to understand the context.

-----Original Message-----

From: Boogaard, Peter

Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 7:50 PM

To: Davis, Robert M; Spaulding, Suzanne; Beland, Michael

Cc: (b) (6) Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Durkovich, Caitlin

Subject: Re: Statement

If we are going this route, we need to push back stronger. We never approved of this approach and the individuals who published it did so without approval from nppd leadership.

----- Original Message -----

From: Davis, Robert M

Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 07:48 PM

To: Spaulding, Suzanne; Beland, Michael

Cc: (b) (6) Boogaard, Peter; Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Durkovich, Caitlin

Subject: Re: Statement

Suzanne and Mike,

Rolling Stone has posted a blog with a link to a document with the DHS seal and nppd/ ip markings that was posted to HSIN without approval. Here is the draft statement I would like to send to opa asap.

Here is the link:

[http://www1.rollingstone.com/extras/13637\\_DHS%20IP%20Special.pdf](http://www1.rollingstone.com/extras/13637_DHS%20IP%20Special.pdf)

Please let me know if this is ok.

Bob

(b) (5)

----- Original Message -----

From: Boogaard, Peter

Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 07:31 PM

To: Davis, Robert M

Subject: Statement

let me know when you have a draft. Thanks man

## Shlossman, Amy

---

**From:** Spaulding, Suzanne  
**Sent:** Wednesday, February 29, 2012 6:47 AM  
**To:** Boogaard, Peter; Kroloff, Noah; Sandweg, John; Durkovich, Caitlin; Beland, Michael; Davis, Robert M  
**Cc:** (b) (6) Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Flynn, William; Hess, David; Grossman, Seth; Callahan, Mary Ellen; Shlossman, Amy  
**Subject:** Re: Statement

(b) (5)

----- Original Message -----

**From:** Boogaard, Peter  
**Sent:** Tuesday, February 28, 2012 11:15 PM  
**To:** Kroloff, Noah; Sandweg, John; Spaulding, Suzanne; Durkovich, Caitlin; Beland, Michael; Davis, Robert M  
**Cc:** (b) (6) Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Flynn, William; Hess, David; Grossman, Seth; Callahan, Mary Ellen; Shlossman, Amy  
**Subject:** Re: Statement

(b) (5)

----- Original Message -----

**From:** Kroloff, Noah  
**Sent:** Tuesday, February 28, 2012 11:12 PM  
**To:** Sandweg, John; Boogaard, Peter; Spaulding, Suzanne; Durkovich, Caitlin; Beland, Michael; Davis, Robert M  
**Cc:** (b) (6) Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Flynn, William; Hess, David; Grossman, Seth; Callahan, Mary Ellen; Shlossman, Amy  
**Subject:** Re: Statement

Where r we on this

----- Original Message -----

**From:** Sandweg, John  
**Sent:** Tuesday, February 28, 2012 10:26 PM  
**To:** Boogaard, Peter; Spaulding, Suzanne; Durkovich, Caitlin; Beland, Michael; Davis, Robert M  
**Cc:** (b) (6) Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Flynn, William; Hess, David; Grossman, Seth; Callahan, Mary Ellen; Kroloff, Noah; Shlossman, Amy  
**Subject:** Re: Statement

Definitely think that the more we can push back on any inaccuracies the better.

That said, assuming he isn't going to pull down the blog post, with other similar privacy related issues out there, we need a clear statement that explains that this report was drafted in violation of our privacy standards.

+ Noah and Amy.

----- Original Message -----

**From:** Boogaard, Peter  
**Sent:** Tuesday, February 28, 2012 10:21 PM

To: Spaulding, Suzanne; Durkovich, Caitlin; Beland, Michael; Davis, Robert M  
Cc: (b) (6) Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Flynn, William; Hess, David; Grossman, Seth; Sandweg, John; Callahan, Mary Ellen  
Subject: Re: Statement

Also looping MEC.

----- Original Message -----

From: Boogaard, Peter  
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 10:19 PM  
To: Spaulding, Suzanne; Durkovich, Caitlin; Beland, Michael; Davis, Robert M  
Cc: (b) (6) Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Flynn, William; Hess, David; Grossman, Seth; Sandweg, John  
Subject: Re: Statement

Looping Sandweg and Grossman. I think we need to move forward with the statement we proposed.

----- Original Message -----

From: Spaulding, Suzanne  
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 10:04 PM  
To: Durkovich, Caitlin; Boogaard, Peter; Beland, Michael; Davis, Robert M  
Cc: (b) (6) Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Flynn, William; Hess, David  
Subject: Re: Statement

(b) (5)

----- Original Message -----

From: Durkovich, Caitlin  
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 09:43 PM  
To: Boogaard, Peter; Beland, Michael; Davis, Robert M; Spaulding, Suzanne  
Cc: (b) (6) Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Flynn, William; Hess, David  
Subject: Re: Statement

DUS Spaulding is out of her speaking engagement and is going to review report, blog and statement.

Caitlin Durkovich  
Chief of Staff

National Protection and Programs Directorate U.S. Department of Homeland Security  
(b) (6)

----- Original Message -----

From: Boogaard, Peter  
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2012 09:24 PM  
To: Durkovich, Caitlin; Beland, Michael; Davis, Robert M; Spaulding, Suzanne  
Cc: (b) (6) Epley, Veronica; Flores, Gina; Flynn, William; Hess, David  
Subject: Re: Statement

Can you confirm that this accurate?